Chairman Davis, I am pleased to be able to address with you today the issue of workforce diversity at the Library of Congress.

We performed a review of the Library’s diversity management program and initiatives in response to your November 14, 2007 request and issued a final report for the Library in July 2008. Our report along with reports from the Inspectors General of the Architect of the Capitol, Government Accountability Office, Government Printing Office, and the United States Capitol Police were consolidated in a capping report prior to this hearing.

Our objectives were to 1) determine whether each agency’s diversity programs and initiatives are achieving better representation of women and minorities in top leadership positions, 2) evaluate the accuracy and completeness of the complaint and discrimination data the agencies have reported to Congress, and 3) assess the extent to which each agency’s diversity office is independent of its General Counsel and agency head.

For our review, we defined senior level positions as those in the Library’s Senior Level Executive, or “SL” system, which is roughly equivalent to the SES system. We defined the SL developmental pool as positions in the GS-13 to 15 range.

For the fiscal year ended September 30, 2007, the Library reported a total workforce of 3,786 staff of which 55.2 percent were women and 45.6 percent were minorities. These percentages are above federal and civilian workforce levels. Included in these numbers are 95 senior level executives of which 43.2 percent were women and 21.1 percent were minorities. The representation of both women and minorities is significantly higher than the governmentwide average and slightly lower than the civilian labor force.

Women are similarly represented in the developmental pool. Minority representation in the development pool is lower than the government and civilian workforces, although there has been progress in the past five years. We determined, and the Library agreed, that there are several ways to further improve the Library’s diversity program.

**Findings from our July Report**

**The Library is Adopting Many of the Best Practices In Diversity Management** — Our assessment found that the Library is following most of the best practices recommended by the Government Accountability Office and the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission. This includes linking its diversity plan and its strategic plans, and including diversity as a major element in managers’ performance requirements. The Library’s Office of Workforce Diversity (OWD) is taking steps to better focus its efforts
on identifying potential systemic barriers, if any, impeding full minority participation in upper level positions.

In addition to increasing its focus on barrier analysis and measuring program effectiveness, the Library needs to complete its succession planning efforts. These are a major element in diversity management. The Library also needs to ensure that the service units are committing to and implementing diversification activities. Success of the Library’s affirmative action initiatives depends, in large part, on the service units’ success in providing equal employment and affirmative action opportunities.

The Library Has Made Progress in Improving the Developmental Pool — Despite the favorable comparison of the Library’s senior level staffing with the executive branch and the genuine efforts we believe the Library has made and is making to improve, diversity in its senior level positions has remained constant since 2002. However, progress has been made in diversifying GS-13 to 15 positions. This bodes well for the future because GS-15 positions are widely considered to be the developmental pool for senior level jobs.

For librarian positions, the Library’s efforts to achieve more diversity in the management ranks are hindered by the lack of qualified applicants. According to the American Library Association, the percentage of credentialed minority librarians lags significantly behind the representation of minorities in the civilian labor force. This highlights the importance of in-house grooming of current staff through training and mentoring programs.

The Library’s Diversity Office is Independent and its Data is Accurate and Complete — Results of tests we performed showed that the Library’s EEO data is accurate and complete. Likewise, we concluded that the Library’s OWD and its component Equal Employment Opportunity Complaints Office are independent of the Library’s General Counsel, Human Resources Director, and, to the extent practical, the Librarian.

Conclusion

Overall, we determined that the Library is committed to diversity. Union officials we spoke with believed that the Library’s diversity and equal employment policies and procedures, as well as its Merit Selection Plan, promote diversity in the workplace. Likewise, the Library has effective diversity programs, if fully implemented.

The most successful organizations of the future are ones that are currently identifying and developing high potential executives, of any age, culture, ethnicity, gender, geographic background, or sexual orientation. The Library needs to ensure that through its succession planning strategies and training, employees in the GS-13 to 15 range will broaden their leadership skills and step into senior management roles when the appropriate time comes.
In addition to assessing diversity in the Library workforce and the GS-13 to 15 and senior level positions (as we did in our review), it is equally important for the OWD to examine whether there are differences among the Library’s service units. A high concentration of a minority group in one service unit may distort the Library’s overall statistics regarding hiring, promotions, awards, and performance evaluations.

The Library has an effective and accurate complaint tracking and monitoring system in place. As we reported in September 2007, the OWD is working to assure accuracy and completeness of its Alternative Dispute Resolution data.

Numbers alone do not reflect efforts to encourage interchanges among staff of various races and ethnic backgrounds. The Library of Congress Professional Association sponsors foreign language tables for staff who speak or wish to learn various languages. The Library sponsors multiple “heritage month” programs intended to highlight diversity. Similarly, the Library has many cultural associations (such as Blacks in Government; Daniel A.P. Murray Association; Asian American Association; Gay, Lesbian or Bisexual Employees; and Hispanic Cultural Association) that promote awareness and understanding of differences.

The Library and its OWD face challenges in ensuring a diverse workforce. In addition to the challenges of recruiting and retaining women and minority candidates, the OWD faces the challenge of gaining the “buy-in” of key employees, such as the middle managers, who are often responsible for implementing many of the affirmative action programs. Without a sustained commitment from management at all levels, diversity at the management level may continue unchanged over time. Thurgood Marshall, late Justice of the United States Supreme Court stated that “The legal system can force open doors and sometimes even knock down walls. But it cannot build bridges. That job belongs to you and me.”