

the vocabulary, and the correct subfield (\$0 or \$1) to use in the 024 field of the NACO record. However, the document is not a PCC policy document. It is intended to be a resource guide only.

Please also keep in mind that the Authority Toolkit in OCLC Connexion can be used to search external vocabularies and construct the correct formulation for the URIs from those vocabularies.

This is an “organic” document that will be revised periodically as experience and practice reveal new information. The cataloging environment is changing rapidly. The PCC URIs in MARC Pilot recommends that this document be reviewed every 1-2 years, and suggests that, based on an analysis of the number and types of identifiers that are added to NACO records over the next 1-2 years, a companion document be created that records a brief description of the pros and cons and special features of the “Core” vocabularies, and where the “Core” vocabularies have crossover to other vocabularies.

The PCC URIs in MARC Pilot also acknowledges that there are many important related issues that are not addressed here. These omissions are intentional, and decisions on the issues are left to cataloger's judgment. For example, maintenance of external vocabularies, or dealing with duplicate records in external vocabularies, are issues that can improve the LC/NACO Authority File, but are out of scope for these NACO best practice recommendations.

Questions or comments on these best practices can be sent to coop@loc.gov.