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## Cataloging for the 21st Century
### Course 2: Metadata Standards and Applications

#### Schedule

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Day 1</th>
<th>Sessions / Topics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9:00-9:30</td>
<td>Registration. Breakfast</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9:30-9:45</td>
<td>Getting started; Introductions, Orientation and Background</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9:45-10:30</td>
<td>1. Introduction to Digital Libraries and Metadata</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Discuss similarities and differences between traditional and digital libraries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Understand how the environment where metadata is developing is different from</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>the library automation environment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Explore different types and functions of metadata (administrative, technical,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>administrative, etc.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Exercise:</strong> Examine three digital library instances, discuss differences in user</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>approach and experience, and look for examples of metadata use</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:30-10:45</td>
<td>Break</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:45-12:30</td>
<td>2. Descriptive Metadata Standards</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Understand the categories of descriptive metadata standards (e.g., data content</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>standards, data structure standards, relationship models)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Learn about the various descriptive metadata standards and the communities that</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>use them</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Evaluate the efficacy of a standard for a particular community</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Understand how relationship models are used</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Exercise:</strong> Create a brief descriptive metadata record using the standard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>assigned.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:30-1:30</td>
<td>Lunch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:30-3:00</td>
<td>3. Technical and Administrative Metadata Standards</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Understand the different types of administrative metadata</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Learn about the metadata needed for supporting digital preservation activities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Understand the importance of technical, structural and rights metadata in digital</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>libraries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Exercise:</strong> Provide technical metadata for the same resource used in the</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>descriptive exercise.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3:00-3:15</td>
<td>Break</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3:15-4:30</td>
<td>4. Metadata Syntaxes and Containers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Overview of syntaxes, including HTML/XHTML, XML, RDF/XML</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Overview of containers, including METS and MPEG-21 DID</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Discover how container formats are used for managing digital resources and their</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>metadata</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Exercise:</strong> Encode a simple resource description in Dublin Core, MARC, and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MODS using XML</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4:30</td>
<td>Conclusion of Day 1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Day 2</th>
<th>Sessions / Topics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9:30-11:30</td>
<td>5. Applying Metadata Standards: Application Profiles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Learn about the concept and use of application profiles, including examining</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>specific types (e.g., Dublin Core Application Profiles, METS Profiles)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Learn how different metadata standards are used together in digital library</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>applications</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Exercise:</strong> Analyze descriptive metadata application profiles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:30-12:15</td>
<td>6. Controlled Vocabularies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Understand how different controlled vocabularies are used in metadata</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Introduction to vocabulary encodings, including MARC 21 and the Simple Knowledge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Organization System (SKOS), an evolving encoding for thesauri</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time</td>
<td>Activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:15-1:15</td>
<td>Lunch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:15-2:30</td>
<td><strong>7. Approaches to Metadata Creation, Storage and Retrieval</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Understand the differences between traditional vs. digital library metadata creation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Examine metadata creation management models</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Investigate standards and methods of information retrieval and discovery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Exercise:</strong> Using example websites, determine the underlying models for each</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2:30-2:45</td>
<td>Break</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2:45-4:30</td>
<td><strong>8. Metadata Interoperability and Quality Issues</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Understand interoperability protocols (OAI-PMH for harvesting, OpenURL for references)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Introduction to crosswalking and mapping</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Discuss the criteria that can be used to determine quality in metadata</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Exercise:</strong> Evaluate a small set of human and machine-created metadata OR Examine the MARC to DC crosswalk and DC to MARC crosswalk and discuss where metadata loss occurs.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Background for this course:
- The first of five courses developed as part of:
  - Bibliographic Control of Web Resources: A Library of Congress Action Plan
  - Action Item 5.3: Continuing Education (CE)
  - Continuing Education Implementation Group (CEIG)
- See course Bibliography for citations

Cataloging for the 21st Century: The five CE course components

- 1. Rules and Tools for Cataloging Internet resources
- 2. Metadata Standards and Applications
- 3. Principles of Controlled Vocabulary and Thesaurus Design
- 4. Metadata and Digital Library Development
- 5. Digital Project Planning and Management Basics
Cataloging for the 21st Century: CE Course Series Objectives

- To equip catalogers to deal with new types of resources and to recognize their unique characteristics
- To equip catalogers to evaluate competing approaches to and standards for providing access to resources
- To equip catalogers to think creatively and work collaboratively with others inside and outside their home institutions
- To ensure that catalogers have a broad enough understanding of the current environment to be able to make their local efforts compatible and interoperable with other efforts
- To prepare catalogers to be comfortable with ambiguity and being less than perfect
- To enable practicing catalogers to put themselves into the emerging digital information environment and to continue to play a significant role in shaping library services

Goals for this Course

- Understand similarities and differences between traditional and digital libraries
- Explore different types and functions of metadata (administrative, descriptive, technical, etc.)
- Understand metadata standards: schemas, data content standards, and data value standards
- Learn how various metadata standards are applied in digital projects, including use of application profiles
- Understand how different controlled vocabularies are used in digital libraries
- Approaches to metadata creation, storage and retrieval
- Learn about metadata interoperability and quality issues
Course objectives

- Increase catalogers’ understanding of metadata for digital resources
- Evaluate competing approaches and standards for managing and providing access to resources
- Enable catalogers to think creatively and work collaboratively
- Increase understanding of current environment to allow for compatibility among applications
- Increase flexibility in utilizing different kinds of metadata standards
- Allow catalogers to use expertise to contribute to the emerging digital information environment

Outline of this course

- Session 1. Introduction to Digital Libraries and Metadata
- Session 2. Descriptive Metadata Standards
  - Data content standards, data value standards, data structure standards
  - Specific descriptive metadata formats
  - Relationship models
Outline of this course cont.

- Session 3. Technical and Administrative Metadata Standards
- Session 4. Metadata Syntaxes and Containers
- Session 5. Application Profiles and how they are used in digital libraries

Outline of this course cont.

- Session 6. Controlled Vocabularies
- Session 7. Metadata Creation, Storage and Retrieval
- Session 8. Metadata Interoperability and Quality Issues
1. Introduction to Digital Libraries and Metadata
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Goals of Session

- Understand similarities and differences between traditional and digital libraries focusing on metadata
- Explore different types and functions of metadata (descriptive, administrative, structural, etc.)
Traditional vs. Digital Libraries

Traditional library characteristics

Digital library characteristics?

What is a digital library?

- a library in which collections are stored in digital formats and accessed by computers. The digital content may be stored locally, or accessed remotely via computer networks.
- a type of information retrieval system.
Digital Library Federation (DLF)

- “Digital libraries are organizations that provide the resources, including the specialized staff, to select, structure, offer intellectual access to, interpret, distribute, preserve the integrity of, and ensure the persistence over time of collections of digital works so that they are readily and economically available for use by a defined community or set of communities.”
- [http://www.diglib.org/](http://www.diglib.org/)
How does the environment affect the creation of metadata?
Traditional Libraries

- Firm commitment to standards
  - Specifications for metadata content (e.g., AACR2)
  - Specifications for metadata encoding (e.g., MARC)
  - A variety of syntaxes can be used
- Agreements on quality expectations
- Tradition of sharing, facilitated by bibliographic utilities
- Available documentation and training

Digital Libraries

- No dominant content standard
- A variety of “formats” (or “schemas” or “element sets”)
- Some emerging “federated” agreements, mostly in the world of digital libraries attached to traditional libraries
- Variable quality expectations
- Emerging basis for sharing (OAI-PMH)
- Some documentation and training is becoming available
Environmental Factors

- Differences:
  - **Players:** New world of metadata not necessarily led by librarians
  - **Goals:** Competition for users critical for sustainability
  - **Resources:** No real basis for understanding non-technical needs (including metadata creation and maintenance)
  - Many levels of content responsibility (or none)

Environmental Factors

- Similarities
  - It’s about discovery (and access, and use and meeting user needs)
  - Pressure for fast, cheap and “good enough” (also rich, scalable, and re-usable--is that a contradiction?)
  - Wide variety of materials and services
  - Maintenance needs often overlooked
What IS Metadata?

Some possibilities:
- Data about data (or data about resources)
- Structured information that describes, explains, locates, and otherwise makes it easier to retrieve and use an information resource.”
- A management tool
- Computer-processible, human-interpretable information about digital and non-digital objects

“In moving from dispersed digital collections to interoperable digital libraries, the most important activity we need to focus on is standards... most important is the wide variety of metadata standards [including] descriptive metadata... administrative metadata..., structural metadata, and terms and conditions metadata...”

Howard Besser, NYU
Metadata standards in digital libraries

- Interoperability and object exchange requires the use of established standards
- Many digital objects are complex and are comprised of multiple files
- XML is the de-facto standard syntax for metadata descriptions on the Internet
- Complex digital objects require many more forms of metadata than analog for their management and use
  - Descriptive
  - Administrative
    - Technical
    - Digital provenance/events
    - Rights/Terms and conditions
  - Structural

Functions of Metadata

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Discover resources</th>
<th>Manage documents</th>
<th>Control IP Rights</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Identify versions</td>
<td>Certify authenticity</td>
<td>Indicate status</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mark content structure</td>
<td>Situate geospatially</td>
<td>Describe processes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Types of metadata

- **Descriptive**
- **Administrative**
  - Technical
  - Digital provenance
  - Rights/Access
- Preservation
- **Structural**
  - Meta-metadata
  - Other?

Cataloging and Metadata

- Cataloging early form of descriptive metadata
- Underlying models for cataloging based on AACR2 and MARC 21
- Some new metadata models are emerging (e.g., DC Abstract Model and RDA in development)
- Most metadata models roughly based on attribute/value pairs:
  - `<property> = <value>`
Some differences between traditional and digital libraries

- Metadata only vs. actual object
- Need to understand Web technologies
- Types of media
- Granularity
- User needs
- Web services
- Digitized vs. born digital

Slide by Brian Surratt

One BIG Difference ...

- Catalogers most often are attempting to fit new items into an already existing world of materials--
  - The structure already exists, as do the rules for describing
- Metadata practitioners are generally working with aggregated “stuff,” attempting to find a way to make it accessible
- Involves broad understanding, ability to work with others to make decisions that work for whole projects or domains

*Thanks to Marty Kurth for these insights*
Questions to ask when selecting metadata standards

- What type of material will be digitized?
- How rich does the metadata need to be?
- Is there information already available?
- Is there a Community of practice developed for this resource type(s)?
- What is the purpose of digital project?
- Who will be the audience and how will they use the content?
- Are there pre-existing digital projects with which this one needs to function? Is there a need to interact with any existing records?
- What tools or systems options are available?

Exercise

- Examine the digital library sites below, and be prepared to discuss differences in user approach and experience. Look for how metadata is used.
  - Alsos: Digital Library for Nuclear Issues (http://alsos.wlu.edu/default.aspx)
  - CSUN Oviatt Library: Digital Collections (http://library.csun.edu/Collections/SCA/digicoll.html)
  - Birdsource (http://www.birdsource.org/)
2. Specific metadata standards: descriptive
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Session 2 Objectives

- Understand the categories of descriptive metadata standards (e.g., data content standards vs. data value standards)
- Learn about the various descriptive metadata standards and the community that developed and use them
- Learn about some relationship models used in descriptive metadata standards
Outline of Session 2: descriptive metadata

- Types of descriptive metadata standards (e.g. element sets, content standards)

- Specific descriptive metadata standards (e.g. MARC, DC, MODS, EAD…)

- Relationship models

Descriptive metadata

- Most standardized and well understood type of metadata
- Major focus of library catalog
- Increased number of descriptive metadata standards for different needs and communities
- Importance for resource discovery
- May support various user tasks
Aspects of descriptive metadata

- Data content standards (e.g., rules: AACR2R/RDA, CCO)
- Data value standards (e.g., values/controlled vocabularies: LCNAF, LCSH, MeSH, AAT)
- Data structure standards (e.g., formats/schemes: DC, MODS, MARC 21)
  - Set of semantic properties, in this context used to describe resource
- Data exchange/syntax standards (e.g. MARC 21 (ISO 2709), MARCXML, DC/RDF or DC/XML)
  - The structural wrapping around the semantics
- Relationship models

Content Standards: Rules

- AACR2 functions as the content standard for traditional cataloging
- RDA (*Resource Description and Access*) is the successor to AACR2 that aspires to be independent of a particular syntax
- DACS (*Describing Archives: a Content Standard*)
- CCO (*Cataloging Cultural Objects*) new standard developed by visual arts and cultural heritage community
- CSDGM (*Content Standards for Digital Geospatial Metadata*)
- Best practices, Guidelines, policies-- less formal content standards
Content Standards: Value Standards/Controlled Vocabularies

- Examples of thesauri
  - Library of Congress Subject Headings
  - Art and Architecture Thesaurus
  - Thesaurus of Geographical Names

- Examples of value lists
  - ISO 639-2 Language codes
  - MARC Geographic Area codes
  - Other enumerated lists (e.g. MARC/008 lists)
  - Dublin Core Resource Types

Data structure standards (element sets and formats)

- Facilitates database creation and record retrieval
- Flexibility because not tied to a particular syntax
- May provide a minimum of agreed upon elements that facilitate record sharing and minimal consistency
- Different user communities develop their own standard data element sets
- May differ in complexity and granularity of fields
- Some data element sets become formats/schemes by adding rules such as repeatability, controlled vocabularies used, etc.
Data Structure Standards: Examples

- MARC 21 ([http://www.loc.gov/marc/](http://www.loc.gov/marc/))
- Dublin Core ([http://dublincore.org](http://dublincore.org))
- MODS ([www.loc.gov/standards/mods/](http://www.loc.gov/standards/mods/))
- ONIX ([http://www.editeur.org/onihtml](http://www.editeur.org/onihtml))
- EAD ([http://www.loc.gov/ead/](http://www.loc.gov/ead/))

Data Structure Standards: Examples, cont.

- PBCore ([http://www.pbcore.org/](http://www.pbcore.org/))
- TEI ([http://www.tei-c.org/index.xml](http://www.tei-c.org/index.xml))
What is MARC 21?

- A syntax defined by an international standard and was developed in the late 60s
- As a syntax it has 2 expressions:
  - Classic MARC (MARC 2709)
  - MARCXML
- A data element set defined by content designation and semantics
- Institutions do not store “MARC 21”, as it is a communications format
- Many data elements are defined by external content rules; a common misperception is that it is tied to AACR2
MARC 21 Scope

- **Bibliographic Data**
  - books, serials, computer files, maps, music, visual materials, mixed material
- **Authority Data**
  - names, titles, name/title combinations, subjects, series
- **Holdings Data**
  - physical holdings, digital access, location
- **Classification Data**
  - classification numbers, associated captions, hierarchies
- **Community Information**
  - events, programs, services, people, organizations

MARC 21 implementation

- National formats were once common and there were different flavors of MARC
- Now most have harmonized with MARC 21 (e.g. CANMARC, UKMARC, MAB)
- Billions of records world wide
- Integrated library systems that support MARC bibliographic, authority and holdings format
- Wide sharing of records for 30+ years
- OCLC is a major source of MARC records
Streamlining MARC 21 into the future

- Take advantage of XML
  - Establish standard MARC 21 in an XML structure
  - Take advantage of freely available XML tools
- Develop simpler (but compatible) alternatives
  - MODS
- Allow for interoperability with different XML metadata schemas
  - Assemble coordinated set of tools
- Provide continuity with current data
  - Provide flexible transition options
MARC 21 in XML – MARCXML

- MARCXML record
  - XML exact equivalent of MARC (2709) record
  - Lossless/roundtrip conversion to/from MARC 21 record
  - Simple flexible XML schema, no need to change when MARC 21 changes
  - Presentations using XML stylesheets
  - LC provides converters (open source)
- http://www.loc.gov/standards/marcxml

Example: MARC and MARCXML

- Music record in MARC
- Music record in MARCXML
DATABASE: Library of Congress Online Catalog
YOU SEARCHED: Command = 010a 85753651
SEARCH RESULTS: Displaying 1 of 1.

3 Viennese arias : for soprano, obbligato clarinet in B flat, and piano /...

LC Control No.: 85753651
LCCN Permalink: http://lccn.loc.gov/85753651

000 01917ccm a2200409 a 450
001 5594130
005 19950601141653.9
008 850813s1984 enka z n ita
035 _|9 (DLC) 85753651
040 _|a DLC |c DLC |d DLC
041 _|a ita |e itaeng |h ita
048 _|b va01 |a wc01 |a ka01
050 00 |a M1506 |b .A14 1984
245 00 |a 3 Viennese arias : |b for soprano, obbligato clarinet in B flat, and piano / |e G.B. Bononcini and Emperor Joseph I ; edited by Colin Lawson.
300 _|a 1 score (12 p.) + 2 parts ; |c 31 cm.
440 _|a Music for voice and instrument
500 _|a Opera excerpts.
500 _|a Acc. arr. for piano; obbligato for the 2nd-3rd excerpts originally for chalumeau.
500 _|a Italian words.
500 _|a Cover title.
500 _|a The 1st excerpt composed for inclusion in M.A. Ziani’s Chilonida.
500 _|a Texts with English translations on cover p. [2].
505 _|a Tutto in pianto il cor struggete / Emperor Joseph I -- E sempre inquieto quel

650  _0 |a Operas |x Excerpts, Arranged |x Scores and parts.
650  _0 |a Songs (High voice) with instrumental ensemble |x Scores and parts.
700 1 _ |a Lawson, Colin |q (Colin James)
700 02 |a Joseph |b I, |c Holy Roman Emperor, |d 1678-1711. |t Tutto in pianto il cor struggete; |o arr. |f 1984.
740 0 _ |a Three Viennese arias.
740 0 _ |a Viennese arias.
953  _  |a TA28
991  _  |b c-Music |h M1506 |i .A14 1984 |t Copy 1 |w MUSIC

CALL NUMBER: M1506 .A14 1984
Copy 1
-- Request in: Performing Arts Reading Room (Madison, LM113)
-- Status: Not Charged

Save, Print or Email Records (View Help)

Select Download Format: Text (Brief Information)  Press to SAVE or PRINT

Email Text (Full Info) to:  
Press to SEND EMAIL
<?xml version="1.0" ?>
<zs:searchRetrieveResponse xmlns:zs="http://www.loc.gov/zing/srw/">
  <zs:version>1.1</zs:version>
  <zs:numberOfRecords>1</zs:numberOfRecords>
  <zs:records>
    <zs:record>
      <zs:recordPacking>xml</zs:recordPacking>
      <zs:recordData>
        <record xmlns="http://www.loc.gov/MARC21/slim">
        <leader>01917ccm 2200409 a 4500</leader>
        <controlfield tag="001">5594130</controlfield>
        <controlfield tag="005">199506011141653.9</controlfield>
        <controlfield tag="008">850813s1984 enkopa z n ita</controlfield>
        <datafield tag="035" ind1="" ind2="">
          <subfield code="9">(DLC) 85753651</subfield>
        </datafield>
        <datafield tag="906" ind1="" ind2="">
          <subfield code="a">7</subfield>
        </datafield>
        <datafield tag="010" ind1="" ind2="">
          <subfield code="a">85753651</subfield>
        </datafield>
        <datafield tag="028" ind1="3" ind2="2">
          <subfield code="a">N.M. 275</subfield>
        </datafield>
        <datafield tag="040" ind1="" ind2="">
          <subfield code="a">DLC</subfield>
        </datafield>
        <datafield tag="041" ind1="1" ind2="">
          <subfield code="a">ita</subfield>
        </datafield>
        <datafield tag="048" ind1="" ind2="">
          <subfield code="b">va01</subfield>
        </datafield>
        <datafield tag="050" ind1="0" ind2="0">
          <subfield code="a">M1506</subfield>
        </datafield>
        <datafield tag="049" ind1="" ind2="">
          <subfield code="b">.A14 1984</subfield>
        </datafield>
        </record>
      </zs:recordData>
    </zs:record>
  </zs:records>
</zs:searchRetrieveResponse>
- <datafield tag="245" ind1="0" ind2="0">
  <subfield code="a">3 Viennese arias : for soprano, obbligato clarinet in B flat, and piano /</subfield>
  <subfield code="c">G.B. Bononcini and Emperor Joseph I ; edited by Colin Lawson.</subfield>
</datafield>
- <datafield tag="260" ind1="" ind2="">
  <subfield code="a">London : Nova Music,</subfield>
  <subfield code="c">c1984.</subfield>
</datafield>
- <datafield tag="300" ind1="" ind2="">
  <subfield code="a">1 score (12 p.) + 2 parts ;</subfield>
  <subfield code="c">31 cm.</subfield>
</datafield>
- <datafield tag="440" ind1="" ind2="0">
  <subfield code="a">Music for voice and instrument</subfield>
</datafield>
- <datafield tag="500" ind1="" ind2="">
  <subfield code="a">Opera excerpts.</subfield>
</datafield>
- <datafield tag="500" ind1="" ind2="">
  <subfield code="a">Acc. arr. for piano; obbligato for the 2nd-3rd excerpts originally for chalumeau.</subfield>
</datafield>
- <datafield tag="500" ind1="" ind2="">
  <subfield code="a">Italian words.</subfield>
</datafield>
- <datafield tag="500" ind1="" ind2="">
  <subfield code="a">Cover title.</subfield>
</datafield>
- <datafield tag="500" ind1="" ind2="">
  <subfield code="a">The 1st excerpt composed for inclusion in M.A. Ziani's Chilonida.</subfield>
</datafield>
- <datafield tag="500" ind1="" ind2="">
  <subfield code="a">Texts with English translations on cover p. [2].</subfield>
</datafield>
- <datafield tag="505" ind1="0" ind2="">
  <subfield code="a">Tutto in pianto il cor struggete / Emperor Joseph I -- E sempre inquieto quel core infelice : from Endimione / G. Bononcini -- L'adorata genitrice : from Muzio [i.e. Mutio] Scevola / G. Bononcini.</subfield>
</datafield>
- <datafield tag="650" ind1="" ind2="0">
  <subfield code="a">Operas</subfield>
  <subfield code="x">Excerpts, Arranged</subfield>
  <subfield code="x">Scores and parts.</subfield>
</datafield>
- <datafield tag="650" ind1="" ind2="0">
  <subfield code="a">Operas</subfield>
</datafield>
<subfield code="a">Songs (High voice) with instrumental ensemble</subfield>
<subfield code="x">Scores and parts.</subfield>
</datafield>
- <datafield tag="700" ind1="1" ind2="">
  <subfield code="a">Lawson, Colin</subfield>
  <subfield code="q">(Colin James)</subfield>
</datafield>
- <datafield tag="700" ind1="0" ind2="2">
  <subfield code="a">Joseph</subfield>
  <subfield code="b">I,</subfield>
  <subfield code="c">Holy Roman Emperor,</subfield>
  <subfield code="d">1678-1711.</subfield>
  <subfield code="t">Tutto in pianto il cor struggete;</subfield>
  <subfield code="o">arr.</subfield>
  <subfield code="f">1984.</subfield>
</datafield>
- <datafield tag="700" ind1="1" ind2="2">
  <subfield code="a">Bononcini, Giovanni,</subfield>
  <subfield code="d">1670-1747.</subfield>
  <subfield code="t">Endimione.</subfield>
  <subfield code="p">E sempre inquieto quel core infelice;</subfield>
  <subfield code="o">arr.</subfield>
  <subfield code="f">1984.</subfield>
</datafield>
- <datafield tag="700" ind1="1" ind2="2">
  <subfield code="a">Bononcini, Giovanni,</subfield>
  <subfield code="d">1670-1747.</subfield>
  <subfield code="t">Mutio Scevola.</subfield>
  <subfield code="p">Adorata genitrice;</subfield>
  <subfield code="o">arr.</subfield>
  <subfield code="f">1984.</subfield>
</datafield>
- <datafield tag="740" ind1="0" ind2="">
  <subfield code="a">Three Viennese arias.</subfield>
</datafield>
- <datafield tag="740" ind1="0" ind2="">
  <subfield code="a">Viennese arias.</subfield>
</datafield>
- <datafield tag="953" ind1="" ind2="">
  <subfield code="a">TA28</subfield>
</datafield>
- <datafield tag="991" ind1="" ind2="">
  <subfield code="b">c-Music</subfield>
  <subfield code="h">M1506</subfield>
  <subfield code="i">.A14 1984</subfield>
  <subfield code="t">Copy 1</subfield>
  <subfield code="w">MUSIC</subfield>
</datafield>
<zs:recordPosition>1</zs:recordPosition>
</zs:record>
</zs:records>
</zs:searchRetrieveResponse>
What is MODS?

- Metadata Object Description Schema
- An XML descriptive metadata standard
- A derivative of MARC
  - Uses language based tags
  - Contains a subset of MARC data elements
  - Repackages elements to eliminate redundancies
- MODS does not assume the use of any specific rules for description
- Element set is particularly applicable to digital resources

MODS high-level elements

- Title Info
- Name
- Type of resource
- Genre
- Origin Info
- Language
- Physical description
- Abstract
- Table of contents
- Target audience
- Note
- Subject
- Classification
- Related item
- Identifier
- Location
- Access conditions
- Part
- Extension
- Record Info
Advantages of MODS

- Element set is compatible with existing descriptions in large library databases
- Element set is richer than Dublin Core but simpler than full MARC
- Language tags are more user-friendly than MARC numeric tags
- Hierarchy allows for rich description, especially of complex digital objects
- Rich description that works well with hierarchical METS objects

Uses of MODS

- Extension schema to METS
  - Rich description works well with hierarchical METS objects
- To represent metadata for harvesting (OAI)
  - Language based tags are more user friendly
- As a specified XML format for SRU
- As a core element set for convergence between MARC and non-MARC XML descriptions
- For original resource description in XML syntax that is simpler than full MARC
Example: MODS

- Music record in MODS

Status of MODS

- Open listserv collaboration of possible implementers, LC coordinated (1st half 2002)
- First comment and use period: 2nd half 2002
- Now in MODS version 3.3
- Companion for authority metadata (MADS) in version 1.0
- Endorsed as METS extension schema for descMD
- Many expose records as MODS in OAI
- MODS Editorial Committee being formed
<?xml version="1.0"?>
<zs:searchRetrieveResponse xmlns:zs="http://www.loc.gov/zing/srw/"
  xmlns:xsi="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema-instance"
  <zs:version>1.1</zs:version>
  <zs:numberOfRecords>1</zs:numberOfRecords>
  <zs:records>
    <zs:record>
      <zs:recordSchema>info:srw/schema/1/mods-v3.0</zs:recordSchema>
      <zs:recordPacking>xml</zs:recordPacking>
      <zs:recordData>
        <mods xmlns:xsi="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema-instance"
          xsi:schemaLocation="http://www.loc.gov/mods/v3 http://www.loc.gov/standards/mods/v3/mods-3-0.xsd">
          <titleInfo>
            <title>3 Viennese arias</title>
            <subtitle>for soprano, obbligato clarinet in B flat, and piano</subtitle>
          </titleInfo>
          <name type="personal">
            <namePart>Lawson, Colin (Colin James)</namePart>
          </name>
          <typeOfResource>notated music</typeOfResource>
          <originInfo>
            <place>
              <placeTerm type="code" authority="marccountry">enk</placeTerm>
            </place>
            <place>
              <placeTerm type="text">London</placeTerm>
            </place>
            <publisher>Nova Music</publisher>
            <dateIssued>c1984</dateIssued>
            <dateIssued encoding="marc">1984</dateIssued>
            <issuance>monographic</issuance>
          </originInfo>
          <language>
            <languageTerm authority="iso639-2b" type="code">ita</languageTerm>
          </language>
          <language>
            <languageTerm authority="iso639-2b" type="code">eng</languageTerm>
          </language>
          <physicalDescription>
            <form authority="marcform">print</form>
            <extent>1 score (12 p.) + 2 parts ; 31 cm.</extent>
          </physicalDescription>
          <tableOfContents>
          </tableOfContents>
          <note type="statement of responsibility">G.B. Bononcini and Emperor Joseph I ; edited by Colin Lawson.</note>
        </mods>
      </zs:recordData>
    </zs:record>
  </zs:records>
</zs:searchRetrieveResponse>
<note>Opera excerpts.</note>
<note>Acc. arr. for piano; obbligato for the 2nd-3rd excerpts originally for chalumeau.</note>
<note>Italian words.</note>
<note>Cover title.</note>
<note>The 1st excerpt composed for inclusion in M.A. Ziani's Chilonida.</note>
<note>Texts with English translations on cover p. [2].</note>

- <subject authority="lcsh">
  <topic>Operas</topic>
  <topic>Excerpts, Arranged</topic>
  <topic>Scores and parts</topic>
</subject>

- <subject authority="lcsh">
  <topic>Songs (High voice) with instrumental ensemble</topic>
  <topic>Scores and parts</topic>
</subject>

<classification authority="lcc">M1506 .A14 1984</classification>

- <relatedItem type="series">
  <titleInfo>
    <title>Music for voice and instrument</title>
  </titleInfo>
</relatedItem>

- <relatedItem type="constituent">
  <titleInfo>
    <title>Tutto in pianto il cor struggete; arr. 1984</title>
  </titleInfo>
  <name type="personal">
    <namePart>Joseph</namePart>
    <namePart type="termsOfAddress">I, Holy Roman Emperor</namePart>
    <namePart type="date">1678-1711</namePart>
  </name>
</relatedItem>

- <relatedItem type="constituent">
  <titleInfo>
    <title>Endimione. arr. 1984</title>
    <partName>E sempre inquieto quel core infelice; arr. 1984</partName>
  </titleInfo>
  <name type="personal">
    <namePart>Bononcini, Giovanni</namePart>
    <namePart type="date">1670-1747</namePart>
  </name>
</relatedItem>

- <relatedItem type="constituent">
  <titleInfo>
    <title>Mutio Scevola. arr. 1984</title>
    <partName>Adorata genitrice; arr. 1984</partName>
  </titleInfo>
  <name type="personal">
    <namePart>Joseph, Holy Roman Emperor</namePart>
    <namePart type="date">1678-1711</namePart>
  </name>
</relatedItem>
<namePart>Bononcini, Giovanni,</namePart>
<namePart type="date">1670-1747</namePart>
</name>
</relatedItem>
<identifier type="lccn">85753651</identifier>
<identifier type="music publisher">N.M. 275 Nova Music</identifier>
- <recordInfo>
  <recordContentSource
    authority="marcorg">DLC</recordContentSource>
  <recordCreationDate
    encoding="marc">850813</recordCreationDate>
  <recordChangeDate
    encoding="iso8601">19950601141653.9</recordChangeDate>
  <recordIdentifier>5594130</recordIdentifier>
</recordInfo>
</mods>
</zs:recordData>
<zs:recordPosition>1</zs:recordPosition>
</zs:record>
</zs:records>
</zs:searchRetrieveResponse>
A selection of MODS projects

- LC uses of MODS
  - LC web archives
  - Digital library METS projects
- University of Chicago Library
  - Chopin early editions
  - Finding aid discovery
- Digital Library Federation Aquifer initiative
- National Library of Australia
  - MusicAustralia: MODS as exchange format between National Library of Australia and ScreenSoundAustralia
  - Australian national bibliographic database metadata project
- See: MODS Implementation registry

What is MADS?

- Metadata Authority Description Schema
- A companion to MODS for authority data using XML
- Defines a subset of MARC authority elements using language-based tags
- Elements have same definitions as equivalent MODS
- Metadata about people, organizations, events, subjects, time periods, genres, geographics, occupations
MADS elements

- **authority**
  - name
  - titleInfo
  - topic
  - temporal
  - genre
  - geographic
  - hierarchicalGeographic
  - occupation
- **related**
  - same subelements
- **variant**
  - same subelements
- **note**
- **affiliation**
- **url**
- **identifier**
- **fieldOfActivity**
- **extension**
- **recordInfo**

Uses of MADS

- As an XML format for information about people, organizations, titles, events, places, concepts
- To expose library metadata in authority files
- To allow for linking to an authoritative form and fuller description of the entity from a MODS record
- For a simpler authority record than full MARC 21 authorities
- To integrate bibliographic/authority information for presentation
Example: MADS Name Record

```xml
  <authority>
    <name type="personal">
      <namePart>Smith,John</namePart>
      <namePart type="date">1995-</namePart>
    </name>
  </authority>
  <variant type="other">
    <name>
      <namePart>Smith, J</namePart>
    </name>
  </variant>
  <variant type="other">
    <name>
      <namePart>Smith, John J</namePart>
    </name>
  </variant>
  <note type="history">Biographical note about John Smith.</note>
  <affiliation>
    <organization>Lawrence Livermore Laboratory</organization>
    <dateValid>1987</dateValid>
  </affiliation>
</mads>
```

Example: MADS Organization Record

```xml
  <authority>
    <name type="corporate">
      <namePart>Unesco</namePart>
    </name>
  </authority>
  <related type="parentOrg">
    <name>
      <namePart>United Nations</namePart>
    </name>
  </related>
  <variant type="expansion">
    <name>
      <namePart>United Nations Educational, Cultural, and Scientific Organization</namePart>
    </name>
  </variant>
</mads>
```
Some MADS implementations

- Irish Virtual Research Library and Archive Repository Prototype
- Perseus Digital Library (Tufts)
- Mark Twain Papers (University of California)
- Library of Congress/National Library of Egypt

Dublin Core: Simple

- Simple to use
- All elements are optional/repeatable
- No order of elements prescribed
- Interdisciplinary/International
- Promotes semantic interoperability
- Controlled vocabulary values may be expressed, but not the sources of the values
Dublin Core Elements

**Fifteen elements in Simple DC**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Creator</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Contributor</td>
<td>Coverage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subject</td>
<td>Publisher</td>
<td>Identifier</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relation</td>
<td>Rights</td>
<td>Format</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source</td>
<td>Coverage</td>
<td>Type</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

“Qualified” Dublin Core

- Includes 15 terms of the original DC Metadata Element Set, plus:
  - Additional properties and sub-properties
    - Examples: abstract, accessRights, audience, instructionalMethod, rightsHolder, provenance
  - Provides:
    - A fuller set of properties with specific requirements for content
    - A namespace that includes all properties
    - Explicit value vocabularies can be specified
DC Structure

- Property/element refinements are used at the element level in DC/XML
  - Relationships between properties and sub-properties explicit in the formal representation
  - Does not use XML “nesting” to express those relationships
- Encoding schemes (Syntax & Vocabulary)
  - Syntax ES: Essentially a datatype that communicates the format or structure of a string
  - Vocabulary ES: Includes values from an identified controlled vocabulary or list

Advantages: Dublin Core

- International and cross-domain
- Developed via an open review process
- Increased efficiency of the discovery/retrieval of digital objects
- Rich element set (qualified DC) provides a framework of elements which will aid the management of information
- Ease of mapping to other metadata standards promotes collaboration of cultural/educational information
Uses of Dublin Core

- Minimal standard for OAI-PMH
- Core element set in some other schemas
- Switching vocabulary for more complex schemas

Ex.: Simple Dublin Core

```xml
<metadata>
  <dc:title>3 Viennese arias: for soprano, obligato clarinet in B flat, and piano.</dc:title>
  <dc:contributor>Lawson, Colin (Colin James)</dc:contributor>
  <dc:contributor>Bononcini, Giovanni, 1670-1747.</dc:contributor>
  <dc:contributor>Joseph I, Holy Roman Emperor, 1678-1711.</dc:contributor>
  <dc:subject>Operas--Excerpts, Arranged--Scores and parts</dc:subject>
  <dc:subject>Songs (High voice) with instrumental ensemble--Scores and parts</dc:subject>
  <dc:subject>M1506 .A14 1984</dc:subject>
  <dc:date>1984</dc:date>
  <dc:format>1 score (12 p.) + 2 parts ; 31 cm.</dc:format>
  <dc:type>text</dc:type>
  <dc:identifier>85753651</dc:identifier>
  <dc:language>it</dc:language>
  <dc:language>en</dc:language>
  <dc:publisher>Nova Music</dc:publisher>
</metadata>
```
Ex.: Qualified Dublin Core

<metadata>
  <dc:title xml:lang="en">3 Viennese arias: for soprano, obbligato clarinet in B flat, and piano.</dc:title>
  <dc:contributor>Lawson, Colin (Colin James)</dc:contributor>
  <dc:contributor>Bononcini, Giovanni, 1670-1747.</dc:contributor>
  <dc:contributor>Joseph I, Holy Roman Emperor, 1678-1711.</dc:contributor>
  <dc:subject xsitype="LCSH">Operas--Excerpts, Arranged--Scores and parts</dc:subject>
  <dc:subject xsitype="LCSH">Songs (High voice) with instrumental ensemble--Scores and parts</dc:subject>
  <dc:subject xsitype="LCC">M1506 .A14 1984</dc:subject>
  <dc:date xsitype="W3CDTF">1984</dc:date>
  <dcterms:extent>1 score (12 p.) + 2 parts ; 31 cm.</dcterms:extent>
  <dc:type xsitype="DCMIType">Sound</dc:type>
  <dc:identifier>85753651</dc:identifier>
  <dc:language xsitype="RFC3066">it</dc:language>
  <dc:language xsitype="RFC3066">en</dc:language>
  <dc:publisher>Nova Music</dc:publisher>
</metadata>

Status of DC

- Dublin Core Metadata Element Set version 1.1
- Updated encoding guidelines
  - Proposed recommendation for expressing DC description sets using XML (Sept. 2008)
  - Final recommendation for expressing DC metadata using HTML/XHTML (Aug. 2008)
A selection of DC projects

- National Science Digital Library http://nsdl.org/
  - Aggregates a wide variety of source collections using Dublin Core
- Kentuckiana Digital Library http://kdl.kyvl.org/
  - For item level metadata, on DLXS software
- Gathering the Jewels http://www.gtj.org.uk/
  - Website for Welsh cultural history using DC standards
- MusicBrainz http://musicbrainz.org/
  - User-maintained community music recording database; extension of DC

Encoded Archival Description (EAD)

- Standard for electronic encoding of finding aids for archival and manuscript collections
- Expressed as an SGML/XML DTD
- Supports archival descriptive practices and standards
- Supports discovery, exchange and use of data
- Developed and maintained by Society of American Archivists; LC hosts the website
EAD, continued

- Based on the needs of the archival community
- Good at describing blocks of information, poor at providing granular information
- Some uptake by museum community
- Not a content standard
- EAC is a companion for information about creators of archival material
- Example: [http://purl.dlib.indiana.edu/iudl/findingaids/lilly/InU-Li-VAA1292](http://purl.dlib.indiana.edu/iudl/findingaids/lilly/InU-Li-VAA1292)

Benefits of an EAD finding aid

- Documents the interrelated descriptive information of an archival finding aid
- Preserves the hierarchical relationships existing between levels of description
- Represents descriptive information that is inherited by one hierarchical level from another
- Supports element-specific indexing and retrieval of descriptive information
Text Encoding Initiative (TEI)

- Consortium of institutions and research projects which collectively maintains and develops guidelines for the representation of texts in digital form.

- Includes representation of title pages, chapter breaks, tables of contents, as well as poetry, plays, charts, etc.

- The TEI file contains a “header” that holds metadata about the digital file & about the original source.

---

**TEI**

```
<fileDesc>
  <titleStmt>
    <title type="main">A chronicle of the conquest of Granada</title>
    <author>
      <name type="last">Irving</name>
      <name type="first">Washington</name>
      <dateRange from="1783" to="1859">1783-1859</dateRange>
    </author>
  </titleStmt>
  <extent>455 kilobytes</extent>
  <publicationStmt>
    <publisher>University of Virginia Library</publisher>
    <pubPlace>Charlottesville, Virginia</pubPlace>
    <date value="2006">2006</date>
  </publicationStmt>
  <availability status="public">
    <p n="copyright">Copyright © 2006 by the Rector and Visitors of the University of Virginia</p>
    <p n="access">Publicly accessible</p>
  </availability>
</fileDesc>
```
MORE TEI

<sourceDesc>
<titleStmt>
<title type="main">A chronicle of the conquest of Granada</title>
<author>
<name type="last">Irving</name>
<name type="first">Washington</name>
<dateRange from="1783" to="1859">1783-1859</dateRange>
</author>
</titleStmt>
<extent>345 p. ; 21 cm.</extent>
<publicationStmt>
<publisher>Carey, Lea &amp; Carey</publisher>
<pubPlace>Philadelphia</pubPlace>
<date value="1829">1829</date>
</publicationStmt>
</sourceDesc>

Selection of TEI projects

- American Memory (uses a TEI-conformant DTD
  - http://memory.loc.gov/ammem/index.html
- Early Canada Online
  - http://www.canadiana.org/
- Victorian Women Writers Project
  - http://www.indiana.edu/~letrs/vwwp/index.html
- Oxford Text Archive
  - http://ota.ahds.ac.uk/
### VRA Core


- A categorical organization for the description of works of visual culture as well as the images that document them.

- Consists of a metadata element set and an initial blueprint for how those elements can be hierarchically structured.

---

### Work, Collection or Image

- work, collection or image
- agent
- culturalContext
- date
- description
- inscription
- location
- Material
- Measurements
- relation
- rights
- source
- stateEdition
- stylePeriod
- subject
- technique
- textRef
- title
- workType
Advantages of VRA

- Allows description of original and digital object
- Level of granularity greater than Dublin Core, less than MARC and supports specific discipline
- Now content rules have been developed (CCO)

VRA Core

```xml
<work>
    <titleSet>
        <title pref="true" source="LC NAF">Rotunda</title>
    </titleSet>
    <agentSet>
        <agent>
            <name type="personal" vocab="LC NAF" refid="n 79089957">Jefferson, Thomas</name>
            <dates type="life">1743</dates> <latestDate>1826</latestDate>
            <role>architect</role>
            <culture>American</culture>
        </agent>
        <agent>
            <name type="personal" vocab="LC NAF" refid="n 50020242">White, Stanford</name>
            <dates type="life">1853</dates> <latestDate>1906</latestDate>
            <role>architect</role>
            <culture>American</culture>
            <notes>Architect of 1896-1897 renovation</notes>
        </agent>
    </agentSet>
</work>
```
<dateSet>
  <date type="construction">
    <earliestDate>1822</earliestDate><latestDate>1826</latestDate>
  </date>
  <notes>Construction begun October, 1822, completed September, 1826.</notes>
</dateSet>

<dateSet>
  <date type="destruction">
    <earliestDate>1895</earliestDate>
  </date>
  <notes>Burned October 27, 1895.</notes>
</dateSet>

<dateSet>
  <date type="renovation">
    <earliestDate>1896</earliestDate><latestDate>1897</latestDate>
  </date>
  <notes>Rebuilt to designs of Stanford White, 1896-1897.</notes>
</dateSet>

<locationSet>
  <location type="site">
    <name type="geographic" vocab="TGN" refid="2002201">
      Charlottesville, Virginia
    </name>
  </location>
</locationSet>
More VRA Core

<titleSet>
  <title type="descriptive">View from gymasia</title>
</titleSet>

<agentSet>
  <agent>
    <name type="personal" vocab="LC NAF" refid="n 82111472">Lay, K. Edward</name>
    <culture>American</culture>
    <role>photographer</role>
  </agent>
</agentSet>

<dateSet>
  <date type="creation">
    <earliestDate>1995</earliestDate>
    <latestDate>2000</latestDate>
  </date>
</dateSet>

<locationSet>
  <location type="repository">
    <name type="corporate">University of Virginia Library</name>
    <name type="geographic" vocab="TGN" refid="2002201">Charlottesville</name>
  </location>
</locationSet>

<rightsSet>
  <rights type="credit">K. Edward Lay</rights>
  <rights type="access">Publicly accessible</rights>
</rightsSet>

A Selection of VRA Core Projects

- Luna Imaging
- ARTstor
  - http://www.artstor.org/
- Visual Information Access (VIA), Harvard University Libraries
  - http://via.lib.harvard.edu/via/
Learning Object Metadata (LOM)

- An array of related standards for description of ‘learning objects’ or ‘learning resources’
- Most based on efforts of the IEEE LTSC (Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers Learning Technology Standards Committee) and the IMS Global Learning Consortium, Inc.
- Tends to be very complex with few implementations outside of government and industry
- One well-documented implementation is CanCore

Uses of IEEE-LOM

- Describe and share information about learning objects individually or as a group
- Export as LOM in XML or RDF
- Most descriptive elements mapped to Dublin Core
- Can be used with the IMS VDEX (Vocabulary Definition Exchange)
A Selection of IEEE-LOM Projects

- CanCore
  - [http://www.cancore.ca/](http://www.cancore.ca/)
- LearnAlberta.ca
  - [http://www.learnalberta.ca/](http://www.learnalberta.ca/)
  - Grades K-12
- Learning Object Repository Network

What is ONIX for Books?

- Originally devised to simplify the provision of book product information to online retailers (name stood for ONline Information eXchange)
- First version flat XML, second version included hierarchy and elements repeated within ‘composites’
- Maintained by Editeur, with the the Book Industry Study Group (New York) and Book Industry Communication (London)
- Includes marketing and shipping oriented information: book jacket blurb and photos, full size and weight info, etc.
Advantages of ONIX

- Provides publisher information in a widely used standard format
- Promotes exchange of information with publishers, vendors, book sellers, libraries
- “Value-added” information (ex., book jacket images, reviews) benefits book sellers (online commercial sites) and libraries (online catalogs)
- More [information], faster [transmission], cheaper? better?

A selection of ONIX projects

- [http://www.editeur.org/onix.html](http://www.editeur.org/onix.html)
- ONIX Administrators
  - EDItEUR (European & international)
  - Book Industry Communication (BIC) (European and international)
  - Book Industry Study Group, Inc. (BISG) (U.S.)
- Amazon.com
- Association of American Publishers
- Baker & Taylor
- Barnes & Noble
- Google
- McGraw-Hill Companies
PBCore

- Public Broadcasting Core element set
  - [http://www.pbcore.org/](http://www.pbcore.org/)
  - Built on Dublin Core (but does not comply with the Abstract Model)
- Provides a shared descriptive language for public broadcasters
  - Used for television, radio, Web activities

PBCore Elements

- 53 elements arranged in 15 containers and 3 sub-containers
- Four classes:
  - Intellectual Content (title, subject, description, audienceLevel ...)
  - Intellectual Property (creator, contributor, publisher, rightsSummary)
  - Instantiation (dateCreated, formatFileSize, formatDuration, formatTracks, language)
  - Extensions
Uses of PBCore

- Shared descriptive language for public broadcasters
- Useful for both public search and viewing, and internal asset management
- Facilitates production collaborations
- Ability to parse programs into short segments for Web distribution, niche community needs

Selection of PBCore projects

- Wisconsin Public Television (WPT) Media Library Online
  [http://wptmedialibrary.wpt.org/](http://wptmedialibrary.wpt.org/)
- Kentucky Educational Television (KET) [http://www.ket.org/](http://www.ket.org/)
- New Jersey Network (NJN) [http://www.njn.net/](http://www.njn.net/)
Modeling metadata: why use models?

- To understand what entities you are dealing with
- To understand what metadata are relevant to which entities
- To understand relationships between different entities
- To organize your metadata to make it more predictable (and be able to use automated tools)

Descriptive metadata models

- Conceptual models for bibliographic and authority data
  - Functional Requirements for Bibliographic Records (FRBR)
  - Functional Requirement for Authority Data (FRAD)
- Dublin Core Abstract Model (DCAM)
- Some other models:
  - CIDOC Conceptual Reference Model (emerged from museum community)
  - INDECS (for intellectual property rights)
- There are many conceptual models intended for different purposes
Bibliographic relationships (pre-FRBR)

- Tillett’s Taxonomy (1987)
  - Equivalence
  - Derivative
  - Descriptive
  - Whole-part
  - Accompanying
  - Sequential
  - Shared-characteristic

Bibliographic relationships in MARC/MODS

- MARC Linking entry fields
- MARC relationships by specific encoding format
  - Authority vs bibliographic vs holdings
- MODS relationships
  - relatedItem types
  - Relationship to METS document
FRBR (1996)

- IFLA Study Group on the Functional Requirements for Bibliographic Records
- Focused on the bibliographic record rather than the catalog
- Used an entity relationship model, rather than descriptive analysis without a structural model
- Broader in scope than previous studies

FRBR Entities

- Bibliographic entities: works, expressions, manifestations, items
- Responsible parties: persons, corporate bodies
- Subject entities: concepts, objects, events, places
Group 1 Entities and Relationships

- An Expression “realizes” A Work
- A Manifestation “embodies” An Expression
- An Item “exemplifies” A Manifestation

[Thanks to Sherry Vellucci for this slide.]

DC Abstract Model

- Reaffirms the One-to-One Principle
- Defines ‘statement’ as the atomic level
- Distinguishes between “description” and “description set”:
  - **Description**: “A description is made up of one or more statements about one, and only one, resource.”
  - **Description Set**: “A description set is a set of one or more descriptions about one or more resources.”
- RDA vocabularies being developed to use the DC Abstract Model
A record consists of descriptions, using properties and values. A value can be a string or a pointer to another description.

Basic model: Resource with properties

A Play has the title “Antony and Cleopatra,” was written in 1606 by William Shakespeare, and is about “Roman history”
An Exercise

- Each group will be given a printout of a digital object
- Create a brief metadata record based on the standard assigned to your group
- Take notes about the issues and decisions made
- Appoint a spokesperson to present the metadata record created & the issues involved (5-10 minutes)
Exercise for Session 2: Descriptive metadata
Student instructions and Template

**Example 1:**
America’s pinch hit march
Sheet music; 3 pages (2 pages music with cover)
http://lcweb2.loc.gov/diglib/ihas/loc.natlib.ihas.200033287/default.html
(images are in the packet)

**Example 2:**
52nd Street, New York, N.Y.
http://lcweb2.loc.gov/diglib/ihas/loc.natlib.gottlieb.02771/default.html
(image is in the packet)

Fill in the following metadata elements in the metadata scheme assigned:

Title/subtitle
Creator/name (with role defined if possible)
Type of resource
Publication/origin information with place, publisher, date
Physical description
Subject
URL
America's Pinch Hit March
(THE HIT THAT ENDED THE WORLD'S GREATEST WAR)

Intro.

By BERTHA STANFIELD DEMPSEY

Copyright MCMXIX by Bertha Stanfield Dempsey.
[52nd Street, New York, N.Y., ca. 1948] / William P. Gottlieb [photograph]
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data Element (Field)</th>
<th>Data Value (Content)</th>
<th>Controlled Vocabulary Yes or No Specify, if any</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
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<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
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</tr>
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<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data Element (Field)</td>
<td>Data Value (Content)</td>
<td>Controlled Vocabulary Yes or No Specify, if any</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
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<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
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<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
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<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
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<td></td>
</tr>
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<td></td>
<td></td>
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<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
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<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data Element (Field)</td>
<td>Data Value (Content)</td>
<td>Controlled Vocabulary Yes or No Specify, if any</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
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<td></td>
<td></td>
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</table>
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Introduction
The Dublin Core Metadata Element Set is a vocabulary of fifteen properties for use in resource description. The name "Dublin" is due to its origin at a 1995 invitational workshop in Dublin, Ohio; "core" because its elements are broad and generic, usable for describing a wide range of resources.

The fifteen element "Dublin Core" described in this standard is part of a larger set of metadata vocabularies and technical specifications maintained by the Dublin Core Metadata Initiative (DCMI). The full set of vocabularies, DCMI Metadata Terms [DCMI-TERMS], also includes sets of resource classes (including the DCMI Type Vocabulary [DCMI-TYPE]), vocabulary encoding schemes, and syntax encoding schemes. The terms in DCMI vocabularies are intended to be used in combination with terms from other, compatible vocabularies in the context of application profiles and on the basis of the DCMI Abstract Model [DCAM].

All changes made to terms of the Dublin Core Metadata Element Set since 2001 have been reviewed by a DCMI Usage Board in the context of a DCMI Namespace Policy [DCMI-NAMESPACE]. The namespace policy describes how DCMI terms are assigned Uniform Resource Identifiers (URIs) and sets limits on the range of editorial changes that may allowably be made to the labels, definitions, and usage comments associated with existing DCMI terms.

This document, an excerpt from the more comprehensive document "DCMI Metadata Terms" [DCTERMS] provides an abbreviated reference version of the fifteen element descriptions that have been formally endorsed in the following standards:

- IETF RFC 5013 of August 2007 [RFC5013]

Since 1998, when these fifteen elements entered into a standardization track, notions of best
practice in the Semantic Web have evolved to include the assignment of formal domains and ranges in addition to definitions in natural language. Domains and ranges specify what kind of described resources and value resources are associated with a given property. Domains and ranges express the meanings implicit in natural-language definitions in an explicit form that is usable for the automatic processing of logical inferences. When a given property is encountered, an inferencing application may use information about the domains and ranges assigned to a property in order to make inferences about the resources described thereby.

Since January 2008, therefore, DCMI includes formal domains and ranges in the definitions of its properties. So as not to affect the conformance of existing implementations of "simple Dublin Core" in RDF, domains and ranges have not been specified for the fifteen properties of the dc: namespace (http://purl.org/dc/elements/1.1/). Rather, fifteen new properties with "names" identical to those of the Dublin Core Metadata Element Set Version 1.1 have been created in the dcterms: namespace (http://purl.org/dc/terms/). These fifteen new properties have been defined as subproperties of the corresponding properties of DCMES Version 1.1 and assigned domains and ranges as specified in the more comprehensive document "DCMI Metadata Terms" [DCTERMS].

Implementers may freely choose to use these fifteen properties either in their legacy dc: variant (e.g., http://purl.org/dc/elements/1.1/creator) or in the dcterms: variant (e.g., http://purl.org/dc/terms/creator) depending on application requirements. The RDF schemas of the DCMI namespaces describe the subproperty relation of dcterms:creator to dc:creator for use by Semantic Web-aware applications. Over time, however, implementers are encouraged to use the semantically more precise dcterms: properties, as they more fully follow emerging notions of best practice for machine-processable metadata.

References


The Elements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term Name: contributor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>URI:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Label:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Definition:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comment:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term Name: coverage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>URI:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Label:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Definition:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comment:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Term Name: creator**

URI: [purl.org/dc/elements/1.1/creator](http://purl.org/dc/elements/1.1/creator)

Label: Creator

Definition: An entity primarily responsible for making the resource.

Comment: Examples of a Creator include a person, an organization, or a service. Typically, the name of a Creator should be used to indicate the entity.

**Term Name: date**

URI: [purl.org/dc/elements/1.1/date](http://purl.org/dc/elements/1.1/date)

Label: Date

Definition: A point or period of time associated with an event in the lifecycle of the resource.

Comment: Date may be used to express temporal information at any level of granularity. Recommended best practice is to use an encoding scheme, such as the W3CDTF profile of ISO 8601 [W3CDTF].

References: [W3CDTF] http://www.w3.org/TR/NOTE-datetime

**Term Name: description**

URI: [purl.org/dc/elements/1.1/description](http://purl.org/dc/elements/1.1/description)

Label: Description

Definition: An account of the resource.

Comment: Description may include but is not limited to: an abstract, a table of contents, a graphical representation, or a free-text account of the resource.

**Term Name: format**

URI: [purl.org/dc/elements/1.1/format](http://purl.org/dc/elements/1.1/format)

Label: Format

Definition: The file format, physical medium, or dimensions of the resource.
Comment: Examples of dimensions include size and duration. Recommended best practice is to use a controlled vocabulary such as the list of Internet Media Types [MIME].

References: [MIME] http://www.iana.org/assignments/media-types/

Term Name: identifier
URI: http://purl.org/dc/elements/1.1/identifier
Label: Identifier
Definition: An unambiguous reference to the resource within a given context.
Comment: Recommended best practice is to identify the resource by means of a string conforming to a formal identification system.

Term Name: language
URI: http://purl.org/dc/elements/1.1/language
Label: Language
Definition: A language of the resource.
Comment: Recommended best practice is to use a controlled vocabulary such as RFC 4646 [RFC4646].

Term Name: publisher
URI: http://purl.org/dc/elements/1.1/publisher
Label: Publisher
Definition: An entity responsible for making the resource available.
Comment: Examples of a Publisher include a person, an organization, or a service. Typically, the name of a Publisher should be used to indicate the entity.

Term Name: relation
URI: http://purl.org/dc/elements/1.1/relation
Label: Relation
Definition: A related resource.
Comment: Recommended best practice is to identify the related resource by means of a string conforming to a formal identification system.

Term Name: rights
URI: http://purl.org/dc/elements/1.1/rights
Label: Rights
Typically, rights information includes a statement about various property rights associated with the resource, including intellectual property rights.

**Term Name:** source  
**URI:** http://purl.org/dc/elements/1.1/source  
**Label:** Source  
**Definition:** A related resource from which the described resource is derived.

The described resource may be derived from the related resource in whole or in part. Recommended best practice is to identify the related resource by means of a string conforming to a formal identification system.

**Term Name:** subject  
**URI:** http://purl.org/dc/elements/1.1/subject  
**Label:** Subject  
**Definition:** The topic of the resource.

Typically, the subject will be represented using keywords, key phrases, or classification codes. Recommended best practice is to use a controlled vocabulary. To describe the spatial or temporal topic of the resource, use the Coverage element.

**Term Name:** title  
**URI:** http://purl.org/dc/elements/1.1/title  
**Label:** Title  
**Definition:** A name given to the resource.

Typically, a Title will be a name by which the resource is formally known.

**Term Name:** type  
**URI:** http://purl.org/dc/elements/1.1/type  
**Label:** Type  
**Definition:** The nature or genre of the resource.

Recommended best practice is to use a controlled vocabulary such as the DCMI Type Vocabulary [DCMITYPE]. To describe the file format, physical medium, or dimensions of the resource, use the Format element.

DCMI Type Vocabulary

Title: DCMI Type Vocabulary
Creator: DCMI Usage Board
Identifier: http://dublincore.org/documents/2008/01/14/dcml-type-vocabulary/
Date Issued: 2008-01-14
Latest: http://dublincore.org/documents/dcmi-type-vocabulary/
Version: 
Replaced By: Not applicable
Translations: http://dublincore.org/resources/translations/
Document Status: This is a DCMI Recommendation.

Description: The DCMI Type Vocabulary provides a general, cross-domain list of approved terms that may be used as values for the Resource Type element to identify the genre of a resource. The terms documented here are also included in the more comprehensive document "DCMI Metadata Terms" at http://dublincore.org/documents/dcmi-terms/.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term Name: Collection</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>URI: <a href="http://purl.org/dc/dcmitype/Collection">http://purl.org/dc/dcmitype/Collection</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Label: Collection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Definition: An aggregation of resources.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comment: A collection is described as a group; its parts may also be separately described.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of Term: Class</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Member Of:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Version:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Term Name:** Dataset

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>URI:</th>
<th><a href="http://purl.org/dc/dcmitype/Dataset">http://purl.org/dc/dcmitype/Dataset</a></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Label:</td>
<td>Dataset</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Definition:</td>
<td>Data encoded in a defined structure.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comment:</td>
<td>Examples include lists, tables, and databases. A dataset may be useful for direct machine processing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of Term:</td>
<td>Class</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Member Of:</td>
<td><a href="http://purl.org/dc/terms/DCMIType">http://purl.org/dc/terms/DCMIType</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Version:</td>
<td><a href="http://dublincore.org/usage/terms/history/#Dataset-003">http://dublincore.org/usage/terms/history/#Dataset-003</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Term Name:** Event

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>URI:</th>
<th><a href="http://purl.org/dc/dcmitype/Event">http://purl.org/dc/dcmitype/Event</a></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Label:</td>
<td>Event</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Definition:</td>
<td>A non-persistent, time-based occurrence.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comment:</td>
<td>Metadata for an event provides descriptive information that is the basis for discovery of the purpose, location, duration, and responsible agents associated with an event. Examples include an exhibition, webcast, conference, workshop, open day, performance, battle, trial, wedding, tea party, conflagration.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of Term:</td>
<td>Class</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Member Of:</td>
<td><a href="http://purl.org/dc/terms/DCMIType">http://purl.org/dc/terms/DCMIType</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Version:</td>
<td><a href="http://dublincore.org/usage/terms/history/#Event-003">http://dublincore.org/usage/terms/history/#Event-003</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Term Name:** Image
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>URI:</th>
<th><a href="http://purl.org/dc/dcmitype/Image">http://purl.org/dc/dcmitype/Image</a></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Label:</td>
<td>Image</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Definition:</td>
<td>A visual representation other than text.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comment:</td>
<td>Examples include images and photographs of physical objects, paintings, prints, drawings, other images and graphics, animations and moving pictures, film, diagrams, maps, musical notation. Note that Image may include both electronic and physical representations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of Term:</td>
<td>Class</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Broader Than:</td>
<td><a href="http://purl.org/dc/dcmitype/StillImage">http://purl.org/dc/dcmitype/StillImage</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Broader Than:</td>
<td><a href="http://purl.org/dc/dcmitype/MovingImage">http://purl.org/dc/dcmitype/MovingImage</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Member Of:</td>
<td><a href="http://purl.org/dc/terms/DCMIType">http://purl.org/dc/terms/DCMIType</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Version:</td>
<td><a href="http://dublincore.org/usage/terms/history/#Image-004">http://dublincore.org/usage/terms/history/#Image-004</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Term Name: InteractiveResource**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>URI:</th>
<th><a href="http://purl.org/dc/dcmitype/InteractiveResource">http://purl.org/dc/dcmitype/InteractiveResource</a></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Label:</td>
<td>Interactive Resource</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Definition:</td>
<td>A resource requiring interaction from the user to be understood, executed, or experienced.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comment:</td>
<td>Examples include forms on Web pages, applets, multimedia learning objects, chat services, or virtual reality environments.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of Term:</td>
<td>Class</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Member Of:</td>
<td><a href="http://purl.org/dc/terms/DCMIType">http://purl.org/dc/terms/DCMIType</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Version:</td>
<td><a href="http://dublincore.org/usage/terms/history/#InteractiveResource-003">http://dublincore.org/usage/terms/history/#InteractiveResource-003</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Term Name: MovingImage**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>URI:</th>
<th><a href="http://purl.org/dc/dcmitype/MovingImage">http://purl.org/dc/dcmitype/MovingImage</a></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Label:</td>
<td>Moving Image</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Definition:</td>
<td>A series of visual representations imparting an impression of motion when shown in succession.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comment:</td>
<td>Examples include animations, movies, television programs, videos, zoetropes, or visual output from a simulation. Instances of the type Moving Image must also be describable as instances of the broader type Image.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of Term:</td>
<td>Class</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Narrower Than:</td>
<td><a href="http://purl.org/dc/dcmitype/Image">http://purl.org/dc/dcmitype/Image</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Member Of:</td>
<td><a href="http://purl.org/dc/terms/DCMIType">http://purl.org/dc/terms/DCMIType</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Version:</td>
<td><a href="http://dublincore.org/usage/terms/history/#MovingImage-003">http://dublincore.org/usage/terms/history/#MovingImage-003</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Term Name: PhysicalObject**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>URI:</th>
<th><a href="http://purl.org/dc/dcmitype/PhysicalObject">http://purl.org/dc/dcmitype/PhysicalObject</a></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Label:</td>
<td>Physical Object</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Definition:</td>
<td>An inanimate, three-dimensional object or substance.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comment:</td>
<td>Note that digital representations of, or surrogates for, these objects should use Image, Text or one of the other types.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of Term:</td>
<td>Class</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Member Of:</td>
<td><a href="http://purl.org/dc/terms/DCMIType">http://purl.org/dc/terms/DCMIType</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Version:</td>
<td><a href="http://dublincore.org/usage/terms/history/#PhysicalObject-003">http://dublincore.org/usage/terms/history/#PhysicalObject-003</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Term Name: Service**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>URI:</th>
<th><a href="http://purl.org/dc/dcmitype/Service">http://purl.org/dc/dcmitype/Service</a></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Label:</td>
<td>Service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Definition:</td>
<td>A system that provides one or more functions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comment:</td>
<td>Examples include a photocopying service, a banking service, an authentication service, interlibrary loans, a Z39.50 or Web server.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of Term:</td>
<td>Class</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Member Of:</td>
<td><a href="http://purl.org/dc/terms/DCMIType">http://purl.org/dc/terms/DCMIType</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Version:</td>
<td><a href="http://dublincore.org/usage/terms/history/#Service-003">http://dublincore.org/usage/terms/history/#Service-003</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Term Name: Software

| URI: | http://purl.org/dc/dcmitype/Software |
| Label: | Software |
| Definition: | A computer program in source or compiled form. |
| Comment: | Examples include a C source file, MS-Windows .exe executable, or Perl script. |
| Type of Term: | Class |
| Member Of: | http://purl.org/dc/terms/DCMIType |
| Version: | http://dublincore.org/usage/terms/history/#Software-003 |

### Term Name: Sound

<p>| URI: | <a href="http://purl.org/dc/dcmitype/Sound">http://purl.org/dc/dcmitype/Sound</a> |
| Label: | Sound |
| Definition: | A resource primarily intended to be heard. |
| Comment: | Examples include a music playback file format, an audio compact disc, and recorded speech or sounds. |
| Type of Term: | Class |
| Member Of: | <a href="http://purl.org/dc/terms/DCMIType">http://purl.org/dc/terms/DCMIType</a> |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Version:</th>
<th><a href="http://dublincore.org/usage/terms/history/#Sound-003">http://dublincore.org/usage/terms/history/#Sound-003</a></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Term Name:** StillImage

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>URI:</th>
<th><a href="http://purl.org/dc/dcmitype/StillImage">http://purl.org/dc/dcmitype/StillImage</a></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Label:</th>
<th>Still Image</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Definition:</th>
<th>A static visual representation.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comment:</th>
<th>Examples include paintings, drawings, graphic designs, plans and maps. Recommended best practice is to assign the type Text to images of textual materials. Instances of the type Still Image must also be describable as instances of the broader type Image.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Term:</th>
<th>Class</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Narrower Than:</th>
<th><a href="http://purl.org/dc/dcmitype/Image">http://purl.org/dc/dcmitype/Image</a></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Member Of:</th>
<th><a href="http://purl.org/dc/terms/DCMIType">http://purl.org/dc/terms/DCMIType</a></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Version:</th>
<th><a href="http://dublincore.org/usage/terms/history/#StillImage-003">http://dublincore.org/usage/terms/history/#StillImage-003</a></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

---

**Term Name:** Text

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>URI:</th>
<th><a href="http://purl.org/dc/dcmitype/Text">http://purl.org/dc/dcmitype/Text</a></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Label:</th>
<th>Text</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Definition:</th>
<th>A resource consisting primarily of words for reading.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comment:</th>
<th>Examples include books, letters, dissertations, poems, newspapers, articles, archives of mailing lists. Note that facsimiles or images of texts are still of the genre Text.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Term:</th>
<th>Class</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Member Of:</th>
<th><a href="http://purl.org/dc/terms/DCMIType">http://purl.org/dc/terms/DCMIType</a></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Version:</th>
<th><a href="http://dublincore.org/usage/terms/history/#Text-003">http://dublincore.org/usage/terms/history/#Text-003</a></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

---
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Outline of elements and attributes in MODS Version 3.3

This document contains a listing of elements and their related attributes in MODS Version 3.3 with values or value sources where applicable. It is an "outline" of the schema. Items highlighted in red indicate changes made to MODS in Version 3.3.

All top-level elements and all attributes are optional, but you must have at least one element. Subelements are optional, although in some cases you may not have empty containers. Attributes are not in a mandated sequence and not repeatable (per XML rules). "Ordered" below means the subelements must occur in the order given. Elements are repeatable unless otherwise noted.

"Authority" attributes are either followed by codes for authority lists (e.g., iso639-2b) or "see" references that link to documents that contain codes for identifying authority lists.

For additional information about any MODS elements (except for new 3.3 elements), please see the MODS User Guidelines.

**Top Level Elements:**

- titleInfo
- name
- typeOfResource
- genre
- originInfo
- language
- physicalDescription
- abstract
- tableOfContents
- targetAudience
- note
- subject
- classification
- relatedItem
- identifier
- location
- accessCondition
- part
- extension
- recordInfo

**Root Elements:**

- mods
- modsCollection

---

**Top Level Elements**

1. **titleInfo**

   **Subelements:**
   
   - title
   - subTitle
   - partNumber
partName
nonSort

Attributes:
ID; xlink; lang; xml:lang; script; transliteration
type (enumerated: abbreviated, translated, alternative, uniform)
authority (see: www.loc.gov/marc/sourcecode/authorityfile/authorityfilesource.html)
displayLabel

2. name
Subelements:
namePart
  Attribute: type (date, family, given, termsOfAddress)
displayForm
affiliation
role
  roleTerm
    Attributes: type (code, text); authority
    (see: www.loc.gov/marc/sourcecode/relator/relatorsource.html)
description
Attributes:
ID; xlink; lang; xml:lang; script; transliteration
type (enumerated: personal, corporate, conference)
authority (see: www.loc.gov/marc/sourcecode/authorityfile/authorityfilesource.html)

3. typeOfResource
Enumerated values:
text
cartographic
notated music
sound recording-musical
sound recording-nonmusical
sound recording
still image
moving image
three dimensional object
software, multimedia
mixed material
Subelements:
[none]
Attributes:
collection (yes)
manuscript (yes)

4. genre
Subelements:
[none]
Attributes:
lang; xml:lang; script; transliteration
authority (see: www.loc.gov/marc/sourcecode/genre/genresource.html)
5. originInfo

Subelement: place

placeTerm

Attributes: type (code, text); authority (marcgac, marccountry, iso3166)

publisher
dateIssued

Attributes: encoding (w3cdtf, iso8601, marc); point (start, end); keyDate (yes); qualifier (approximate, inferred, questionable)
dateCreated
dateCaptured

dateValid
dateModified
copyrightDate
dateOther

etdition
issuance (continuing, monographic)
frequency

Attribute: authority
(see: www.loc.gov/marc/sourcecode/frequency/frequencyhome.html)

Attributes:
lang; xml:lang; script; transliteration

6. language

Subelements: languageTerm

Attributes: type (code, text); authority (iso639-2b, rfc3066, iso639-3, rfc4646)

Attributes:
objectPart

7. physicalDescription

Subelements: form

Attribute: authority (see: www.loc.gov/marc/sourcecode/form/formssource.html); type (Examples: material, technique)
reformattingQuality (access, preservation, replacement)
internetMediaType
extent
digitalOrigin (born digital, reformatted digital, digitized microfilm, digitized other analog)

note  

  Attributes: xlink; lang; xml:lang; script; transliteration; displayLabel; type
  (For a list of implemented note types, see: www.loc.gov/standards/mods/mods-notes.html)

Attributes:
  lang
  xml:lang
  script
  transliteration

8. abstract
Subelements:
  [none]
Attributes:
  xlink; lang; xml:lang; script; transliteration
displayLabel
type (Examples: review, scope and content)

9. tableOfContents
Subelements:
  [none]
Attributes:
  xlink; lang; xml:lang; script; transliteration
displayLabel
type (Examples: incomplete contents, partial contents)

10. targetAudience
Subelements:
  [none]
Attributes:
  lang; xml:lang; script; transliteration
  authority (see: www.loc.gov/marc/sourcecode/target/targetsource.html)

11. note
Subelements:
  [none]
Attributes:
  ID; xlink; lang; xml:lang; script; transliteration
displayLabel
type (For a list of implemented note types, see: www.loc.gov/standards/mods/mods-notes.html)

12. subject
Subelements:


- **topic**
- **geographic**
- **temporal**

  **Attributes:** encoding (w3cdtf, iso8601, marc); point (start, end); keyDate (yes); qualifier (approximate, inferred, questionable)

- **titleInfo** (see: titleInfo)
- **name** (see: name)
- **geographicCode**

  **Attribute:** authority (marcgac, marccountry, iso3166)

- **genre**

- **hierarchicalGeographic**
  - continent
  - country
  - province
  - region
  - state
  - territory
  - county
  - city
  - island
  - area
  - extraterrestrialArea
  - citySection

- **cartographics** [ordered]
  - scale
  - projection
  - coordinates

- **occupation**

  **Attributes:**
  - ID; xlink; lang; xml:lang; script; transliteration
  - authority (see: www.loc.gov/marc/sourcecode/subject/subjectsource.html)

**13. classification**

  **Subelements:**
  - [none]

  **Attributes:**
  - lang; xml:lang; script; transliteration
  - authority (see: www.loc.gov/marc/sourcecode/classification/classificationsource.html)
  - edition
  - displayLabel

**14. relatedItem**

  **Subelements:**

  *(Any MODS element may be used as defined in the schema with appropriate subelements.)*

  - **titleInfo**
  - **name**
typeOfResource
genre
originInfo
language
physicalDescription
abstract
tableOfContents
targetAudience
note
subject
classification
relatedItem
identifier
location
accessCondition
part
extension
recordInfo

Attributes:
ID; xlink
displayLabel
type (enumerated: preceding, succeeding, original, host, constituent, series, otherVersion, otherFormat, isReferencedBy)

15. identifier
Subelements: [none]
Attributes:
lang; xml:lang; script; transliteration
type (suggested values: hdl, doi, isbn, isrc, ismn, issn, issue number, istc, lccn, local, matrix number, music publisher, music plate, sici, uri, upc, videorecording identifier, stock number)
invalid (yes)

16. location
Subelements:
physicalLocation
Attributes: authority
(see: www.loc.gov/marc/sourcecode/organization/organizationsource.html);
displayLabel; type (Examples: current, discovery, former, creation); lang;
xml:lang; script; transliteration; xlink

shelfLocator

url

Attributes:
dateLastAccessed
displayLabel
note
access (preview, raw object, object in context)
usage (primary display)
holdingSimple (not repeatable)
copyInformation
form (not repeatable)
  Attribute: authority
sublocation
shelfLocator
electronicLocator
note
  Attributes: displayLabel, type
enumerationAndChronology
  Attributes: unitType (1,2,3)
  Note: 1=basic bibliographic unit; 2=supplement; 3=index
holdingExternal (not repeatable)
(Extensible to use other holdings schemas)

17. accessCondition
(Extensible to allow for other more detailed rights schemas.)
Subelements:
  [none]
Attributes:
xlink; lang; xml:lang; script; transliteration
displayLabel
type (suggested values: restriction on access; use and reproduction)

18. part
Subelements:
detail
  number
caption
title
  Attributes: type (suggested values: part, volume, issue, chapter, section, paragraph, track); level
extent [ordered]
  start
  end
  total
list
  Attribute: unit (suggested values: pages, minutes)
date
  Attributes: encoding (w3cdtf, iso8601, marc); point (start,end); qualifier (approximate, inferred, questionable)
text
  Attributes: xlink; lang; xml:lang; transliteration; script; displayLabel; type
Attributes:
  ID
type (suggested values: volume, issue, chapter, section, paragraph, track)
19. extension

**Subelements:**

- [none]

**Attributes:**

- [none]

20. recordInfo

**Subelements:**

- recordContentSource
  
  **Attributes:**
  
  - authority
    
    (see: [www.loc.gov/marc/sourcecode/organization/organizationsource.html](http://www.loc.gov/marc/sourcecode/organization/organizationsource.html))
  - lang; xml:lang; script; transliteration

- recordCreationDate
  
  **Attributes:**
  
  - encoding (w3cdtf, iso8601, marc); point (start, end); keyDate (yes); qualifier (approximate, inferred, questionable)

- recordChangeDate
  
  **Attributes:**
  
  - encoding (w3cdtf, iso8601, marc); point (start, end); keyDate (yes); qualifier (approximate, inferred, questionable)

- recordIdentifier
  
  **Attribute:**
  
  - source

- recordOrigin

- languageOfCataloging

- languageTerm
  
  **Attributes:**
  
  - type (code, text); authority (iso639-2b, rfc3066)

- descriptionStandard (see: [http://www.loc.gov/marc/relators/reladesc.html#rela040b](http://www.loc.gov/marc/relators/reladesc.html#rela040b))

**Attributes:**

- lang; xml:lang; script; transliteration

---

**Root Elements**

1. **mods** (A single MODS record)

   **Subelements:**

   See: [Top Level Elements](#)

   **Attributes:**

   - ID
   - version

2. **modsCollection** (A collection of MODS records)

   **Subelements:**

   - mods

   **Attributes:**

   - [none]
VRA Core 4.0 Outline

Global Attributes
- dataDate
- extent
- href
- pref
- refid
- rules
- source
- vocab
- xml:lang

Syntax (using date element as example)
<work id=""/>
<dateSet>
  <display></display>
  <notes></notes>
  <date type="">
    <earliestDate></earliestDate>
    <latestDate></latestDate>
  </date>
</dateSet>
</work>

ELEMENTS
- work, collection, or image (id)
- agent
  - attribution
  - culture
  - dates (type)
    - earliestDate (circa)
    - latestDate (circa)
  - name (type)
  - role
- culturalContext
- date (type)
  - earliestDate (circa)
  - latestDate (circa)
- description
- inscription
  - author
  - position
  - text (type)
- location (type)
  - name (type)
  - refid (type)
- material (type)
- measurements (type, unit)
- relation (type, relids)
- rights (type)
  - rightsHolder
  - text
- source
  - name (type)
  - refid (type)
- stateEdition (count, num, type)
  - description
  - name
- stylePeriod
- subject
  - term (type)
- technique
- textref
  - name (type)
  - refid (type)
- title (type)
- worktype
Appendix 1: Related Data Standards (when online versions are available they are listed below)

Data element sets:

Categories for the Description of Works of Art
http://www.getty.edu/research/conductingresearch/standards/cdwa/

Data content:


Anglo-American cataloguing rules / prepared under the direction of the Joint Steering Committee for Revision of AACR, a committee of the American Library Association ... let al. 2nd ed., 2002 revision. Ottawa: Canadian Library Association; Chicago: American Library Association, 2002- (AACR2)

Data values:

Library of Congress Subject Headings (LCSH)
Library of Congress Thesaurus for Graphic Materials (LCTGM - Parts I and II)
http://www.loc.gov/rr/prinUtgm1/
http://www.loc.gov/rr/prinUtgm2/

Getty Art and Architecture Thesaurus (AA T)
http://www.getty.edu/research/conductingresearch/vocabularies/aaUindex.html

Getty Thesaurus of Geographic Names (TGN)
http://www.getty.edu/research/conductingresearch/vocabularies/tgn/index.html

Getty Union List of Artist Names (ULAN)
http://www.getty.edu/research/conductingresearch/vocabularies/ulan/index.html

Getty Editorial Guidelines for ULAN: Appendix G: Nationalities and Places
http://www.getty.edu/research/conductingresearch/vocabularies/guidelines/ulan47appendix9nationalityplace.pdf

Appendix 2: Recommended XML introductory resources

XML tutorial put out by w3Schools
http://www.w3schools.com/xml/default.asp

Eric Lease Morgan’s Getting Started with XML
http://www.infomotions.com/musings/getting-started/

Help files within software programs- For example, in Access search for ”XML for the uninitiated”

3. Technical and administrative metadata standards

Metadata Standards and Applications Workshop

Goals of session

- To understand the different types of administrative metadata standards
- To learn what types of metadata are needed for digital preservation
- To learn the importance of technical, structural and rights metadata in digital libraries
Types of administrative metadata

- Provides information to help manage a resource
  - Preservation metadata
    - Technical characteristics
    - Information about actions on an object
  - Structural metadata may be considered administrative; indicates how compound objects are put together
  - Rights metadata
    - Access rights and restrictions
    - Preservation rights and restrictions

PREMIS: introduction

- Preservation metadata that includes subcategories:
  - Technical metadata
  - Relationships (structural and derivative)
  - Digital provenance (what actions performed on objects)
  - Rights
**Preservation metadata includes:**

- **Provenance:**
  - *Who has had custody/ownership of the digital object?*

- **Authenticity:**
  - *Is the digital object what it purported to be?*

- **Preservation Activity:**
  - *What has been done to preserve it?*

- **Technical Environment:**
  - *What is needed to render and use it?*

- **Rights Management:**
  - *What IPR must be observed?*

  ➢ **Makes digital objects self-documenting across time**

---

**PREMIS Data Dictionary**

- **May 2005:**

- 237-page report includes:
  - PREMIS Data Dictionary 1.0
  - Accompanying report
  - Special topics, glossary, usage examples

- **Data Dictionary:** comprehensive, practical resource for implementing preservation metadata in digital archiving systems
  - Used *Framework* as starting point
  - Detailed description of metadata elements
  - Guidelines to support implementation, use, management
  - Based on deep pool of institutional experiences in setting up and managing operational capacity for digital preservation
  - Set of *XML schema* developed to support use of Data Dictionary
Scope of data dictionary

- Implementation independent
- Descriptive metadata out of scope
- Technical metadata applying to all or most format types
- Media or hardware details are limited
- Business rules are essential for working repositories, but not covered
- Rights information for preservation actions, not access

What PREMIS is and is not

What PREMIS is:
- Common data model for organizing/thinking about preservation metadata
- Guidance for local implementations
- Standard for exchanging information packages between repositories

What PREMIS is not:
- Out-of-the-box solution: need to instantiate as metadata elements in repository system
- All needed metadata: excludes business rules, format-specific technical metadata, descriptive metadata for access, non-core preservation metadata
- Lifecycle management of objects outside repository
- Rights management: limited to permissions regarding actions taken within repository
Types of information covered in PREMIS (by entity type)

- **Object**
  - Object ID
  - Preservation level
  - Object characteristics (format, size, etc.)
  - Storage
  - Environment
  - Digital signatures
  - Relationships
  - Linking identifiers

- **Event**
  - Event ID
  - Event type
  - Event date/time
  - Event outcomes
  - Linking identifiers

- **Agent**
  - Agent ID
  - Agent name

- **Rights**
  - Rights statement
  - Granting agent
  - Permission granted
PREMIS Maintenance Activity

Permanent Web presence, hosted by Library of Congress

Centralized destination for information, announcements, and other PREMIS-related resources

Discussion list for PREMIS implementers (PIG list)

Coordinate future revisions of Data Dictionary and XML schema

Editorial committee guides development and revisions

http://www.loc.gov/standards/premis/

Current activities

- PREMIS Implementers’ Registry
  - http://www.loc.gov/standards/premis/premis-registry.html
- Revision of data dictionary and schemas (March 2008)
- Guidelines for use of PREMIS within METS have been developed
- PREMIS tutorials
  - One or one and a half day tutorials have been given in several locations: Glasgow, Boston, Stockholm, Albuquerque, Washington, San Diego, Berlin
- Training materials available from LC
Why is PREMIS important to catalogers?

- As we take responsibility for more digital materials, we need to ensure that they can be used in the future.
- Most preservation metadata will be generated from the object, but catalogers may need to verify its accuracy.
- Catalogers may need to play a role in assessing and organizing digital materials:
  - Understanding the structure of complex digital objects
  - Determining significant properties that need to be preserved

Technical metadata for images

- NISO Z39.87 and MIX
- Adobe and XMP
- Exif
- IPTC (International Press Telecommunications Council)/XMP
- Some of these deal with embedded metadata in images
Metadata For Images in XML (MIX)

- An XML Schema designed for expressing technical metadata for digital still images
- Based on the NISO Z39.87 Data Dictionary – Technical Metadata for Digital Still Images
- Can be used standalone or as an extension schema with METS/PREMIS

Using MIX

- Includes
  - Characteristics that apply to all or most object types, e.g. size, format (elements also in PREMIS)
  - Format specific metadata for images
- Some examples of format specific metadata elements in MIX:
  - Image width
  - Color space, color profile
  - Scanner metadata
  - Digital camera settings
- Most well developed of format specific technical metadata standards
Technical metadata for textual objects

- **textMD** is an XML Schema designed for expressing technical metadata for textual objects.
- Developed at New York University; maintenance transferred to LC.
- Includes format specific technical metadata for text, e.g.
  - byte order
  - character set encoding
  - font script

Technical metadata for audio and video

- Not as well developed as other technical metadata.
- Complexities of file formats requires expertise to develop these.
- LC developed XML technical metadata schemas in 2003/2004 for LC Audiovisual Prototype Project used with METS; these were widely implemented because of the lack of other schemas.
- Audio and video technical metadata schemas under development by expert organizations.
- **Moving Image Catalog** (MIC) project is also experimenting with these.
Technical metadata for multimedia (MPEG-7)

- A multimedia content description standard, associated with the content itself
  - Intended to allow fast and efficient searching
- Formally called Multimedia Content Description Interface
  - Does not deal with the actual encoding of moving pictures and audio (as MPEG-1, MPEG-2 and MPEG-4 do)
  - intended to provide complementary functionality to the previous MPEG standards

Structural metadata

- Supports the intended presentation and use and navigation of an object
- Binds the parts together; expresses relationships between parts of a multipart object
- Examples of structural metadata expressions:
  - METS structMap
  - PREMIS relationship elements
  - EAD hierarchical structure
Rights metadata

- Rights schemas with limited scope
- Rights Expression Languages (REL) for managing intellectual property rights, particularly by rights owners
- Rights information is not well understood
  - Different laws in different jurisdictions
  - Machine actionable vs. human understandable
- Rights take different forms
  - legal statutes, e.g. copyright
  - contractual rights, e.g. licenses

Rights schemas with limited scope

- METS Rights
  - Access rights for use with METS objects
  - Rights declarations
  - Rights holder
  - Context
- CDL copyright schema
  - Specifically copyrights, not other intellectual property rights
  - Information you need to know to assess copyright status (e.g. creators, rights holders, dates, jurisdiction)
- Note that a new field 542 has been added to MARC 21 with information about copyright to help the cataloger assess the status of the item (based on the CDL work)
Rights schemas with limited scope cont.

- PREMIS Rights
  - Focused on rights for preservation rather than access
  - Revision of PREMIS data dictionary expanded this area
  - Allows for extensibility, i.e. inserting another rights schema

- Creative commons
  - Allows creators to choose a license for their work
  - Simple rights statements that fit a lot of situations
  - http://creativecommons.org/
  - An example: MIC catalog

Rights metadata for specific object types

- PLUS for images
- MPEG-21 REL for moving images, etc.
- ONIX for licensing terms
- Full Rights Expression Languages
  - XRML/MPEG 21
  - ODRL
Exercise

- Provide administrative/technical metadata for the object used in the descriptive metadata exercise
Session 3: Administrative metadata exercise (PREMIS)
Information for students needed to fill in template

Example 1 (sheet music):

This is a digitized version of 3 pages of sheet music. The first page is the cover. There are 3 files, one for each page. The files use the JPEG format.

America’s pinch hit march
http://lcweb2.loc.gov/diglib/ihas/loc.natlib.ihas.200033287/default.html

Sheet music; 3 pages (2 pages music with cover)
File 1 (cover):
FileID: FN10057
Full path: http://lcweb2.loc.gov/natlib/ihas/service/encyclopedia/200033287/0001v.jpg
File format: image/jpeg
Size: 629507
Software used to access: Macromedia Fireworks MX Version 6.0
File created: 2 January 2008
Application used for creation: Adobe photoshop version CS3

File 2 (1st page of music):
FileID: FN10075
Full path: http://lcweb2.loc.gov/natlib/ihas/service/encyclopedia/200033287/0002v.jpg
File format: image/jpeg
Size: 399565
Software used to access: Macromedia Fireworks MX Version 6.0
File created: 2 January 2008
Application used for creation: Adobe photoshop version CS3

Example 2 (photograph):

This item was digitized in the TIFF format, which is a high quality master format used as a preservation copy. A derivative was made in the JPEG format, which is considered a "service" copy (used for retrieval). There are 2 files: the TIFF master and the JPEG derivative.

52nd Street, New York, N.Y., ca. 1948
http://lcweb2.loc.gov/natlib/ihas/warehouse/gottlieb/02771/ver01/0001.tif

File 1 (master TIFF)
FileID: masterd1e30196
Full path: http://lcweb2.loc.gov/natlib/ihas/warehouse/gottlieb/02771/ver01/0001.tif
File format: image/tiff
Size: 60158210
Selected PREMIS elements

- objectIdentifier
  - objectIdentifierType
  - objectIdentifierValue
- objectCharacteristics
  - size
  - format
    - formatDesignation
      - formatName
      - formatVersion
- significantProperties
- creatingApplication
  - creatingApplicationName
  - creatingApplicationVersion
  - dateCreatedByApplication
- environment
  - software
    - swName
    - swVersion
    - swType
- relationship
  - relationshipType
  - relationshipSubType
  - relatedObjectIdentification
    - relatedObjectIdentifierType
    - relatedObjectIdentifierValue
    - relatedObjectSequence

Controlled vocabularies:

RelationshipType:
structural = a relationship between parts of an object
derivation = a relationship where one object is the result of a transformation performed on the related object

RelationshipSubType:
is child of = the object is directly subordinate in a hierarchy to the related object (Note that this is semantically equivalent to “Has parent,” which may be preferred by some implementations.
is parent of = the object is directly superior in a hierarchy to the related object (Note that this is semantically equivalent to “Has child,” which may be preferred by some implementations.
has sibling = the object shares a common parent with the related object
is part of = the object is contained by the related object
has part = the object contains the related object
source of = the related object is a version of this object created by a transformation
has root = for a representation only, the related object is the file that must be processed first in order to render the representation
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data Element (Field)</th>
<th>Data Value (Content)</th>
<th>Controlled Vocabulary Yes or No Specify, if any</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data Element (Field)</td>
<td>Data Value (Content)</td>
<td>Controlled Vocabulary Yes or No Specify, if any</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4. Metadata syntaxes and containers

Metadata Standards and Applications Workshop

Goals of session

- Understand syntaxes used for encoding information, including HTML, XML and RDF
- Discover how container formats are used for managing digital resources and their metadata
Overview of Syntaxes

- HTML, XHTML: Hypertext Markup Language; eXtensible Hypertext Markup Language
- XML: Extensible Markup Language
- RDF/XML: Resource Description Framework

HTML

- HyperText Markup Language
- HTML 4 is the current standard
- HTML is an SGML (Standard Generalized Markup Language) application conforming to International Standard ISO 8879
- Widely regarded as the standard publishing language of the World Wide Web
- HTML addressed the problem of SGML complexity by specifying a small set of structural and semantic tags suitable for authoring relatively simple documents
XHTML

- XML-ized version of HTML 4.0, tightens up HTML to match XML syntax
- Requires ending tags, quoted attributes, lower case, etc., to conform to XML requirements
- XHTML is a W3C specification, redefining HTML as an XML implementation, rather than an SGML implementation
- Imposes requirements that are intended to lead to more well-formed, valid XML, easier for browsers to handle

An XHTML Example

```xml
<link rel="schema.DC" href="http://purl.org/dc/elements/1.1/" />
<link rel="schema.DCTERMS" href="http://purl.org/dc/terms/" />
<meta name="DC.title" content="Using Dublin Core" />
<meta name="DC.creator" content="Diane Hillmann" />
<meta name="DC.subject" content="documents; Bibliography; Model; meta; Glossary; mark; matching; refinements; XHTML; Controlled; Qualifiers; Hillmann; mixing; encoding; Diane; Issues; Appendix; elements; Simple; Special; element; trademark/service; DCMI; Dublin; pages; Section; Resource; Grammatical; Qualified; XML; Using; Principles; Documents; licensing; OCLC; formal; Usageguide; Roles; Implementing; Contents; Guidelines; Expressing; Table; Syntax; Content; Element; DC.dot; Home; document; Metadata; RDF/XML; Website; metadata; privacy; schemes; liability; profiles; Elements; Copyright; Localization; schemas; HTML/XHTML; Core; Guide; registry; Research; contact; Scope; Projects; languages; Maintenance; Application; available; Internationalization; HTML; Recommended; link; Purpose; Abstract; AskDCMI; Vocabularies; software; Storage; Introduction" />
<meta name="DC.description" content="This document is intended as an entry point for users of Dublin Core. For non-specialists, it will assist them in creating simple descriptive records for information resources (for example, electronic documents). Specialists may find the document a useful point of reference to the documentation of Dublin Core, as it changes and grows." />
<meta name="DC.publisher" content="Dublin Core Metadata Initiative" />
<meta name="DC.type" scheme="DCTERMS.DCMIType" content="Text" />
<meta name="DC.format" content="text/html" />
<meta name="DC.format" content="31250 bytes" />
<meta name="DC.identifier" scheme="DCTERMS.URI" content="http://dublincore.org/documents/usageguide" />
```
XML

- Extensible Markup Language
- A ‘metamarkup’ language: has no fixed tags or elements
- Strict grammar imposes structure designed to be read by machines
- Two levels of conformance:
  - well-formed--conforms to general grammar rules
  - valid--conforms to particular XML schema or DTD (document type definition)

XML: Extensible Markup Language

- A technical approach to convey meaning with data
- Not a natural language, although uses natural languages
  - <姓名>Louis Armstrong</姓名>
  - <name>Louis Armstrong</name>
- Not a programming language
- Language in the sense of:
  - A limited set of tags defines the elements that can be used to markup data
  - The set of tags and their relationships need to be explicitly defined (e.g., in XML schema)
  - We can build software that uses XML as input and processes them in a meaningful way
  - You can define your own markups and schemas
XML is the *lingua franca* of the Web

- Web pages increasingly use at least XHTML
- Business use for data exchange/messaging
- Family of technologies can be leveraged
  - XML Schema, XSLT, XPath, and XQuery
- Software tools widely available (open source)
  - Storage, editing, parsing, validating, transforming and publishing XML
- *Microsoft Office 2003* supports XML as document format (WordML and ExcelML)
- *Web 2.0* applications based on XML (AJAX, Semantic Web, Web Services, etc.)

An XML Schema may define:

- What elements may be used
- Of which types
- Any attributes
- In which order
- Optional or compulsory
- Repeatability
- Subelements
- Logic
Anatomy of an XML Record

- XML declaration--prepares the processor to work with the document and states the XML version
- Namespaces (uses xmlns:prefix and a URI to attach a prefix to each element and attribute)
  - Distinguishes between elements and attributes from different vocabularies that might share a name (but not necessarily a definition) using association with URIs
  - Groups all related elements from an application so software can deal with them
  - The URIs are the standardized bit, not the prefix, and they don’t necessarily lead anywhere useful, even if they look like URLs

XML Namespaces

```
<element xmlns:dc="$prefix$identifier"/>
```

- XML Namespace
- Namespace Identifier
- Namespace Prefix
- "http://purl.org/dc/elements/1.1/"
XML Anatomy Lesson

- Name
- Attribute
- Content

<mods:genre authority="marcgt">bibliography</mods:genre>

Start Tag

End Tag

XML Validation

- XML Instance
- XML Schema
- Valid
- Invalid

Validator
XML Schema Example

```xml
<xs:element name="software" minOccurs="0"
    maxOccurs="unbounded">
    <xs:complexType>
        <xs:sequence>
            <xs:element name="swName" minOccurs="1"
                maxOccurs="1" type="xs:string"></xs:element>
            <xs:element name="swVersion" minOccurs="0"
                maxOccurs="1" type="xs:string"></xs:element>
            <xs:element name="swType" minOccurs="1"
                maxOccurs="1" type="xs:string"></xs:element>
            <xs:element name="swOtherInformation"
                minOccurs="0" maxOccurs="unbounded" type="xs:string"></xs:element>
            <xs:element name="swDependency" minOccurs="0"
                maxOccurs="unbounded" type="xs:string"></xs:element>
        </xs:sequence>
    </xs:complexType>
</xs:element>
```

Will the following XML instance validate?

```xml
<software>
    <swName>Windows</swName>
    <swVersion>2000</swVersion>
    <swType>Operating System</swType>
</software>
```

**How about this?**

```xml
<swVersion>2000</swVersion>
```
Resource Description Framework

- A language for describing resources on the Web
- Structure based on “triples”
- Designed to be read by computers, not humans
- An ontology language to support semantic interoperability—understanding meanings
- Considered an essential part of the Semantic Web
- Can be expressed using XML

Subject

Predicate

Object

http://www.w3.org/RDF

Some RDF Concepts

- A **Resource** is anything you want to describe
- A **Class** is a category; it is a set that comprises individuals
- A **Property** is a Resource that has a name, such as "creator" or "homepage"
- A **Property value** is the value of a Property, such as “Barack Obama" or "http://dublincore.org" (note that a property value can be another resource)
### RDF Statements

- The combination of a **Resource**, a **Property**, and a **Property value** forms a **Statement** (known also as the subject, predicate and object of a Statement), also known as “triples”

- An example **Statement**: "The editor of http://dublincore.org/documents/usageguide/ is Diane Hillmann"
  - The subject of the statement above is: http://dublincore.org/documents/usageguide/
  - The predicate is: editor
  - The object is: Diane Hillmann

### RDF and OWL

- RDF does not have the language to specify all relationships
- Web Ontology Language (OWL) can specify richer relationships, such as equivalence, inverse, unique
- RDF and OWL may be used together
- RDFS: a syntax for expressing relationships between elements
An RDF/XML Example

```xml
<rdf:RDF
   xmlns:rdf="http://www.w3.org/1999/02/22-rdf-syntax-ns#"
   xmlns:dc="http://purl.org/dc/elements/1.1/">
   <rdf:Description rdf:about="http://www.dlib.org">
     <dc:description>The D-Lib program supports the community of people with research interests in digital libraries and electronic publishing.</dc:description>
     <dc:publisher>Corporation For National Research Initiatives</dc:publisher>
     <dc:date>1995-01-07</dc:date>
     <dc:subject>
       <rdf:Bag>
         <rdf:li>Research; statistical methods</rdf:li>
         <rdf:li>Education, research, related topics</rdf:li>
         <rdf:li>Library use Studies</rdf:li>
       </rdf:Bag>
     </dc:subject>
     <dc:type>World Wide Web Home Page</dc:type>
     <dc:format>text/html</dc:format>
     <dc:language>en</dc:language>
   </rdf:Description>
</rdf:RDF>
```

Overview of container formats

- A container format is needed to package together all forms of metadata and digital content.
- Use of a container is compatible with and an implementation of the OAIS information package concept.
- METS: packages metadata with objects or links to objects and defines structural relationships.
- MPEG 21 DID: represents digital objects using a flexible and expressive model.
Metadata Encoding & Transmission Standard (METS)

- Developed by the Digital Library Federation, maintained by the Library of Congress
- “... an XML document format for encoding metadata necessary for both management of digital library objects within a repository and exchange of such objects between repositories (or between repositories and their users).”
- Records the (possibly hierarchical) structure of digital objects, the names and locations of the files that comprise those objects, and the associated metadata

[http://www.loc.gov/standards/mets/](http://www.loc.gov/standards/mets/)

METS Usage

- To package metadata with digital object in XML syntax
- For retrieving, storing, preserving, serving resource
- For interchange of digital objects with metadata
- As information package in a digital repository (may be a unit of storage or a transmission format)
Characteristics of METS

- Open non-proprietary standard
- Extensible
- Modular
- Developed by the digital library community

METS Sections

Defined in METS schema for navigation & browsing

1. Header (XML Namespaces)
2. File inventory,
3. Structural Map & Links
4. Descriptive Metadata (not part of METS but uses an externally developed descriptive metadata standard, e.g. MODS)
5. Administrative Metadata (points to external schemas):
   1. Technical, Source
   2. Digital Provenance
   3. Rights
The structure of a METS file

METS
- fileSec: file inventory
- dmdSec: descriptive metadata
- amdSec: administrative metadata
- behaviorSec: behaviour metadata
- structMap: structural map

Linking in METS Documents
(XML ID/IDREF links)

DescMD
- mods
- relatedItem
- relatedItem

AdminMD
- techMD
- sourceMD
- digiprovMD
- rightsMD

fileGrp
- file

StructMap
- div
  - div
    - fptr
  - div
    - fptr
Linking in METS Documents
(XML ID[IDREF] links)

Linking in METS Documents
(XML ID[IDREF] links)
METS extension schemas

- “wrappers” or “sockets” where elements from other schemas can be plugged in
- Provides extensibility
- Uses the XML Schema facility for combining vocabularies from different Namespaces
- Endorsed extension schemas:
  - Descriptive: MODS, DC, MARCXML
  - Technical metadata: MIX (image); textMD (text)
  - Preservation related: PREMIS

Descriptive Metadata Section (dmdSec)

Two methods: Reference and Wrap

```xml
<mets>
  <dmdSec></dmdSec>
  <fileSec></fileSec>
  <structMap></structMap>
</mets>
```
METS examples

- METS with MODS
  - Recorded event

- METS with MODS, PREMIS and MIX
  - Portrait of Louis Armstrong (XML)
  - Portrait of Louis Armstrong (presentation)

MPEG-21 Digital Item Declaration (DID)

- ISO/IEC 21000-2: Digital Item Declaration
- An alternative to represent Digital Objects
- Starting to get supported by some repositories, e.g., aDORe, DSpace, Fedora
- A flexible and expressive model that easily represents compound objects (recursive “item”)
- MPEG DID is an ISO standard and has industry support, but is often implemented in a proprietary way and standards development is closed; METS is open source and developed by open discussion, mainly cultural heritage community
**Abstract Model for MPEG-21 DID**

- **container**: grouping of items and descriptor/statement constructs pertaining to the container
  - **item**: represents a Digital Item aka Digital Object aka asset. Descriptor/statement constructs convey information about the Digital Item
  - **component**: binding of descriptor/statements to datastreams
  - **resource**: datastream

**Exercise**

- Encode your resource in DC and MODS using XML
- Use the template forms provided
Exercise for Session 4: XML Syntax
DC Template

```xml
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<metadata
  xmlns:xsi="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema-instance"
  http://dublincore.org/schemas/xmls/qdc/2003/04/02/dcterms.xsd
  xmlns:dc="http://purl.org/dc/elements/1.1/"
  xmlns:dcterms="http://purl.org/dc/terms/"
  xmlns:dcmitype="http://purl.org/dc/dcimtype/">
  <dc:title xml:lang="" />
  <dc:creator />
  <dc:type />
  <dc:publisher />
  <dc:date />
  <dc:format />
  <dc:identifier />
  <dc:subject xsi:type="dcterms:LCSH"> 
    <dc:relation> 
      Cataloging for the 21st Century 
      Course 2: Metadata Standards and Applications 
    </dc:relation> 
  </dc:subject> 
  <dc:relation />
</metadata>
```
Exercise for Session 4: XML syntax
MODS Template

<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<mods:mods version="3.2" ID="MODS1"
xsi:schemaLocation="http://www.loc.gov/mods/v3
http://www.loc.gov/standards/mods/mods.xsd">
  <mods:titleInfo>
    <mods:title>
      
    </mods:title>
    <mods:subTitle>
      
    </mods:subTitle>
  </mods:titleInfo>
  <mods:name type="personal">
    <mods:namePart>
      
    </mods:namePart>
    <mods:role>
      <mods:roleTerm authority="marcrelator" type="text">
        
      </mods:roleTerm>
    </mods:role>
  </mods:name>
  <mods:typeOfResource>
    
  </mods:typeOfResource>
  <mods:originInfo>
    <mods:place>
      <mods:placeTerm>
        
      </mods:placeTerm>
    </mods:place>
    <mods:publisher>
      
    </mods:publisher>
    <mods:dateIssued>
      
    </mods:dateIssued>
  </mods:originInfo>
</mods:mods>
</metadata>
5. Applying metadata standards: Application profiles

Metadata Standards and Applications Workshop

Goals of Session

- Learn how metadata standards are applied and used:
  - Learn about the concept and use of application profiles
  - Learn about how different metadata standards may be used together in digital library applications
Overview of session

- Use of Application profiles
  - Dublin Core
  - METS
  - MODS
- Case study: using metadata standards together based on an application profile

Why Application profiles?

- Describes the set of metadata elements, policies, and guidelines defined for a particular application, implementation, or object type
  - Declares the metadata terms an organization, information resource, application, or user community uses in its metadata
  - Documents metadata standards used in instances, including schemas and controlled vocabularies, policies, required elements, etc.
  - Called “application profile” or just “profile”
Function of Application Profiles

- Many metadata standards are sufficiently flexible that they need a mechanism to impose some constraints
  - Profiles allow expression of the decisions made for a project in machine-readable form (XML or RDF)
  - Profiles allow for enforcing those decisions
  - This facilitates interoperability and common practices
- Refining
  - A narrower interpretation of a standard to suit your project
- Combining
  - Mixing elements from various different standards

Components of an Application Profile

- Human readable documentation
  - Property descriptions and relationships
  - Domain or project specific instruction
  - Obligation and constraints
- Machine-readable versions may contain:
  - Specific encoding decisions and XML or RDF schemas
  - Models of data relationships specific to the AP represented in the schemas
  - Functional requirements and use cases supporting decisions
Using Properties from other Schemas

- DC APs set stringent requirements for determining reusability of terms:
  - Is the term a real “property” and defined as such within the source schema?
  - Is the term declared properly, with a URI and adequate documentation and support?
  - In general, properties whose meaning is partly or wholly determined by its place in a hierarchy are not appropriate for reuse in DC APs without reference to the hierarchy.
- Other styles of profiles have different requirements and strategies for developing machine-readability and validation.

Documenting new properties

- Minimum: a web page, with the relevant information available to other implementations
- Better: a web page and an accessible schema using your terms as part of your application profile
- Best: all terms available on a distributed registry
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Singapore Framework

- A Framework for designing metadata applications for maximum interoperability
- Defines a set of descriptive components that are necessary for documenting an Application Profile
- Forms a basis for reviewing Dublin Core application profiles
- Relates APs to standard domain models and Semantic Web standards

DC Application Profile Examples

- Collections AP
- Scholarly Works Application Profile (SWAP)
  - http://www.ukoln.ac.uk/repositories/digirep/index/Eprints_Application_Profile
- Both these have been reviewed by the DC Usage Board and are deemed compliant with the DC Abstract Model
An RDA Application Profile

- A DCMI/RDA Task Group has been defining RDA properties and value vocabularies as formal RDF vocabularies (with URIs)
  - IFLA has stated an intention to declare FRBR entities and attributes as well
  - Next step is a DC application profile of RDA according to the Singapore Framework
  - See http://metadataregistry.org for the provisionally registered properties/vocabularies

METS Profiles

- Description of a class of METS documents
  - Provides document authors and programmers guidance to create and process conformant METS documents
- XML document using a schema
  - Expresses the requirements that a METS document must satisfy
  - “Data standard” in its own right
  - A sufficiently explicit METS Profile may be considered a “data standard”
- METS Profiles are output in human-readable prose and not intended to be “machine actionable” (but they use a standard XML schema)
Components of a METS Profile

1. Unique URI
2. Short Title
3. Abstract
4. Date and time of creation
5. Contact Information
6. Related profiles
7. Extension schemas
8. Rules of description
9. Controlled vocabularies
10. Structural requirements
11. Technical requirements
12. Tools and applications
13. Sample document

Case study of a METS Profile

- **LC Audio Compact Disc Profile**

- **Features:**
  - Specifies MODS for descriptive metadata
  - Specifies description rules as AACR2
  - Specifies controlled vocabularies used in various elements
  - dmdSec requirements 2 and 3 specify use of relatedItem type="constituent" if there are multiple works on the CD
  - Specifies how to detail the physical structure, whether multiple CDs or multiple tracks on a CD (structMap requirements 2 and 3)
MODS Profiles

- Some applications are establishing MODS profiles to document usage, required elements, controlled vocabularies used, etc.

Some examples:
- **DLF Aquifer MODS profile**: to establish implementation guidelines for rich shared metadata for cultural heritage materials
- British Library electronic journal MODS profile

Using metadata standards together: a case study

- METS can be used to package together the metadata with the objects
  - METS allows for use of any XML metadata schema in its extensions
  - MODS works well with METS for descriptive metadata and can be associated with any level of the description
  - Technical metadata can be inserted and associated with specific files
- METS can be used as a digital library application if objects are based on a profile and thus are consistent
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**<dmdSec> with MODS Extension Schema**

```xml
<mets:mets>
  ...
  <mets:dmdSec>
    <mets:mdWrap>
      <mets:xmlData>
        <mods:mods>
          ...
        </mods:mods>
      </mets:xmlData>
    </mets:mdWrap>
  </mets:dmdSec>
  ...
</mets:mets>
```

- **Descriptive metadata section**
- **MODS data contained inside the metadata wrap section**
- **Use of prefixes before element names to identify schema**

**Example MODS data**

```xml
<mods:mods>
  <mods:titleInfo>
    <mods:title>Bernstein conducts Beethoven</mods:title>
    <mods:name>
      <mods:namePart>Bernstein, Leonard</mods:namePart>
    </mods:name>
    <mods:relatedItem type="constituent">
      <mods:titleInfo>
        <mods:title>Symphony No. 5</mods:title>
        <mods:name>
          <mods:namePart>Beethoven, Ludwig van</mods:namePart>
        </mods:name>
        <mods:relatedItem type="constituent">
          <mods:titleInfo>
            <mods:partName>Allegro con moto</mods:partName>
          </mods:titleInfo>
        </mods:relatedItem>
        <mods:relatedItem type="constituent">
          <mods:titleInfo>
            <mods:partName>Adagio</mods:partName>
          </mods:titleInfo>
        </mods:relatedItem>
      </mods:titleInfo>
    </mods:relatedItem>
  </mods:titleInfo>
</mods:mods>
```

Cataloging for the 21st Century
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Use of MODS relatedItem

**type=“constituent”**

- A first level child element to MODS
- `relatedItem` element uses MODS content model
  - `titleInfo`, `name`, `subject`, `physicalDescription`, `note`, etc.
- Makes it possible to create rich analytics for contained works within a MODS record
- Repeatable and nestable recursively
  - Making it possible to build a hierarchical tree structure
- Makes it possible to associate descriptive data with any structural element

---

METS 2 Hierarchies: Logical & Physical

```
<mets:mets>
    <mets:dmdSec>
        <mets:mdWrap>
            <mets:xmlData>
                <mods:mods>
                    <mods:relatedItem>
                        <mods:relatedItem></mods:relatedItem>
                    </mods:relatedItem>
                </mods:mods>
            </mets:xmlData>
        </mets:mdWrap>
    </mets:dmdSec>
    <mets:fileSec></mets:fileSec>
    <mets:structMap>
        <mets:div>
            <mets:div></mets:div>
        </mets:div>
    </mets:structMap>
</mets:mets>
```

*Hierarchy to represent “logical” structure (nested relatedItems)*

```
<mets:mets>
    <mets:dmdSec>
        <mets:mdWrap>
            <mets:xmlData>
                <mods:mods>
                    <mods:relatedItem>
                        <mods:relatedItem></mods:relatedItem>
                    </mods:relatedItem>
                </mods:mods>
            </mets:xmlData>
        </mets:mdWrap>
    </mets:dmdSec>
    <mets:fileSec></mets:fileSec>
    <mets:structMap>
        <mets:div>
            <mets:div></mets:div>
        </mets:div>
    </mets:structMap>
</mets:mets>
```

*Hierarchy to represent “physical” structure (nested div elements)*
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Multiple Inputs to Common Data Format

- New Digital Objects
- Legacy Database
- Harvest of American Memory Objects

A common data format for searching and display

Profile-based METS Object

Example: Using a profile as an application

- METS Photograph Profile
- William P. Gottlieb Collection
  Portrait of Louis Armstrong
- Photographic object

Convert file of 1600 MARC records, using marc4j, to XML modsCollection (single file).
Used XSLT stylesheet to create 1600 records conforming to the METS photograph profile.
Logical & Physical Relationships

Logical (MODS)

Logical (MODS)

Physical (METS structMap)

Logical & Physical Relationships

Logical (MODS)

Physical (METS structMap)

Using a METS profile-based approach

- Ability to model complex library objects
- Use of open source software tools
- Use of XML for data creation, editing, storage and searching
- Use of XSLT for...
  - Legacy data conversion
  - Batch METS creation and editing
  - Web displays and behaviors
- Creation of multiple outputs from XML
  - HTML/XHTML for Web display; PDF for printing
- Ability to aggregate disparate data sources into a common display
Closing thoughts on application profiles

- Many metadata standards are sufficiently flexible that profiling is necessary.
- Documenting what is used in an application will simplify and enhance data presentation, conversion from other sources, ability to provide different outputs.
- Constraining a metadata standard by specifying what is used and how facilitates data exchange and general interoperability.

Exercise: critique an application profile

- University of Maryland Descriptive Metadata
  

- UVa DescMeta
  

- Texas Digital Library profile for electronic theses and dissertations
  
Exercise: Questions to address

- Does the profile define its user community and expected uses?
- How usable would the profile be for a potential implementer?
- How (well) does the profile specify element/term usage?
- How (well) does the profile define and manage controlled vocabularies?
- Does the profile use existing metadata standards?
- Are there key anomalies, omissions, or implementation concerns?
University of Maryland Descriptive Metadata Tag Library

Authored by Jennifer O'Brien Roper
Presentation designed by Sean Daugherty

This tag library provides current documentation for all descriptive metadata for digital objects in the University of Maryland Digital Collections. The University of Maryland Descriptive Metadata (UMDM) DTD and element set are based on Descriptive Metadata (Descmeta) DTD (Version 1.06) authored by Daniel McShane and Perry Roland at the University of Virginia. UMDM was designed within the local context, yet allows data to be adaptable to comply with national standards such as Dublin Core, VRA Core, or MODS.

The tag library contains definitions, notation of parent and child elements, attributes, input standards, and examples for each element. Wherever possible, links to appropriate external standards are present. UMDM is an evolving standard, and while stable, is not static. As new projects and material types are added to the repository, the standard may be modified.

- `<address>`
- `<addressLine>`
- `<agent>`
- `<availability>`
- `<bibRef>`
- `<bibScope>`
- `<century>`
- `<color>`
- `<corpName>`
- `<covPlace>`
- `<covTime>`
- `<culture>`
- `<date>`
- `<dateRange>`
- `<decade>`
- `<descMeta>`
- `<description>`
- `<extent>`
- `<extPtr>`
• <extRef>
• <form>
• <geogName>
• <identifier>
• <imprint>
• <language>
• <linkGrp>
• <mediaType>
• <other>
• <persName>
• <physDesc>
• <pid>
• <price>
• <relation>
• <relationships>
• <repository>
• <rights>
• <series>
• <size>
• <style>
• <subject>
• <title>
• <version>

**View Document Type Definition (DTD)**

**Required base elements:**

**Finite:**
- <covPlace>
- <covTime>
- <mediaType>
- <physDesc>
- <pid>
- <relationships>
- <repository>
- <rights>
- <version>

**Repeatable:**
- <culture>
• <description>
• <subject>
• <title>

Optional base elements:

Repeatable:
• <agent>
• <language>
• <style>
• <identifier>

<address>

Definition:
Contains a postal or other address, for example of an organization, individual, or internet resource. Addresses may be encoded either as a sequence of lines, or using any sequence of address component elements.

Must Contain:

Repeatable:
• <addressLine>

May Occur Within:
• <imprint>
• <repository>

Input Standard(s):
Address as found on item, or as found from a reliable source.

Attributes:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Attribute</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Optional</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>id</td>
<td>ID</td>
<td>Optional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>label</td>
<td>CDATA</td>
<td>Optional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>type</td>
<td>CDATA</td>
<td>Optional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>xml:lang</td>
<td>CDATA</td>
<td>Optional</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Example:
<descMeta>
<relationships>
[...]<relation type="isPartOf">Digital Collections @ UM</relation>
<addressLine>

Definition:
Individual line of an address, postal or otherwise.

Must Contain:
Finite:
  • PCDATA

May Occur Within:
  • <address>

Input Standard(s):
Create as necessary to separate discrete portions of an address.

Attributes:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Attribute</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Optional</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>id</td>
<td>ID</td>
<td>Optional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>label</td>
<td>CDATA</td>
<td>Optional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>type</td>
<td>CDATA</td>
<td>Optional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>xml:lang</td>
<td>CDATA</td>
<td>Optional</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Example:
<descMeta>
<relationships>
[...]
<relation type="isPartOf">Performing Arts Digital Videos</relation>
</relationships>
<corpName>Nonprint Media Services</corpName>
<address>
<addressLine>Hornbake Library</addressLine>
<addressLine>College Park, MD 20742-7011</addressLine>
</address>
</repository>
[...]
</descMeta>

<agent>

Definition:
An entity primarily responsible for the creation or distribution of the intellectual content of a resource.
Must Contain At Least One of the Following:

Finite:

- `<corpName>`
- `<other>` *Use of this element is restricted. See the Usage Rules for Specific Collections for this element.*
- `<persName>`

May Occur Within:

- `<bibRef>`
- `<descMeta>`
- `<imprint>`

Input Standard(s):

Attribute type must be selected.

Attributes:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Attribute</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Required</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>id</td>
<td>ID</td>
<td>Optional</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>label</td>
<td>CDATA</td>
<td>Optional</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>normal</td>
<td>CDATA</td>
<td>Optional</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>role</td>
<td></td>
<td>Optional</td>
<td><em>author</em>, <em>illustrator</em>, <em>publisher</em>, <em>broadcaster</em>, <em>composer</em>, <em>conductor</em>, <em>director</em>, <em>lyricist</em>, <em>narrator</em>, <em>performer</em>, <em>producer</em>, <em>speaker</em>, <em>storyteller</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>type</td>
<td></td>
<td>REQUIRED</td>
<td><em>creator</em>, <em>contributor</em>, <em>provider</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>xml:lang</td>
<td>CDATA</td>
<td>Optional</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Specific Usage Rules for Collections

The following elements, attributes, and values may be used with this element in these specific conditions.

Prange Digital Children’s Book Collection

- `<agent type="creator" role="author">`
- `<agent type="contributor" role="illustrator">`
- `<agent type="provider" role="publisher">`

Example:
<descMeta>
[...]
<title type="main">Agamemnon</title>
<agent type="creator">
<persName>Aeschylus</persName>
</agent>
<agent type="creator">
<persName>Harrison, Tony, 1937-</persName>
</agent>
<agent type="provider">
<corpName>National Theatre (Great Britain)</corpName>
</agent>
<agent type="provider">
<corpName>Channel Four (Great Britain)</corpName>
</agent>
<covPlace>
<geogName>Greece</geogName>
</covPlace>
[...]
</descMeta>

<availability>

Definition:
Supplies information about the availability of a resource.

Must Contain:
Repeatable:

• <date type=.../>

• and/or <price>

May Occur Within:

• <imprint>

Attributes:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>id</th>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Optional</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>label</td>
<td>CDATA</td>
<td>Optional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>type</td>
<td>CDATA</td>
<td>Optional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>xml:lang</td>
<td>CDATA</td>
<td>Optional</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Example:
<descMeta>
[...]
<relationships>
<relation label="collection" type="isPartOf">Treature of World's Fair Art & Architecture</relation>
<relation label="fair" type="isPartOf">Centennial Exhibition (1876: Philadelphia, Pa.)</relation>
<relation type="isPartOf">
<bibRef>
<imprint>
<geogName>London</geogName>
<agent type="provider">
<corpName>John Murray</corpName>
</agent>
</imprint>
</bibRef>
</relation>
</relationships>
</descMeta>
<bibRef>

Definition:

Bibliographic reference. Contains a loosely-structured bibliographic citation of which the sub-components may or may not be explicitly tagged.

Must Contain:

Any of the following

Finite:

- <bibScope>
- <repository>
- <version>

Repeatable:

- <agent>
- <date type="[...]">
- <imprint type="[...]">
- <series>
- <title type="[...]">

May Occur Within:

- <corpName>
- <description>
- <linkGrp>
- <other>
- <persName>
- <relation>

Input Standard(s):

From the resource itself or an accepted authoritative source.

Attributes:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>id</th>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Optional</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>label</td>
<td>CDATA</td>
<td>Optional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>type</td>
<td>CDATA</td>
<td>Fixed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>xml:lang</td>
<td>CDATA</td>
<td>Optional</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Example:**

```xml
<descMeta>
  [...]
<physDesc>
  <color>color</color>
  <extent units="image">1</extent>
</physDesc>
<relationships>
  <relation label="collection" type="isPartOf">University Album</relation>
  <relation label="citation" type="isPartOf">UM Call Number: ARCH REF GV 885.43.U535 US4 2004</relation>
</relationships>
<repository>
  <corpName>University Archives</corpName>
</repository>
[...]
</descMeta>
```

**<bibScope>**

**Definition:**

*Scope of citation.* Defines the scope of a bibliographic citation, for example as a list of page numbers, or a named subdivision of a larger work.

**Must Contain:**

- **Finite:**
  - PCDATA

**May Occur Within:**

- `<bibRef>`

**Input Standard(s):**

From the resource itself or an accepted authoritative source.

**Attributes:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>id</th>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Optional</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>label</td>
<td>CDATA</td>
<td>Optional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>type</td>
<td>CDATA</td>
<td>Optional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>xml:lang</td>
<td>CDATA</td>
<td>Optional</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Example:**

```xml
<descMeta>
  [...]
<relationships>
  <relation type="isReferencedBy">
```

---
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<bibRef>
<agent type="creator">
<persName>Earle Leighton, 1917-</persName>
</agent>
<title type="main">Confederate Broadside Verse</title>
<imprint>
<geogName>Texas</geogName>
<geogName>New Braunfels</geogName>
<agent type="provider">
<corpName>Book Farm</corpName>
</agent>
<date>1950</date>
</imprint>
<bibScope type="citation number">60</bibScope>
</bibRef>

<repository>
<corpName>Art & Architecture Libraries</corpName>
</repository>

<century>
Definition:
A numerical representation of the century or centuries associated with the coverage time or subject matter of a resource.

Must Contain:
Finite:
- PCDATA

May Occur Within:
- <covTime>
- <subject>

Input Standard(s):
YY01-YY00

Attributes:
- id ID Optional
- label CDATA Optional
- type CDATA Optional
- normal CDATA Optional
- certainty "exact", "circa" Optional
- era "ad", "bc", "cc", "cd" REQUIRED
- xml:lang CDATA Optional

Example:
<descMeta>
[...]
</descMeta>
<covPlace>
<geogName>not captured</geogName>
</covPlace>
<century era="ad">1901-2000</century>
<dateRange era="ad" from="1974" to="1978">1974-1978</dateRange>
<culture>American</culture>
<culture>Maryland</culture>

</descMeta>

<color>

Definition:
Describes the color quality of image and moving image resources.

Must Contain:

Finite:
• PCDATA

May Occur Within:
• <physDesc>

Input Standard(s):
Choose from the following list:
• black and white
• color
• monochrome

Attributes:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Attribute</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Optional</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>id</td>
<td>ID</td>
<td>Optional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>label</td>
<td>CDATA</td>
<td>Optional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>type</td>
<td>CDATA</td>
<td>Optional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>xml:lang</td>
<td>CDATA</td>
<td>Optional</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Example:
<descMeta>
[...]<identifier type="handle">hdl:1903.1/2240</identifier>
<physDesc>
<color>monochrome</color>
<extent units="image">1</size>
</physDesc>
<relationships>
<relation label="collection" type="isPartOf">University Album</relation>
<relation label="archivalcollection" type="isPartOf">Records of the Department of Intercollegiate Athletics</relation>
</relationships>
[...]
</descMeta>
### <corpName>

**Definition:**

*Corporate name.* Contains the text for the name of a corporate entity.

**May Contain:**

**Finite:**

- PCDATA
- `<bibRef>`
- `<extPtr>`
- `<extRef>`
- `<linkGrp>`

**May Occur Within:**

- `<agent>`
- `<repository>`
- `<subject>`

**Input Standard(s):**

*Library of Congress Name Authority File.* For names not found in the LCNAF, enter corporate names in full direct form, omitting initial articles. Enter more than one agent if necessary for access.

**Attributes:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Attribute</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Optional</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>id</td>
<td>ID</td>
<td>Optional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>label</td>
<td>CDATA</td>
<td>Optional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>type</td>
<td>CDATA</td>
<td>Optional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>normal</td>
<td>CDATA</td>
<td>Optional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>role</td>
<td>CDATA</td>
<td>Optional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>xml:lang</td>
<td>CDATA</td>
<td>Optional</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Example:**

```xml
<descMeta xml:lang="en">
  [...]
  <agent type="contributor">
    <persName>Price, Kenneth</persName>
  </agent>
  <agent type="contributor">
    <persName>Cossons, Neil, 1939-</persName>
  </agent>
  <agent type="provider">
    <corpName>HTV West (Firm)</corpName>
  </agent>
  <agent type="provider">
    <corpName>Films for the Humanities (Firm)</corpName>
  </agent>
  [...]
</descMeta>
```
<covPlace>

Definition:

Coverage place. The geographical location associated with the production of the content of a resource (e.g. place of publication for a scanned image of a postcard). Provide information from broadest level (i.e. country or continent) to the most specific level known (region, state, city). Each location level should be provided in a separate <geogName> element.

Must Contain:

Repeatable:

- <geogName>

May Occur Within:

- <descMeta>

Input Standard(s):

Materials with an unknown place of origin may contain the phrase "not captured". All other materials require place names encoded within <geogName> sub-elements.

Attributes:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Required</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>id</td>
<td>ID</td>
<td>Optional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>label</td>
<td>CDATA</td>
<td>Optional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>type</td>
<td>CDATA, &quot;printing&quot;</td>
<td>Optional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>xml:lang</td>
<td>CDATA</td>
<td>Optional</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Example:

```xml
<descMeta xml:lang="en">
  [...]
  <covPlace>
    <geogName type="continent">North America</geogName>
    <geogName type="country">United States</geogName>
    <geogName type="region">New Jersey</geogName>
  </covPlace>
  <covTime>
    <century era="ad">1901-2000</century>
    <date era="ad">1990</date>
  </covTime>
  [...]
</descMeta>
```

<covTime>

Definition:

Coverage time. Time period associated with the production of the content of the resource (e.g. date of publication for a video digitized from videotape). Identification of a century is required, and additional elements describing a specific date or date range are also available.

Must Contain:
Repeatable:
- `<century>`

May Contain:
Finite:
- `<dateRange>`
- `<date>`

May Occur Within:
- `<descMeta>`

Attributes:
- `id` ID Optional
- `label` CDATA Optional
- `type` CDATA Optional
- `xml:lang` CDATA Optional

Specific Usage Rules for Collections
See the usage rules for the `<date>` element.

Example:
```xml
<descMeta xml:lang="en">
  [...]
  <covPlace>
    <geogName type="continent">North America</geogName>
    <geogName type="country">United States</geogName>
    <geogName type="region">New Jersey</geogName>
  </covPlace>
  <covTime>
    <century era="ad">1901-2000</century>
    <date era="ad">1990</date>
  </covTime>
  [...]
</descMeta>
```

**<culture>**

Definition:
Contains text that indicates the culture of origin or context for a resource.

Must Contain:
Finite:
- PCDATA

May Occur Within:
- `<descMeta>`

Attributes:
- `id` ID Optional
- `label` CDATA Optional
University of Maryland Descriptive Metadata Tag Table

**Example:**
<descMeta xml:lang="en">
[...]
<covTime>
  <century era="ad">1900-2000</century>
  <date era="ad">1990</date>
</covTime>
<culture>European</culture>
<culture>British</culture>
<language>en</language>
[...]
</descMeta>

**<date>**

**Definition:**
A date associated with an event in the life cycle of the resource. Most often, date will be associated with the creation or availability of the resource.

**Must Contain:**

**Finite:**
- PCDATA

**May Occur Within:**
- <availability>
- <bibRef>
- <covTime>
- <imprint>
- <rights>
- <subject>

**Input Standard(s):**
From the resource itself or an accepted authoritative source. Enter date in the form: YYYY-MM-DD. Range of dates may be expressed by using the ISO 8601 <dateRange> element. Enter more than one coverage-time if necessary for access.

**Attributes:**
- **id**  ID  Optional
- **label**  CDATA  Optional
- **type**  Optional
- **normal**  CDATA  Optional
- **certainty**  exact, circa  Optional
Specific Usage Rules for Collections

The following elements, attributes, and values may be used for this element in these specific collections.

University Album

- `<covTime>` `<date certainty="exact">` Required: When using the `<date>` element in `<covtime>`, the `certainty` attribute is required with a value of "exact" or "circa".

Prange Digital Children's Book Collection

- `<covTime>` `<date era="ad" label="pcbccd">` Optional

Example:
```xml
<descMeta>
[...]
<covPlace>
<geogName>not captured</geogName>
</covPlace>
<covTime>
<century era="ad">1901-2000</century>
<date era="ad" type="exact">1926-12-26</date>
</covTime>
<culture>Maryland</culture>
<culture>American</culture>
<culture>University of Maryland</culture>
[...]
</descMeta>
```

<dateRange>

Definition:

A range of dates associated with an event in the life cycle of the resource. Most often, a date range will be associated with the creation or availability of the resource.

Must Contain:

Finite:

- PCDATA

May Occur Within:

- `<covTime>`
- `<rights>`

Input Standard(s):

Enter dateRange in the form: YYYY-MM-DD to YYYY-MM-DD. Attributes from and to are required, following the same pattern.

Attributes:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Attribute</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Required</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>id</td>
<td>ID</td>
<td>Optional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Element</td>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>label</td>
<td>CDATA</td>
<td>Optional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>type</td>
<td>CDATA</td>
<td>Optional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>normal</td>
<td>&quot;exact&quot;, &quot;circa&quot;</td>
<td>Optional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>certainty</td>
<td>CDATA</td>
<td>Optional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>era</td>
<td>&quot;ad&quot;,&quot;bc&quot;,&quot;cc&quot;,&quot;cd&quot;</td>
<td>REQUIRED</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>from</td>
<td>CDATA</td>
<td>REQUIRED</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to</td>
<td>CDATA</td>
<td>REQUIRED</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>xml:lang</td>
<td>CDATA</td>
<td>Optional</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Example:**

```xml
<descMeta>
[...]
<covPlace>
<geogName>not captured</geogName>
</covPlace>
<covTime>
<century era="ad">1901-2000</century>
<dateRange era="ad" from="1931" to="1933">1930-1933</dateRange>
</covTime>
<culture>Maryland</culture>
<culture>American</culture>
<culture>University of Maryland</culture>
[...]
</descMeta>
```

### <decade>

**Definition:**
A decade associated with the subject matter of a resource.

**Must Contain:**
- Finite:
  - PCDATA

**May Occur Within:**
- `<subject>`

**Input Standard(s):**
Enter decade in the form: YYY1-YYY0

**Attributes:**
- id ID Optional
- label CDATA Optional
- type CDATA Optional
- xml:lang CDATA Optional

**Example:**

```xml
<descMeta>
[...]
```
<descMeta>

Definition:

*Descriptive metadata.* Wrapper element for all elements used to describe a resource.

Must Contain:

Finite:

- `<covTime>`
- `<mediaType>`
- `<pid>`
- `<relationships>`
- `<repository>`

Repeable:

- `<covPlace>`
- `<culture>`
- `<description>`
- `<physDesc>`
- `<rights>`
- `<subject>`
- `<title type="[…]">`

May Contain:

Repeable:

- `<agent>`
- `<identifier>`
- `<language>`
- `<style>`
Attributes:

- id (ID)  Optional
- label (CDATA)  Optional
- type (CDATA)  Optional
- xml:lang (CDATA)  Optional

Example:

The following elements constitute the minimum set of elements for a descMeta instance (i.e. those required by the DTD):

```
<descMeta>
  <pid> [...] </pid>
  <mediaType type="[...]"> [...] </mediaType>
  <form> [...] </form>
  <title type="[...]"> [...] </title>
  <covPlace>
    <geogName> [...] </geogName>
  </covPlace>
  <covTime>
    <century> [...] </century>
    <culture> [...] </culture>
    <description> [...] </description>
  </covTime>
  <subject type="[...]"> [...] </subject>
  <physDesc> [...] </physDesc>
  <relationships>
    <relation type="[...]"> [...] </relation>
  </relationships>
  <repository>
    <corpName> [...] </corpName>
  </repository>
  <rights> [...] </rights>
</descMeta>
```

<description>

Definition:

A textual description of the content of the resource, including abstracts or summaries for document-like objects, content descriptions for visual resources, or other descriptions not included in other elements.

Must Contain:

Finite:

- PCDATA

Repeatable:

- <bibRef>
- <extPtr>
- <extRef>
- <linkGrp>
May Occur Within:

- `<descMeta>`
- `<relation>`

Input Standard(s):

Enter descriptive text, remarks, and comments about the object. This information can be taken from the item, or supplied by the agency if no structured description or abstract is available.

Attributes:

- `id`  ID  Optional
- `label`  CDATA  Optional
- `type`  CDATA, "summary", "credits"  Optional
- `lang`  CDATA  Optional
- `xml:lang`  CDATA  Optional

Specific Usage Rules for Collections

The following elements, attributes and values may be used with this element in these specific collections.

**Films@UM**

- `<description type="summary"> REQUIRED
- `<description type="credits"> Optional

**The Jim Henson Works**

- `<description type="credits"> Optional
- `<description type="summary"> REQUIRED

**Prange Digital Children's Books Collection**

- `<description type="papertype" label="pcbcensor"> Optional
- `<description label="pcbcensor"> Optional
- `<description label="pcbnotes"> Optional

**University AlbUM**

- `<description type="summary"> REQUIRED

**World's Fair**

- `<description type="caption"> Optional; used to denote a caption on an original item NOT a caption created to describe digital content

**Example:**

```
<descMeta>
[...]
<covTime>
```
<extent>

Definition:
The number of a given measurement that comprises a resource (e.g. 3 images)

Must Contain:
Finite:
  • PCDATA

May Occur Within:
  • <physDesc>

Input Standard(s):
Record the number of a given measurement for the whole of the resource being described.
Attribute units is required to indicate the unit of measure.

Attributes:
- id: ID, Optional
- label: CDATA, Optional
- type: CDATA, Optional
- units: CDATA, documents, image, REQUIRED
  pages, minutes, copies
- xml:lang: CDATA, Optional

Specific Usage Rules for Collections
The following elements, attributes, and values may be used with these elements in these specific collections.

Prange Digital Children's Book Collection

  • <physDesc><extent units="documents" label="pcbcensor">.Optional

Example:
<descMeta xml:lang="en">
  [...]  
<physDesc>
  <extent units="pages">12</extent>
</physDesc>
<physDesc>
  <size units="papersize">B5</size>
<physDesc>
<size units="cm">26 x 19</size>
</physDesc>
<physDesc type="format">Book</physDesc>
<physDesc type="format" xml:lang="ja-Hani">本</physDesc>

<extPtr>

**Definition:**

*Extended pointer.* An empty linking element which connects the model to an external electronic object.

**Must Contain:**

EMPTY

**May Occur Within:**

- `<corpName>`
- `<description>`
- `<extRef>`
- `<linkGrp>`
- `<other>` Use of this element is restricted. See the Usage Rules for Specific Collections for this element.
- `<persname>`
- `<relation>`

**Input Standard(s):**

Use the attributes entityref to identify the external object.

**Attributes:**

- `behavior`: CDATA Optional
- `entityref`: ENTITY Optional
- `href`: CDATA Optional
- `id`: ID Optional
- `idref`: IDREF Optional
- `inline`: "true"
- `rel`: "version" or "part" Optional
- `role`: CDATA Optional
- `show`: "embed", "new", "replace", "other", "none" Optional
**targettype**  |  CDATA  |  Optional
---|---|---
**title**  |  CDATA  |  Optional
**type**  |  "simple"  |  Fixed
**xlink**  |  CDATA  |  Optional
**xpointer**  |  CDATA  |  Optional
**xml:lang**  |  CDATA  |  Optional

**Example:**

```xml
<descMeta>
  [...]
  <agent type="creator">
    <corpName>London Weekend Television, ltd</corpName>
    <extPtr type="simple" entityref="lwt" 
      title="Logo for LWT" show="embed" /></agent>
  <agent type="creator">
    <corpName>Reiner Moritz Associates</corpName>
  </agent>
  [...]
</descMeta>
```

---

**<extRef>**

**Definition:**

Extended reference. A linking element that can include text and subelements as part of a reference to an external electronic object.

**May Contain:**

- Finite:
  - PCDATA

- Repeatable:
  - `<extPtr>`

- May Occur Within:
  - `<corpName>`
  - `<description>`
  - `<linkGrp>`
  - `<other>` Use of this element is restricted. See the Usage Rules for Specific Collections for this element.
  - `<persName>`
  - `<relation>`

**Input Standard(s):**

Use the attributes entityref or href to identify the external object.

**Attributes:**

- **behavior**  |  CDATA  |  Optional
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tag</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Occurrence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>entityref</td>
<td>ENTITY</td>
<td>Optional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>href</td>
<td>CDATA</td>
<td>Optional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>id</td>
<td>ID</td>
<td>Optional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>idref</td>
<td>IDREF</td>
<td>Optional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>inline</td>
<td>&quot;true&quot;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rel</td>
<td>&quot;version&quot; or &quot;part&quot;</td>
<td>Optional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>role</td>
<td>CDATA</td>
<td>Optional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>show</td>
<td>&quot;embed&quot;, &quot;new&quot;, &quot;replace&quot;, &quot;other&quot;, &quot;none&quot;</td>
<td>Optional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>targettype</td>
<td>CDATA</td>
<td>Optional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>title</td>
<td>CDATA</td>
<td>Optional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>type</td>
<td>&quot;simple&quot;</td>
<td>Fixed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>xlink</td>
<td>CDATA</td>
<td>Optional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>xml:lang</td>
<td>CDATA</td>
<td>Optional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>xpointer</td>
<td>CDATA</td>
<td>Optional</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Example:**

```xml
<descMeta>
[...]
<agent type="creator">
<corpName>
<extRef type="simple" href="http://www.londonweekend.com" title="ITV.com" show="new">London Weekend Television, ltd</extRef>
</corpName>
</agent>
<agent type="creator">
<corpName>Reiner Moritz Associates</corpName>
</agent>
[...]
</descMeta>
```

**<form>**

**Definition:**

The form of the contents of the parent element, according to a non-Dublin Core scheme, e.g. poetry, prose, fiction, painting, sculpture, etc. The form element functions as a qualifier for the `<mediaType>` element.

**Must Contain:**

- Finite:
  - PCDATA

**May Occur Within:**

- `<mediaType>`
Content Standard(s):

- `<mediaType> = Collection`:
  - Collection

- `<mediaType> = Dataset`:
  - To be determined

- `<mediaType> = Image`:
  - Architecture
  - Decorative art
  - Drawing, Design, Illustration.
  - Painting
  - Photograph, artistic
  - Photograph, documentary
  - Print
  - Sculpture

- `<mediaType> = interactiveResource`:
  - Hypertext systems
  - Interactive video

- `<mediaType> = movingImage`:
  - To be determined

- `<mediaType> = software`:
  - To be determined

- `<mediaType> = sound`:
  - instrumental music
  - vocal music
  - instrumental and vocal music
  - electronic music
  - spoken word
  - nature or natural sounds

- `<mediaType> = text`:
  - Poetry
  - Drama
UVa Metadata Descriptive Elements (UVa DescMeta)

Metadata Home > UVa Metadata Descriptive Elements

UVa DescMeta is currently under development by the Metadata Steering Group at the University of Virginia Library. The element set, the minimal requirements, and the DTDs are still considered in-progress. The DTD will be released as UVa DescMeta version 2.0 when development and prototyping are complete.

**DTD** at: http://text.lib.virginia.edu/dtd/descmeta/descmeta.dtd
**Documentation** at: http://dl.lib.virginia.edu/html/descmeta/

*(note: the documentation is NOT up to date with the current DTD. Please use with caution.)*
**Mappings** at: http://www.lib.virginia.edu/digital/metadata/mappings.html

Descriptive Element Set

**Minimal Requirements for Ingest into the UVa Digital Library**

Descriptive Element Set

<!agent>
<!authority>
<!covplace>
<!covtime>
<!culture>
<!description>
<!identifier>
<!language>
<!mediatype>
<!mimetype>
<!physdesc>
<!pid>
<!place>
<!relationships>
<!rights>
<!style>
<!subject>
<!surrogate>
<!time>
<!title>
Minimal Requirements for Ingest into the UVa Digital Library

<pid>
  ● Surrogate identifier
  ● The Fedora PID
  ● “Unknown” is not an option
</pid>

<title type="main">
  ● Original title
  ● One and only one
  ● “Unknown” is not an option
</title>

<mediatype>
  ● Mediatype
  ● One and only one (not repeatable)
  ● “Unknown” is not an option
</mediatype>

<rights type="access">
  ● Access rights
  ● “Unknown” is not an option
</rights>

<surrogate><time>
  ● The date the resource was put on the first server
  ● If unknown, may be populated with date of ingest into Fedora
</time>

<time>
  ● An original date of any date type
  ● In extreme situations, an appeal can be made to the MSG for populating the field with "Unknown";
    the MSG will decide if this is acceptable on a case-by-case basis.
</time>

Not required but highly recommended:

<agent>
  ● At least agent of any type
  ● Consider using "Unknown", if unavailable
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Introduction

This MODS application profile for electronic theses and dissertations (ETDs) describes the best practices for descriptive metadata for members of the Texas Digital Library (TDL). This document defines the mandatory minimum elements for ETDs. Besides these elements, other valid MODS elements may be included in ETD records. Optional elements, subelements, and attributes are described throughout the document.

MODS elements for ETDs:

Title Information (mandatory)  
Name of Author (mandatory)  
Name of Thesis Advisor (mandatory)  
Name of Committee Member (optional)  
Name of Degree Grantor (mandatory)  
Type of Resource (mandatory)  
Genre (mandatory)  
Origin Information (mandatory)  
Language (mandatory)  
Physical Description (mandatory)  
Abstract (mandatory)  
Subject (mandatory)  
Identifier (mandatory)  
Location (mandatory)  
Degree Information (mandatory)  
Record Information (mandatory)

Instructions for formatting and encoding:

Title Information

Mandatory practice: Encode the title information in a <mods:titleInfo> wrapper element. Encode the title proper in a <mods:title> subelement. Encode the subtitle in a <mods:subTitle> subelement.

Optional practice: Other valid subelements or attributes within the <mods:titleInfo> element may be used.

Example:

```xml
<mods:titleInfo>
  <mods:title>Critical processes and performance measures for patient safety systems in healthcare institutions</mods:title>
</mods:titleInfo>
```
**Name of Author**

Mandatory practice: Encode information about the name of the author in the `<mods:name>` wrapper element with the type attribute set to “personal.” Encode the MARC relator term “Author” in the `<mods:roleTerm>` subelement under the `<mods:role>` subelement. Encode the various parts of the name in the `<mods:namePart>` subelement. Include the type attribute in each `<mods:namePart>` subelement. The “given” and “family” name types are mandatory.

Optional practice: Encode the birthdate in a `<mods:namePart>` subelement with type set to “date”. Other valid subelements or attributes within the `<mods:name>` element may be used.

Example:

```xml
<mods:name type="personal">
    <mods:namePart type="given">Ralitsa B.</mods:namePart>
    <mods:namePart type="family">Akins</mods:namePart>
    <mods:namePart type="date">1967-</mods:namePart>
    <mods:role>
        <mods:roleTerm authority="marcrelator" type="text">Author</mods:roleTerm>
    </mods:role>
</mods:name>
```

**Name of Thesis Advisor**

Mandatory practice: Encode information about the thesis advisor in the `<mods:name>` wrapper element with the type attribute set to “personal.” Encode the MARC relator term “Thesis advisor” in the `<mods:roleTerm>` subelement under the `<mods:role>` subelement. Encode the various parts of the name in the `<mods:namePart>` subelement. Include the type attribute in each `<mods:namePart>` subelement. The “given” and “family” name types are mandatory. The element `<mods:name>` is repeatable for thesis advisors.

Optional practice: Encode the birthdate in a `<mods:namePart>` subelement with type set to “date”. Other valid subelements or attributes within the `<mods:name>` element may be used.

Example:

```xml
<mods:name type="personal">
    <mods:namePart type="given">Bryan R.</mods:namePart>
    <mods:namePart type="family">Cole</mods:namePart>
    <mods:role>
        <mods:roleTerm authority="marcrelator" type="text">Thesis advisor</mods:roleTerm>
    </mods:role>
</mods:name>
```
Name of Committee Member

Optional practice: Encode information about committee members in the <mods:name> wrapper element with the type attribute set to “personal.” Encode the term “Committee member” in the <mods:roleTerm> subelement under the <mods:role> subelement. Encode the various parts of the name in the <mods:namePart> subelement. Include the type attribute in each <mods:namePart> subelement. The “given” and “family” name types are mandatory. The element <mods:name> is repeatable for committee members. Encode the birthdate in a <mods:namePart> subelement with type set to “date”. Other valid subelements or attributes within the <mods:name> element may be used.

Example:

<mods:name type="personal">
  <mods:namePart type="given">Jane R.</mods:namePart>
  <mods:namePart type="family">Smith</mods:namePart>
  <mods:role>
    <mods:roleTerm type="text">Committee member</mods:roleTerm>
  </mods:role>
</mods:name>

Name of Degree Grantor

Mandatory practice: Encode information about the degree grantor in the <mods:name> wrapper element with the type attribute set to “corporate.” Encode the name of the degree granting institution in a <mods:namePart> subelement. Use the form of the name authorized by the Library of Congress Name Authority File. Encode the name of the department that granted the degree in a <mods:namePart> subelement. Encode the MARC relator term “Degree grantor” in the <mods:roleTerm> subelement under the <mods:role> subelement.

Optional practice: Other valid subelements or attributes within the <mods:name> element may be used.

Example:

<mods:name type="corporate" authority="lcnaf">
  <mods:namePart>Texas A &amp; M University</mods:namePart>
  <mods:namePart>Philosophy</mods:namePart>
  <mods:role>
    <mods:roleTerm authority="marcrelator" type="text">Degree grantor</mods:roleTerm>
  </mods:role>
</mods:name>
Type of Resource

Mandatory practice: Encode the type of resource in the <mods:typeOfResource> element. The element <mods:typeOfResource> is repeatable for ETDs with multiple files.

Example:

<mods:typeOfResource>
  text
</mods:typeOfResource>

Genre

Mandatory practice: Encode the MARC genre term “theses” in the <mods:genre> element. Set the authority attribute to “marcgt.”

Optional practice: Other valid attributes within the <mods:genre> element may be used.

Example:

<mods:genre authority="marcgt">
  theses
</mods:genre>

Origin Information

Mandatory practice: Encode relevant dates for the ETD in the <mods:originInfo> wrapper element. The creation date is defined as the date the student graduates or the date the degree is conferred. The publication date is defined as the date the ETD is released to the public.

Encode the month and year of the creation date, according to ISO 8601, in the <mods:dateCreated> subelement. Set the encoding attribute to “iso8601.”

Encode the month and year of the publication date, according to ISO 8601, in the <mods:dateIssued> subelement. Set the encoding attribute to “iso8601.”

Optional practice: The day of the month may be included date encodings. Other valid subelements or attributes within the <mods:originInfo> element may be used.

Examples:

<mods:originInfo>
  <mods:dateCreated encoding="iso8601">200408</mods:dateCreated>
  <mods:dateIssued encoding="iso8601">200412</mods:dateIssued>
</mods:originInfo>
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Language

Mandatory practice: Encode information about the language of the ETD in the <mods:language> wrapper element. Encode the language, according to ISO 639-2b, in the <mods:languageTerm> subelement. Set the type attribute to “code” and the authority attribute to “iso639-2b.” The <mods:languageTerm> subelement is repeatable.

Optional practice: Other valid subelements or attributes within the <mods:language> element may be used.

Example:

```xml
<mods:language>
  <mods:languageTerm type="code" authority="iso639-2b">eng</mods:languageTerm>
  <mods:languageTerm type="code" authority="iso639-2b">spa</mods:languageTerm>
</mods:language>
```

Physical Description


Optional practice: Other valid attributes within the <mods:physicalDescription> element may be used.

Example:

```xml
<mods:physicalDescription>
  <mods:form authority="marcform">electronic</mods:form>
  <mods:internetMediaType>application/pdf</mods:internetMediaType>
</mods:physicalDescription>
```

Abstract


Optional practice: Valid attributes within the <mods:abstract> element may be used.
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Example:


Subject

Mandatory practice: Encode topical subject terms in the <mods:subject> wrapper element. Encode individual terms or phrases in the <mods:topic> subelement. The <mods:subject> element is repeatable.

Optional practice: Controlled subject headings may be included by using the authority attribute of the <mods:topic> subelement. Other valid subelements or attributes within the <mods:subject> element may be used.

Example:

<mods:subject>
  <mods:topic>healthcare</mods:topic>
</mods:subject>

<mods:subject>
  <mods:topic>patient safety</mods:topic>
</mods:subject>

<mods:subject authority="lcsh">
  <mods:topic>Medical care</mods:topic>
  <mods:topic>Quality control</mods:topic>
  <mods:geographic>United States</mods:geographic>
  <mods:temporal>20th century</mods:temporal>
</mods:subject>

Identifier

Mandatory practice: Encode the unique identifier in the <mods:identifier> element. The <mods:identifier> element is repeatable.

Optional practice: The type attribute may be used in the <mods:identifier> element. Other valid attributes within the <mods:identifier> element may be used.

Example:

<mods:identifier type="hdl">
Location

Mandatory practice: Encode the location in the <mods:location> wrapper element. Encode the uniform resource locator (URL) in the <mods:url> subelement.

Optional practice: Other valid attributes within the <mods:identifier> element may be used.

Example:

```
<mods:location>
    <mods:url>
        http://handle.tamu.edu/1969.1/1042
    </mods:url>
</mods:location>
```

Degree Information

Note: The MODS standard does not have elements specifically for theses and dissertations. In order to encode degree information in MODS, the <mods:extension> element is used to reference the ETD-MS XML schema.

Mandatory practice: Encode information about the conferred degree in the <etd:degree> wrapper element. Encode the degree name in the <etd:name> subelement. Use the fully spelled out form of the degree name. Encode the degree level, from the TDL vocabulary, in the <etd:level> subelement. Encode the degree discipline, from the TDL vocabulary, in the <etd:discipline> subelement.

Example:

```
<mods:extension>
    <etd:degree>
        <etd:name>Doctor of Philosophy</etd:name>
        <etd:level>Doctoral</etd:level>
        <etd:discipline>Educational Administration</etd:discipline>
    </etd:degree>
</mods:extension>
```

Record Information

Mandatory practice: Encode information about the MODS record in the <mods:recordInfo> wrapper element. Encode the name of the agency that created the MODS record in the <mods:recordContentSource> subelement, with the authority attribute set to “marcorg.” Encode the month, year, and day of the creation date of the record, according to ISO 8601, in the
<mods:recordCreationDate> subelement. Set the encoding attribute to “iso8601.” Encode the month, year, and day of the change date, according to ISO 8601, in the <mods:recordChangeDate> subelement. Set the encoding attribute to “iso8601.” Encode the unique record identifier in the <mods:recordIdentifier> subelement.

Optional practice: Other valid attributes within the <mods:recordInfo> element may be used.

Example:

```xml
<mods:recordInfo>
  <mods:recordContentSource authority="marcorg">TxCM</mods:recordContentSource>
  <mods:recordCreationDate encoding="iso8601">20050826</mods:recordCreationDate>
  <mods:recordChangeDate encoding="iso8601">20050826</mods:recordChangeDate>
  <mods:recordIdentifier>12345678</mods:recordIdentifier>
</mods:recordInfo>
```
Full example of MODS record for an ETD

```xml
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<mods:mods
xmlns:mods="http://www.loc.gov/mods/v3"
xmlns:etd="http://www.ndltd.org/standards/metadata/etdms/1.0/"
xmlns:xsi="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema-instance"
xsi:schemaLocation="http://www.loc.gov/mods/v3
http://www.loc.gov/standards/mods/v3/mods-3-1.xsd"
http://www.ndltd.org/standards/metadata/etdms/1.0/
http://www.ndltd.org/standards/metadata/etdms/1.0/etdms.xsd">
  <mods:titleInfo lang="eng">
    <mods:title>Critical processes and performance measures for patient safety
    systems in healthcare institutions</mods:title>
    <mods:subTitle>a Delphi study</mods:subTitle>
  </mods:titleInfo>
  <mods:name type="personal" authority="lcnaf">
    <mods:namePart>Akins, Ralitsa B., 1967-</mods:namePart>
    <mods:namePart type="given">Ralitsa B.</mods:namePart>
    <mods:namePart type="family">Akins</mods:namePart>
    <mods:namePart type="date">1967-</mods:namePart>
  </mods:name>
  <mods:role>
    <mods:roleTerm authority="marcrelator" type="text">Author</mods:roleTerm>
  </mods:role>
  <mods:name type="personal">
    <mods:namePart type="given">Bryan R.</mods:namePart>
    <mods:namePart type="family">Cole</mods:namePart>
  </mods:name>
  <mods:role>
    <mods:roleTerm authority="marcrelator" type="text">Thesis
    advisor</mods:roleTerm>
  </mods:role>
  <mods:name type="corporate" authority="lcnaf">
    <mods:namePart>Texas A &amp; M University</mods:namePart>
  </mods:name>
  <mods:role>
    <mods:roleTerm authority="marcrelator" type="text">Degree
    grantor</mods:roleTerm>
  </mods:role>
</mods:mods>
</xml>

© 2005 Texas Digital Library
This dissertation study presents a conceptual framework for implementing and assessing patient safety systems in healthcare institutions. The conceptual framework consists of critical processes and performance measures identified in the context of the 2003 Malcolm Baldridge National Quality Award (MBNQA) Health Care Criteria for Performance Excellence. Methodology: The Delphi technique for gaining consensus from a group of experts and forecasting significant issues in the field of the Delphi panel expertise was used. Data collection included a series of questionnaires where the first round questionnaire was based on literature review and the MBNQA criteria for excellence in healthcare, and tested by an instrument review panel of experts. Twenty-three experts (MBNQA healthcare reviewers and senior healthcare administrators from quality award winning institutions) representing 18 states participated in the survey rounds. The study answered three research questions: (1) What are the critical processes that should be included in healthcare patient safety systems? (2) What are the performance measures that can serve as indicators of quality for the processes critical for ensuring patient safety? (3) What processes will be critical for patient safety in the future? The identified patient safety framework was further transformed into a patient safety tool with three levels: basic, intermediate, and advanced. Additionally, the panel of experts identified the major barriers to the implementation of patient safety systems in healthcare institutions. The identified "top seven" barriers were directly related to critical processes and performance measures identified as "important" or "very important" for patient safety systems in the present and in the future. This dissertation study is significant because the results are expected to assist healthcare institutions seeking to develop high quality patient safety programs, processes and services. The identified critical processes and performance measures can serve as a means of evaluating existing patient safety initiatives and guiding the strategic planning of new safety processes. The framework for patient safety systems utilizes a systems approach and will support healthcare senior administrators in achieving and sustaining improvement results. The identified patient safety framework will also assist healthcare institutions in using the MBNQA Health Care Criteria for Performance Excellence for self-assessment and quality improvement.
6. Controlled vocabularies

Metadata Standards and Applications Workshop

Goals of Session

- Understand how different controlled vocabularies are used in metadata
- Learn about relationships between terms in thesauri
- Understand methods of encoding vocabularies
- Learn about how registries are used to document vocabularies
Why controlled vocabularies?

- Document values that occur in metadata
- Goal is to reduce ambiguity
- Allow for control of synonyms
- Establish formal relationships among terms (where appropriate)
- Test and validate terms
- Role of metadata registries

Why bother?

- To improve retrieval, i.e., to get an optimum balance of precision and recall
  - Precision – How many of the retrieved records are relevant?
  - Recall – How many of the relevant records did you retrieve?
Improving Recall and Precision

- Controlled Vocabularies improve recall by addressing synonyms [attire vs. dress vs. clothing]

- Controlled Vocabularies improve precision by addressing homographs [bridge (game) vs. bridge (structure) vs. bridge (dental device)]

Types of Controlled Vocabularies

- Lists of enumerated values
- Synonym rings
- Taxonomy
- Thesaurus
- Classification Schemes
- Ontology
Lists

A list is a simple group of terms

Example:
- Alabama
- Alaska
- Arkansas
- California
- Colorado
- ...

Frequently used in Web site pick lists and pull down menus

Synonym Rings

- Synonym rings are used to expand queries for content objects
  - If a user enters any one of these terms as a query to the system, all items are retrieved that contain any of the terms in the cluster

- Synonym rings are often used in systems where the underlying content objects are left in their unstructured natural language format
  - The control is achieved through the interface by drawing together similar terms into these clusters

- Synonym rings are used in conjunction with search engines and provide a minimal amount of control of the diversity of the language found in the texts of the underlying documents
Taxonomies

A *taxonomy* is a set of preferred terms, all connected by a hierarchy or polyhierarchy

*Example:*

- Chemistry
  - Organic chemistry
  - Polymer chemistry
  - Nylon

Frequently used in web navigation systems

Thesauri

A *thesaurus* is a controlled vocabulary with multiple types of relationships

*Example:*

- Rice
  - UF paddy
  - BT Cereals
  - BT Plant products
  - NT Brown rice
  - RT Rice straw
Ontology

- One definition: “An arrangement of concepts and relations based on an underlying model of reality.”
- Ex.: Organs, symptoms, and diseases in medicine
- No real agreement on definition—every community uses the term in a slightly different way

Thesaural Relationships

Relationship types:
- Use/Used For – indicates preferred term
- Hierarchy – indicates broader and narrower terms
- Associative – almost unlimited types of relationships may be used

It is the most complex format for controlled vocabularies and widely used.
Z39.19 Types of Concepts

- Things and their physical parts
- Materials
- Activities or processes
- Events or occurrences
- Properties or states of persons, things, materials or actions
- Disciplines or subject fields
- Units of measurement
- Unique entities
Examples

- Birds (things)
- Ornithology (discipline)
- Feathers (materials)
- Flying (activity or process)
- Bird counts (event)
- Barn Owl (unique entity)

Relationships

- Equivalence
- Hierarchical
- Associative
**Equivalence Relationships**

Term A and Term B overlap completely

\[ A = B \]

---

**Hierarchical Relationships**

- Term A is included in Term B
Associative Relationships

- Semantics of terms A and B overlap

Expressing Relationship

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Relationship</th>
<th>Rel. Indicator</th>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Equivalence (synonymy)</td>
<td>Use</td>
<td>None or U UF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Used for</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hierarchy</td>
<td>Broader term</td>
<td>BT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Narrower term</td>
<td>NT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Association</td>
<td>Related term</td>
<td>RT</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Vocabulary Management

- The degree of control over a vocabulary is (mostly) independent of its type
  - **Uncontrolled** – Anybody can add anything at any time and no effort is made to keep things consistent
  - **Managed** – Software makes sure there is a list that is consistent (no duplicates, no orphan nodes) at any one time. Almost anybody can add anything, subject to consistency rules
  - **Controlled** – A documented process is followed for the update of the vocabulary. Few people have authority to change the list. Software may help, but emphasis is on human processes and custodianship

Informal Vocabularies

- New movement towards ‘bottom up’ classification goes by many names:
  - Tagging
  - Social bookmarking
  - Folksonomies

- Some in this movement, seeing problems of scale, are moving towards more formalization—others are reframing the vocabulary issue
Libraries/Museums and Tagging

- Penn Tags
  - Still experimental, primarily internal to Penn
  - [http://tags.library.upenn.edu/help/](http://tags.library.upenn.edu/help/)
- Library of Congress Flickr project
  - Open public tagging, still unclear how results will be used
- The Art Museum Social Tagging Project
  - Research/software project focused on museum application
  - [http://www.steve.museum/](http://www.steve.museum/)

Encoding Controlled Vocabularies

- MARC 21
  - Authority Format used for names, subjects, series
  - Classification Format used for formal classification schemes
- MADS (a derivative of MARC)
- Simple Knowledge Organization System (SKOS)
  - Intended for concepts
New/Upcoming Standards: Authorities

- Functional Requirements for Authority Data (FRAD)
  - A new model for authority information
  - Developed by the IFLA Working Group on Functional Requirements and Numbering of Authority Records (FRANAR)
- VIAF (Virtual International Authority File)
  - Prototype at: http://orlabs.oclc.org/viaf/
- A Review of the Feasibility of an International Authority Data Number (ISADN)
- Simple Knowledge Organization System (SKOS)—a W3C standard

Functions of the Authority File

- Document decisions
- Serve as reference tool
- Control forms of access points
- Support access to bibliographic files
- Link bibliographic and authority files
FRAD person attributes

From FRBR (AACR2 additions to names):
- Dates associated with the person
- Title of person
- Other designation associated with the person

New:
- Gender
- Place of birth
- Place of death
- Country
- Place of residence
- Affiliation
- Address
- Language of person
- Field of activity
- Profession/occupation
- Biography/history

(SKOS Core Guide)

SKOS

- “SKOS Core provides a model for expressing the basic structure and content of concept schemes such as thesauri, classification schemes, subject heading lists, taxonomies, 'folksonomies', other types of controlled vocabulary, and also concept schemes embedded in glossaries and terminologies.”

--SKOS Core Guide
SKOS & RDF

- A World Wide Web Consortium (W3C) standard
- Based on RDF and OWL
- Data linked to and/or merged with other data
- Data sources distributed across the web
- http://www.w3.org/2004/02/skos/

The `skos:Concept` class allows you to assert that a resource is a conceptual resource.

That is, the resource is itself a concept.
Preferred and Alternative Lexical Labels

ex:animals

skos:prefLabel

skos:altLabel

prefix ex: <http://www.example.com/concepts#>

prefix skos: <http://www.w3.org/2004/02/skos/core#>

The RDF/XML Encoded Version

```xml
<rdf:RDF
   xmlns:rdf="http://www.w3.org/1999/02/22-rdf-syntax-ns#"
   xmlns:skos="http://www.w3.org/2004/02/skos/core#">

   <skos:Concept rdf:about="http://www.example.com/concepts#animals">
      <skos:prefLabel>animals</skos:prefLabel>
      <skos:altLabel>creatures</skos:altLabel>
      <skos:altLabel>fauna</skos:altLabel>
   </skos:Concept>

</rdf:RDF>
```
Example of ISO 639-2 language code in SKOS

```
<rdf:Description rdf:about="http://www.loc.gov/standards/registry/vocabulary/iso639-2/por">
  <skos:prefLabel xml:lang="en-latn">Portuguese</skos:prefLabel>
  <skos:altLabel xml:lang="fr-latn">portugais</skos:altLabel>
  <skos:altLabel xml:lang="x-notation">por</skos:altLabel>
  <skos:notation rdf:datatype="xs:string">por</skos:notation>
  <skos:definition xml:lang="en-latn">This Concept has not yet been defined.</skos:definition>
  <vs:term_status>stable</vs:term_status>
  <skos:historyNote rdf:datatype="xs:dateTime">2006-07-19T08:41:54.000-05:00</skos:historyNote>
  <skos:changeNote rdf:datatype="xs:dateTime">2008-07-09T13:49:05.321-04:00</skos:changeNote>
</rdf:Description>
```

Registries: the Big Picture

(Adapted from Wagner & Weibel, “The Dublin Core Metadata Registry: Requirements, Implementation, and Experience” JoDI, 2005)
Why Registries?

- Support interoperability
  - Discovery of available schemes and schemas for description of resources
  - Promote reuse of extant schemes and schemas
  - Access to machine-readable and human-readable services
  - Support for crosswalking and translation
- Coping with different metadata schemes

Declaration, documentation, publication

- To identify the source of a vocabulary, e.g., a term comes from LCSH, as identified in my metadata by a URI
- To clarify a term and its definition
- To publish controlled vocabularies and have access to information about each term
Some uses for registries

- Metadata Schemas
  - Crosswalks between metadata schemas
- Controlled Vocabularies
  - Mappings between vocabularies
- Application Profiles
  - Schema and vocabulary information in combination

Metadata registries

- Some are formal, others are informal lists
- Some formal registries:
  - Dublin Core registry of DC terms
  - NSDL registry of vocabularies used
    - Experiment at: http://sandbox.metadataregistry.org
  - LC is establishing registries
    - MARC and ISO code lists
    - Enumerated value lists
    - LCSH in SKOS (example: http://id.loc.gov/authorities/sh85118553)
Example from Dublin Core Registry—Term Level

The Dublin Core Metadata Registry
Promoting the discovery and reuse of metadata.

Source: [source]
Laurencia Production

Browse the registry by classification type

Display: [Terms Overview] Browse

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><a href="http://purl.org/dc/terms/accrualMethod">http://purl.org/dc/terms/accrualMethod</a></th>
<th>View:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Label</td>
<td>Accrual Method [ en-US ]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Definition</td>
<td>The method by which items are added to a collection. [ en-US ]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Recommended best practice is to use a value from a controlled vocabulary. [ en-US ]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is Defined By</td>
<td><a href="http://purl.org/dc/terms/">http://purl.org/dc/terms/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RDF Type</td>
<td>Property</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type</td>
<td>element</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IsVersion</td>
<td>accrualMethod:001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Issued</td>
<td>2005-06-13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please direct questions, comments and suggestions for metadata@dublincore.org

Copyright © 1999-2006 DCMI All Rights Reserved. DCMI liability, trademark/copyright, document use and software licensing rules apply. Your interactions with DCMI are in accordance with our privacy statements. Please feel free to contact us for any questions, comments or media inquiries.
7. Approaches to Models of Metadata Creation, Storage and Retrieval

Metadata Standards and Applications

Goals of Session

- Understand the differences between traditional vs. digital library
  - Metadata Creation
  - Storage, and
  - Retrieval/Discovery
Creating metadata records

- The “Library Model”
  - Trained catalogers, one-at-a-time metadata records
- The “Submission Model”
  - Authors create metadata when submitting resources
- The “Automated Model”
  - Automated tools create metadata for resources
- “Combination Approaches”

The Library Model

- Records created “by hand,” one at a time
- Shared documentation and content standards (AACR2, etc.)
- Efficiencies achieved by sharing information on commonly held resources
- Not easily extended past the granularity assumptions in current practice
The Submission Model

- Based on author or user generated metadata
- Can be wildly inconsistent
  - Submitters generally untrained
  - May be expert in one area, clueless in others
- Often requires editing support for usability
- Inexpensive, may not be satisfactory as an only option

The Automated Model

- Based largely on text analysis; doesn’t usually extend well to non-text or low-text
- Requires development of appropriate evaluation and editing processes to support even minimal quality standards
- Still largely research; few large, successful production examples
- One simple automated tool to try: [http://www.ukoln.ac.uk/metadata/dcdot/](http://www.ukoln.ac.uk/metadata/dcdot/)
- Automated model may be more efficient for for technical metadata
Combination Approaches

- Combination machine and human created metadata
  - Ex.: LC Web Archives (http://www.loc.gov/minerva)
  - Ex.: INFOMINE (http://infomine.ucr.edu/)
- Combination metadata and content indexing
  - Ex.: NSDL (http://nsdl.org)

Content “Storage” Models

- ‘Storage models’ in this context relates to the relationships between metadata and content (not the systems that purport to ‘store’ content for various uses)
- These relationships affect how access to the information is accomplished, and how the metadata either helps or hinders the process (or is irrelevant to it)
Common ‘Storage’ Models

- Content with metadata
- Metadata only
- Service only

Content with metadata

- Examples:
  - HTML pages with embedded ‘meta’ tags
  - Most content management systems (though they may store only technical or structural metadata)
  - Text Encoding Initiative (TEI), a full-text markup language (as an example of an application, see the Comic Book Markup Language at http://www.cbml.org/)
  - Often proves difficult to update and not optimized to manage metadata over time
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Metadata only

- Library catalogs
  - Web-based catalogs often provide some services for digital content
- Electronic Resource Management Systems (ERMS)
  - Provide metadata records for title level only
- Metadata aggregations
  - Using API or OAI-PMH for harvest and redistribution

Service only

- Often supported partially or fully by metadata
  - Google, Yahoo (and others)
    - Sometimes provide both search services and distributed search software
  - Electronic journals (article level)
    - Linked using ‘link resolvers’ or available independently from Websites
    - Have metadata behind their services but don’t generally distribute it separately
Common Retrieval Models

- Library catalogs
- Web-based ("Amazoogle")
- Portals and federations

Library Catalogs

- Based on a consensus that granular metadata is useful
- Expectations of uniformity of information content and presentation
- Designed to optimize recall and precision
- Addition of relevance ranking and keyword searching of limited value (only ‘text’ used is the metadata itself)
- Retrieval options limited by LMS vendor decisions
New Library Catalogs

- **ENDECA**
  - North Carolina State University Libraries in 2006, was one of the first to experiment with new catalog technologies using legacy metadata

- **eXtensible Catalog Project**
  - University of Rochester attempting to provide a FRBR-ized catalog and integrated access to previously “silofied” data managed by libraries.

"ENDECA"
"University of Rochester attempting to provide a FRBR-ized catalog and integrated access to previously "silofied" data managed by libraries."
Web-based

- The “Amazoogle” model:
  - Lorcan Dempsey: “Amazon, Google, eBay: massive computational and data platforms which exercise strong gravitational Web attraction.”
  - Based primarily on full-text searching and link- or usage-based relevance ranking (lots of recall, little precision)
  - Some efforts to combine catalog and Amazoogle searches (ex.: collaborations with WorldCat)
  - Google is using metadata
Portals and Federations

- **Portals**: defined content boundaries
  - Some content also available elsewhere
  - ex.: Specific library portals, subject portals like Portals to the World ([http://www.loc.gov/rr/international/portals.html](http://www.loc.gov/rr/international/portals.html))

- **Federations**: protected content and services
  - Often specialized services based on specifically purposed metadata

XML based digital library application

- Similar to a portal application
- May use a database for record creation and maintenance
- Often uses open source tools
- Files are indexed for searching and presented on the Web using an XML based publishing framework
- Combines some of the other metadata creation, storage and retrieval approaches
  - [http://www.loc.gov/performingarts/](http://www.loc.gov/performingarts/)
Information Discovery and Retrieval

- Z39.50
- SRU
- Federated search (Metasearch)

Z39.50

- An international (ISO 23950) standard defining a protocol for computer-to-computer information retrieval.
- Makes it possible for a user in one system to search and retrieve information from other computer systems (that have also implemented Z39.50)
- Originally approved by the National Information Standards Organization (NISO) in 1988
SRU

Search/Retrieval via URL

- **SRU** is the successor to Z39.50
- **SRU** is a standard XML-focused search protocol for Internet search queries, utilizing CQL (Contextual Query Language), a standard syntax for representing queries
- To learn more about it see: [http://www.loc.gov/standards/sru/index.html](http://www.loc.gov/standards/sru/index.html)
Federated search

- Some institutions are using federated search (meta-search) to search multiple data sources
- LC has a new limited version available: http://www.loc.gov/search/new/

Can You Tell?

- Can you tell what’s going on behind these sites?
- How are they organized?
- What creation and storage models are used?
  - Plant and Insect Parasitic Nematodes: http://nematode.unl.edu/
  - Country walkers: http://www.countrywalkers.com/
8. Metadata Interoperability and Quality Issues

Metadata Standards and Applications Workshop

Goals of Session

- Understand interoperability protocols (OpenURL for reference, OAI-PMH)
- Understand crosswalking and mapping as it relates to interoperability
- Investigate issues concerning metadata quality
Tools For Sharing Metadata/Interoperability

- Protocols
  - OpenURL for reference linking
  - OAI-PMH for harvesting
- Good practices and documentation
- Crosswalking

What’s the Point of Interoperability?

- For users, it’s about resource discovery (user tasks)
  - What’s out there?
  - Is it what I need for my task?
  - Can I use it?
- For resource creators, it’s about distribution and marketing
  - How can I increase the number of people who find my resources easily?
  - How can I justify the funding required to make these resources available?
What’s an OpenURL?

- The OpenURL provides a standardized format for transporting bibliographic metadata about objects between information services.
- Provides a basis for building services via the notion of an extended service-link, which moves beyond the classic notion of a reference link (a link from metadata to the full-content described by the metadata).

Additional Open URL Services

- Link from a record in an abstracting and indexing database (A&I) to the full-text described by the record.
- Link from a reference in a journal article to a record matching that reference in an A&I database.
- Link from a citation in a journal article to a record in a library catalogue that shows the library holdings of the cited journal.
OpenURL Examples & Demo

- An OpenURL demo:
  - [http://www.ukoln.ac.uk/distributed-systems/openurl/](http://www.ukoln.ac.uk/distributed-systems/openurl/)

OAI-PMH

- Roots in the ePrint community, although applicability is much broader
- Mission: “The Open Archives Initiative develops and promotes interoperability standards that aim to facilitate the efficient dissemination of content.”
- Content in this context is actually “metadata about content”
OAI-PMH in a Nutshell

- Essentially provides a simple protocol for “harvest” and “exposure” of metadata records
- Specifies a simple “wrapper” around metadata records, providing metadata about the record itself
- OAI-PMH is about the metadata, not about the resources

ARTstor cdwa-Lite experiment
http://www.artstor.org/index.shtml
What was OAI-PMH designed for?

- Way to distribute records to other libraries
- Low barrier to entry for record providers
- Based on
  - Records must be in XML
  - OAI-PMH supports any metadata format encoded in XML—Simple Dublin Core is the minimal format specified
- Not Z39.50
  - Not a way to support federated search
  - No “on-the-fly” sets.
- More like CDS service, but it’s free,
  - users “pull” records when they want, at intervals that are convenient for them (every day, every hour, on any schedule, or ad hoc)

OAI-PMH: Data Provider

- Has records to share
- Runs system that responds to requests
  - following protocol
- Advertises base URL from which records are harvestable
- Just leaves system running
  - No human intervention needed to service requests
  - Can control level of activity to protect performance for primary users
OAI-PMH: Service Provider

- Assumed to be providing “union catalog” service
  - OAIsfer { http://www.oaister.org/ }
- or a specialist, value-added service
  - Sheet Music Consortium
    { http://digital.library.ucla.edu/sheetmusic/ }
- Harvests records, with ability to select limited to
  - Records updated in a certain timespan
  - Predetermined sets of records (like CDS)
  - Known records by identifiers (OAI identifiers, not LCCNs)
OAI Best Practices Activities

- Sponsored by Digital Library Federation (DLF)
- Guidelines for data providers and service providers
  - http://oai-best.comm.nsdl.org/cgi-bin/wiki.pl
  - Not just DLF, also NSDL
  - Best Practices for Shareable Metadata
- Workshops to encourage DLF members to make records for their digitized content harvestable
  - Also sponsored by IMLS
OAI Example
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**OAIster**

- **A union catalog of digital resources.** Provides access to digital resources by "harvesting" their descriptive metadata (records) using OAI-PMH.
- Currently provides access to 14,900,092 records from 939 contributors.
- http://www.oaister.org/

---

**About OAIster**

OAIster currently provides access to 14,900,092 records from 939 contributors.

OAIster is a union catalog of digital resources. It provides access to these digital resources by "harvesting" their descriptive metadata (records) using OAI-PMH (the Open Archives Initiative Protocol for Metadata Harvesting).

- More...
  - View Data Contributors
  - Collection Development Policies
  - Announcements
  - Presentations & Publications
  - Statistics on our Growth
  - Staff

---

**Using OAIster**

- Searchable by Title, Author/Creators, Subject, Language or Entire Record. Searches can also be limited by resource type (text, images, audio, video, dataset) and sorted by title, author, date and sort frequency.

- More...
  - Search Help
  - Idea Data Contributors
  - How to Become a Data Contributor
  - Using OAIster Data Outside this Application

---

**News & Updates**

- Product of OAISTELL at the University of Michigan
- For more information contact oaister@umich.edu
- Copyright © 2002-2009

---

**http://www.oaister.org/**
Crosswalking

“Crosswalks support conversion projects and semantic interoperability to enable searching across heterogeneous distributed databases. Inherently, there are limitations to crosswalks; there is rarely a one-to-one correspondence between the fields or data elements in different information systems.”

-- Mary Woodley, “Crosswalks: The Path to Universal Access?”
Crosswalks

- Semantic mapping of elements between source and target metadata standards
- Metadata conversion specification: transformations required to convert metadata record content to another
  - Element to element mapping
  - Hierarchy and object resolution
  - Metadata content conversions
- Stylesheets are created to transform metadata based on crosswalks

Problems With Converted Records

- Differences in granularity (complex vs. simple scheme)
- Some data might be lost
- Differences in semantics
- Differences in use of content standards
- Properties may vary (e.g. repeatability)
- Converting may not always be the solution
Example: Mapping MODS:title to DC:title

- Includes attribute for type of title
  - Abbreviated
  - Translated
  - Alternative
  - Uniform
- Other attributes: ID, authority, displayLabel, xLink
- Subelements: title, partName, partNumber, nonSort
- Title definition reused by: Subject, Related Item

Mapping MODS:title to DC:title

- DC has one element refinement: alternative
- DC title has no substructure; MODS allows for subelements for partNumber, partName
- Best practice statement in DC-Lib says include initial article; MODS parses into <nonSort>
- MODS can link to a title in an authority file if desired
Metadata Crosswalks

- Dublin Core-MARC
- Dublin Core-MODS
- ONIX-MARC
- MODS-MARC
- EAD-MARC
- EAD-Dublin Core
- Etc.

Crosswalks

Library of Congress
http://www.loc.gov/marc/marcdocz.html

MIT
http://libraries.mit.edu/guides/subjects/metadata/mappings.html

Getty
http://www.getty.edu/research/conducting_research/standards/intrometadata/crosswalks.html
### MARC to Dublin Core Crosswalk (Unqualified)

- **Conventions**:
  - Use "O" to specify the single field to be used. If none is specified, use all subfields.
  - Use "R" to indicate how the subfields are used.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MARC Fields</th>
<th>DC Element</th>
<th>Implementation Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100, 110, 111, 260, 710, 711</td>
<td>Contributor</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>720</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>730, 733</td>
<td>Coverage</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>731, 732</td>
<td>Creator</td>
<td>Creator element not used.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>000-07, 10</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>040, 041</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>340</td>
<td>Format</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>350</td>
<td>Identifier</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>050, 052</td>
<td>Language</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>042, 043, 044, 045, 046</td>
<td>Publisher</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>530, 750-752</td>
<td>Relation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>700, 740</td>
<td>Rights</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>701, 700</td>
<td>Source</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>703, 704</td>
<td>Title</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Leader 00, Leader 06**

### MARC to DC Qualified

**http://www.loc.gov/marc/marc2dc.html#qualifiedlist**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MARC Fields</th>
<th>DC Element</th>
<th>DC Qualifier</th>
<th>Implementation Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>346 $e</td>
<td>Annual Method</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>346 $a</td>
<td>Annual Frequency</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500</td>
<td>Audience</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500, 700, 710, 711</td>
<td>Contributor</td>
<td>Value in $e</td>
<td>Rules may be used as refinements of Contributor or usage of qualified DC. See “Rules” below.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>720, 730</td>
<td>Coverage</td>
<td>Spatial</td>
<td>Some 250 information equivalent to DC encoding scheme but different syntax.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>700</td>
<td>Rights</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>701, 700</td>
<td>Source</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>740, 741, 742</td>
<td>Title</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>740, 741</td>
<td>Title</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>740, 741, 742</td>
<td>Title</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---
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NISO’s Metadata Principles

1: Good metadata conforms to community standards in a way that is appropriate to the materials in the collection, users of the collection, and current and potential future uses of the collection.

2: Good metadata supports interoperability.

3: Good metadata uses authority control and content standards to describe objects and collocate related objects.

NISO’s Metadata Principles Continued

4: Good metadata includes a clear statement of the conditions and terms of use for the digital object.

5: Good metadata supports the long-term curation and preservation of objects in collections.

6: Good metadata records are objects themselves and therefore should have the qualities of good objects, including authority, authenticity, archivability, persistence, and unique identification.
Quality issues

- Defining quality
- Criteria for assessing quality
- Levels of quality
- Quality indicators

Determining and Ensuring Quality

- What constitutes quality?
- Techniques for evaluating and enforcing consistency and predictability
- Automated metadata creation: advantages and disadvantages
- Metadata maintenance strategies
Quality Measurement: Criteria

- Completeness
- Accuracy
- Provenance
- Conformance to expectations
- Logical consistency and coherence
- Timeliness (Currency and Lag)
- Accessibility

Basic Quality Levels

- Semantic structure ("format," "schema" or "element set")
- Syntactic structure (administrative wrapper and technical encoding)
- Data values or content
Quality Indicators: Tier 1

- Technically valid
  - Defined technical schema; automatic validation
- Appropriate namespace declarations
  - Each element defined within a namespace; not necessarily machine-resolvable
- Administrative wrapper present
  - Basic provenance (unique identifier, source, date)

Quality Indicators: Tier 2

- Controlled vocabularies
  - Linked to publicly available sources of terms by unique tokens
- Elements defined and documented by a specific community
  - Preferably an available application profile
- Full complement of general elements relevant to discovery
- Provenance at a more detailed level
  - Methodology used in creation of metadata?
Quality Indicators: Tier 3

- Expression of metadata intentions based on documented AP endorsed by a specialized community and registered in conformance to a general metadata standard
- Source of data with known history of updating, including updated controlled vocabularies
- Full provenance information (including full source info), referencing practical documentation

Improving Metadata Quality ...

- Documentation
  - Basic standards, best practice guidelines, examples
  - Exposure and maintenance of local and community vocabularies
  - Application Profiles
  - Training materials, tools, methodologies
Exercise

- Evaluate a small set of machine- and human-created metadata
SECTION 8: EXERCISES

Evaluate a small set of human and machine-created metadata

More Information

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NSDL OAI identifier</th>
<th>oai:infomine-nsdl.uc:207689</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Title</td>
<td>Ages</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subject Keyword(s)</td>
<td>Forest management -- United States, Forests and forestry -- United States, Branching patterns, Distinguishing characteristics, Needles borne, Terminal buds, Tree identification card, Winter key, Trees in winter, Tree identification, Terminal bud, Identifying trees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Objective: Children will learn several features to observe in order to identify trees in winter. Children will identify several trees common to our area based on careful observation of those features.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Link</td>
<td><a href="http://www.nbtc.comell.edu/mainstreetscience/grab_and_go/treeswinterpc.htm">http://www.nbtc.comell.edu/mainstreetscience/grab_and_go/treeswinterpc.htm</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HTML Title</td>
<td>4-H Grab and Go with Science</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Dublin Core metadata editor

---
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HANDOUT: IDENTIFYING TREES IN WINTER

Contributor: Susan Jaquette, Cornell Plantations volunteer

Main idea: Trees have distinguishing characteristics that enable identification even in winter.

Objective: Children will learn several features to observe in order to identify trees in winter. Children will identify several trees common to our area based on careful observation of those features.

Materials:

- Small branches from several deciduous trees to illustrate the different branching patterns and terminal buds.
- Small branches from several evergreen trees to illustrate pine needle bundles, needles borne singly, needles borne on a stem or not on a stem, the scale-like foliage of arborvitae or cedar, and differences among cones.
- Book or chart showing characteristic silhouettes of common trees.
- Know Your Trees (4-H Bulletin 85) has a winter key that identifies hardwoods based on twigs and buds. There is an online version of the winter key available at: http://cyrus.bh.cornell.edu/tree/winterkey.htm
- Other tree handbooks for reference.

Motivator: To get at the idea of identification through different features, ask children how they might recognize someone they know who was far away, for example across a field. (You might elicit posture, shape, size, and silhouette.) Then ask how do you recognize someone who is nearby, for example, someone in the group. (More specific features will be elicited?blue eyes, freckles, curly hair, etc.)

Explain that trees, too, have features and can be recognized by careful attention to their features. Scientists have organized many of these features into what's called a taxonomic key for identifying trees.

Questions: Before you begin the activity, ask the children what features they might look at if you were trying to distinguish one tree from another in winter? Elicit as many characteristics as possible.
Activity:

1. Beforehand, the instructor should locate 4 to 6 nearby trees that will be easy to identify based on their particular characteristics. Trees such as red pine, white pine, hemlock, spruce, sycamore, oak, maple, and ash lend themselves to using the distinguishing characteristics presented to the students in Step 3. For example:

   - The two pines have a different number of needles in their clusters.
   - The hemlock and spruce have different cones, and the hemlock's needles are on short stems while the spruce needles lack stems.
   - The sycamore lacks a terminal bud and has a zigzag branching pattern while the oak has a terminal bud and an alternate branching pattern.
   - The maple and the ash are the only common local large trees with opposite buds and branches.

2. Post a number on each of the trees selected. Make a tree identification card for each tree. Each card should have the tree's name, the descriptive characteristics needed to identify that tree (as stated, for example, in Step 1), possibly a silhouette sketch of the tree, and any other prominent characteristics. (For example, the ash has stout twigs or the sycamore has peeling bark high on its trunk.)

3. Have the children examine the silhouette poster, the branches and cones looking for differences among them. Show them or help them discover the different branching patterns. Point out branches with terminal buds and those without. Have them count pine needles in bundles and observe needles borne singly on or without stems. Have them describe how the cones differ. Everyone should have hands-on experience and practice at this time.

4. Divide children into as many groups as trees you have numbered. Give each group a tree identification card and instruct them to examine each numbered tree until they are sure they have found the one on their card.

5. After all groups have found their trees, gather the entire group together and go from tree to tree having each group point out the distinguishing characteristics of their tree.

Learning checks: In addition to the built in check in Step 5, instructor can have the group examine other nearby trees and demonstrate their knowledge of branching patterns, terminal buds, and needle arrangement.

Background: Basic knowledge about tree identification and familiarity with distinguishing characteristics of the 4 to 6 trees chosen for the activity.
**Vocabulary:**

**Terminal bud:** The bud found at the end of a twig.

**Opposite:** Arrangement of leaves or buds so that they connect to branches at points directly across from each other.

**Alternate:** Arrangement of leaves or buds where they are staggered or not placed directly across from each other on the twig.

**Zig-zag branches:** Change direction at each bud, creating a zig-zag pattern.

**Needle cluster or bundle:** A group of needles attached together at one point to a twig.

**Taxonomic key:** A guide that helps you find the name of an organism, usually by asking sets of paired questions.

**Extensions:**

- Have groups switch cards and identify other trees (writing down their answers) before moving on to Step 5.

Number additional "distracter" trees so students must consider and observe more trees.
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I. Introduction

The following is a crosswalk between the metadata terms in the Dublin Core Element Set and MARC 21 bibliographic data elements. The crosswalk may be used for conversion of Dublin Core metadata into MARC, for instance as a tool for developing XSLT transformations. For conversion of MARC 21 into Dublin Core, the MARC to Dublin Core Crosswalk should be used, since in this conversion many fields are mapped into a single Dublin Core element.

In the Dublin Core to MARC mapping, two mappings are provided, one for unqualified Dublin Core elements (i.e. the main fifteen elements as in Dublin Core Metadata Element Set, version 1.1) and the other for qualified (those in addition that appear only in DCMI Metadata Terms). The latter includes both refinements of the original fifteen as well as syntax and vocabulary encoding schemes.

MARC 21 fields are listed with field number, then two indicator values with field name/subfield name in parentheses. If both the field and subfield have the same name, the subfield name is not included. A blank (H'20') is indicated in this document by "#". The label is a shortened form of the element name.

Definitions are taken from Dublin Core Metadata Element Set, Version 1.1: Reference Description. For further information about Dublin Core elements, including application notes (given in Comment), refer to that document. All Dublin Core elements are optional and repeatable. In this document elements are listed in alphabetical order by Dublin Core label.

II. Dublin Core to MARC Crosswalk (15 elements in version 1.1 and refinements)

Contributor -- An entity responsible for making contributions to the resource.

Unqualified:

720 ##$a (Added Entry--Uncontrolled Name/Name)

Qualified:

Element refinements may be used from the MARC relator list for those specified roles that refine dc:contributor. If DC metadata includes a role refinement (only used for Contributor), use the term in 720$e or the code in 720$t. See: MARC Relator Terms and Dublin Core

Note: there is no way to specify whether the Contributor is a person or organization because it is not in the Dublin Core data. If it can reasonably be determined that the contributor is a...
person or organization, fields 700 1#$a (Added Entry--Personal Name) or 710 2#$a (Added Entry--Corporate Name) may be used.

**Coverage -- The spatial or temporal topic of the resource, the spatial applicability of the resource, or the jurisdiction under which he resource is relevant.**

**Unqualified:**
500$a (General note)

**Qualified:**
Spatial: 522 ##$a (Geographic Coverage Note)
Temporal: 513 ##$b (Type of Report and Period Covered Note/Period covered)

**Syntax encoding schemes:**
Box: 507$a (Scale Note for Graphic Material)
ISO3166: 043$c with $2 iso3166 (Geographic Area Code/ISO code)
Point: 507$a (Scale Note for Graphic Material)

**Vocabulary encoding scheme under spatial:**
TGN: 651 #7 $a (Subject Added Entry--Geographic Name) with $2=tgn
Period: 045 0# $b (Time Period of Content/Formatted 9999 B.C. through C.E. time period)

**Creator -- An entity primarily responsible for making the resource.**

**Unqualified:**
720 ##$a (Added Entry--Uncontrolled Name/Name) with $e=author

Note: there is no way to specify whether the Creator is a person or organization because it is not in the Dublin Core data. If it can reasonably be determined that the Creator is a person or organization, fields 100 1#$a (Main Entry--Personal Name) or 110 2#$a (Main Entry--Corporate Name) may be used for the first Creator, and 700 1#$a (Added Entry--Personal Name) or 7102#$a (Added Entry--Corporate Name) for others may be used.

**Date -- A point or period of time associated with an event in the lifecycle of the resource.**

**Unqualified:**
260 ##$c (Date of publication, distribution, etc.)

**Qualified:**
Available: 307 ##$a (Hours, Etc.)
Created: 046$k (Special Coded Dates/Date created)
Date Accepted: 502##$a (Dissertation Note) with initial label "Date accepted"
Date Copyrighted: 260##$c (Date of publication, distribution, etc.) or 542 $g (Information Related to Copyright Status/Copyright date) *Note: this field was defined in MARC in January 2008. Prefer 542 if field is available.*
Date Submitted: 502##$a (Dissertation Note) with initial label "Date submitted"
Issued: 260 ##$c (Date of publication, distribution, etc.)
Modified: 046##$j (Special Coded Dates/Date modified)
Valid: 046##$m (Special Coded Dates/Date valid)

Syntax encoding schemes:
Period: 045##c (Special Coded Dates/Date 1, C.E. date)
W3CDTF: 260 ##$c (Date of publication, distribution, etc.); date may also be generated in 008/07-10; see below under Notes. Remove hyphens in 008.

Description -- An account of the resource.
Unqualified:
520 ##$a (Summary, etc. note)
Qualified:
Abstract: 520 ##$a (Summary, etc. note)
TableofContents: 505 0##$a (Formatted Contents Note)

Format -- The file format, physical medium, or dimensions of the resource.
Unqualified:
856 ##$q (Electronic Location and Access/Electronic format type)
Qualified:
Extent: 300 ##$a (Physical Description)
Medium: 340 ##$a (Physical Medium)

Syntax encoding scheme:
IMT: 856 ##$q (Electronic Location and Access/Electronic Format Type)

Identifier -- An unambiguous reference to the resource within a given context.
Unqualified:
If string begins http://: 856 40 $u (Electronic Location and Access/URI)
Otherwise: 024 8##$a (Other Standard Identifier/Standard number or code)
Qualified:
Bibliographic Citation: 500 ##a (General note) with initial label "Bibliographic citation"

Syntax encoding scheme:
URI: 856 40$u (Electronic Location and Access/Uniform Resource Locator)

Note: other types of identifiers (e.g. ISSN, ISBN) may be expressed in the form of URIs in dc:identifier.

Language -- A language of the resource.
Unqualified:
546 ##$a (Language note)
Qualified:
Syntax encoding schemes:
ISO 639-2: 0410#$a (Language code)
RFC 1766: 041 07$a (Language code) with $2=rfc1766
RFC 3066: 041 07$a (Language code) with $2=rfc3066
RFC 4646: 041 07$a (Language code) with $2=rfc4646

Publisher -- An entity responsible for making the resource available.
Unqualified:
260 ##$b (Publication, Distribution, etc. (Imprint)/Name of publisher, distributor, etc.)

Relation -- A related resource.
Unqualified:
787 0#$n (Nonspecific Relationship Entry/Note)
Qualified:
URI (Encoding scheme): 787 0#$o (Nonspecific Relationship Entry/Other identifier)
Conforms To: 787 0#$n (Nonspecific Relationship Entry/Other identifier) with $i=Conforms to
HasFormat: 776 0#$n (Additional Physical Form Entry/Note)
HasFormat: (Scheme=URI): 776 0#$o (Additional Physical Form Entry/Other identifier)
IsFormatOf: 776 0#$n (Additional Physical Form Entry/Note)
IsFormatOf: (Scheme=URI): 776 0#$o (Additional Physical Form Entry/Other identifier)
IsPartOf: 773 0#$n (Host Item Entry/Note)
IsPartOf (Scheme=URI): 773 0#$o (Host Item Entry/Other identifier)
HasPart: 774 0#$n (Constituent Unit Entry/Note)
HasPart (Scheme=URI): 774 0#$o (Constituent Unit Entry/Other identifier)
IsVersionOf: 775 0#$n (Other Edition Entry/Note)
IsVersionOf (Scheme=URI): 775 0#$o (Other Edition Entry/Other identifier)
HasVersion: 775 0#$n (Other Edition Entry/Note)
HasVersion (Scheme=URI): 775 0#$o (Other Edition Entry/Other identifier)
Is Based On: 786 0#$n (Data Source Entry/Note)
Is Based On (Scheme=URI): 786 0#$o (Data Source Entry/Other identifier)
Is Referenced By: 510 0#$a (Citation/References Note/Name of source)
Requires: 538 ##$a (System Details Note)
Is Required By: 787 0#$n (Nonspecific Relationship Entry/Other identifier) with $i=Is required by
Replaces: 780 00$n (Preceding entry)
Replaces (Scheme=URI): 780 00$o (Preceding entry)
Is Replaced By: 785 00$n (Succeeding entry)
Is Replaced By (Scheme=URI): 785 00$o (Succeeding entry)
References: 787 0#$n (Nonspecific Relationship Entry/Other identifier) with $i=References
References (Scheme=URI): 787 0#$o (Nonspecific Relationship Entry/Other identifier) with $i=References

Rights -- Information about rights held in and over the resource.

Unqualified:
540 ##$a (Terms Governing Use and Reproduction Note)

Qualified:
Access Rights: 506##$a (Restrictions on Access Note)
License: 540##$a (Terms Governing Use and Reproduction Note)
License (if value is a URI): 540##$u

Source -- A related resource from which the described resource is derived.

Unqualified:
786 0#$n (Data Source Entry/Note)

Qualified:

Syntax encoding scheme
URI : 786 0#$o (Data Source Entry/Other identifier)

Subject -- The topic of the resource.

Unqualified:
653 ##$a (Index Term--Uncontrolled)

Qualified:

Vocabulary encoding schemes:
DDC: 082 ##$a (Dewey Decimal Call Number/Classification number)
LCC: 050 ##$a (Library of Congress Call Number/Classification number)
LCSH: 650 #0$a (Subject added entry--Topical term)
MeSH: 650 #2$a (Subject added entry--Topical term)
UDC: 080 ##$a (Universal Decimal Classification Number)

Title -- A name given to the resource.

Unqualified:
245 00$a (Title Statement/Title proper)
If repeated, all titles after the first: 246 33$a (Varying Form of Title/Title proper)

Qualified:
Alternative: 246 33$a (Varying Form of Title/Title proper)

Type -- The nature or genre of the resource.

Unqualified:
655 #7$a (Index Term--Genre/Form) with $2=local

Qualified:
DCMI Type vocabulary (Encoding scheme): 655 #7$a (Index Term--Genre/Form) with $2=dct

See Section III for use to determine Leader/06 (Type of Record) values.

III. Additional Dublin Core Elements

Accrual Method: 541##$c (Source of Acquisition Note)
Accrual Periodicity: 310##$a (Current Publication Frequency)
Audience: 521##$a (Target Audience Note)
Education Level: 521##$a (Target Audience Note)
Provenance: 561##$a (Ownership and Custodial History)

IV. Notes

In addition to the variable length fields listed in the mapping, a MARC 21 record will also include a Leader and field 008 (Fixed-Length Data Elements). Certain character positions in each of these fixed length fields of a USMARC record will need to be coded, although most will generate default values.

Leader: a fixed field comprising the first 24 character positions (00-23) of each record that provides information for the processing of the record. The following positions should be generated:

Character Position 06: Type of record
Leader/06 value should be set according to value in Type as follows (these values are from Dublin Core List of Resource Types (DC Type Vocabulary):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type value</th>
<th>Leader/06 value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>collection</td>
<td>p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dataset</td>
<td>m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>event</td>
<td>r</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>image</td>
<td>k</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>interactive resource</td>
<td>m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>service</td>
<td>m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>software</td>
<td>m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sound</td>
<td>i</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
If no type is indicated, use value "a". If two type values are indicated, and one of these is "collection" use the other value for setting Leader/06. If more than two, use "m".

**Character Position 07: Bibliographic level**
If Type value is collection, use value "c" (Collection)
All others, use value "m" (Monograph).

**Character Position 08: Type of control**
Use value "#" (blank: no specific type of control).

**Character Position 09: Character coding scheme**
Use value "#" (blank: MARC-8).

**Character Position 17: Encoding level**
Use value "3" (Abbreviated level) or other value as appropriate to application

**Character Position 18: Descriptive cataloging form**
Use value "u" (Unknown) to indicate that the descriptive cataloging form is unknown.

**008 Fixed Length Data Elements:** Forty character positions (00-39) containing positionally-defined data elements that provide coded information about the record as a whole or about special bibliographic aspects of the item being cataloged. For records originating as Dublin Core, the following character positions are used:

*Character positions 00-05:* Date the MARC 21 record was created or converted (generate by date record entered system; formatted as YYMMDD)

*Character positions 07-10:* Date of Publication (YYYY portion from Date if present). Qualified DC: Date.Issued in ISO 8601 (only YYYY portion).

*Character positions 35-37:* Language. May be generated from data in Language if scheme=ISO 639-2.

Other character positions can default to fill characters (ASCII 7C)

**042$a Authentication Code:** Use "dc" (identifies that MARC 21 record is derived from Dublin Core style record).

**IV. Uses for mapping Dublin Core to MARC**

A mapping between the elements in the Dublin Core and MARC 21 fields is necessary so that conversions between various syntaxes can occur accurately. Once Dublin Core style metadata is widely provided, it might interact with MARC records in various ways such as the following:

**Enhancement of simple resource description record.** A cataloging agency may wish to extract the metadata provided in Dublin Core style and convert the data elements to MARC 21 fields, resulting in a skeletal record. That record might then be enhanced as needed to add additional information generally provided in the particular catalog. Some projects convert data and use as basic record for reporting to national bibliography.
**Searching across syntaxes and databases.** Libraries have large systems with valuable information in metadata records in MARC format. It will be important for systems to be able to search metadata in different syntaxes and databases and have commonality in the definition and use of elements. A primary use for this mapping is for harvesting metadata records from different sources, where there may be a need to translate Dublin Core records to MARC or vice versa.
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I. Introduction

The following is a crosswalk between core MARC 21 bibliographic data elements and elements in the Dublin Core Element Set. It may be used in conversion of metadata from MARC into Dublin Core. Since MARC is richer in data than Dublin Core, it differs from the Dublin Core to MARC Crosswalk in that multiple MARC fields are mapped to a Dublin Core element. The Dublin Core to MARC crosswalk maps a Dublin Core element to a single MARC field. In both crosswalks there are different mappings for Dublin Core simple or qualified. Not all possible MARC fields are included in this mapping, but only those considered useful for broad cross-domain resource discovery. Applications may wish to include other MARC elements that are prevalent in their data but are not listed here, or they may not include all that are listed.

MARC 21 fields are listed by field number with specific subfields if applicable. In many cases specific subfields are not provided, since applications may differ in subfields used. Applications may not need control subfields such as $2, $5. Notes concerning implementation are given. Further information about the mapping is given at the end of the document. Definitions of MARC elements may be found at the MARC Bibliographic format site and definitions of Dublin Core elements from the Dublin Core Metadata Element Set Reference Description, Version 1.1 and DCMI Metadata Terms.

Note that it is not expected that round-trip mapping is possible using this crosswalk. Once MARC data is converted to Dublin Core, not enough information is retained to allow for mapping back to MARC accurately. This is inevitable when mapping a complex set of data elements to a simpler set. Where a tag is used in mapping to more than one DC element, a decision may need to be made which Dublin Core element to map it to.

II. MARC to Dublin Core Crosswalk (Unqualified)

Conventions:

1. "$" is used to specify the subfield used. If none is specified, use all subfields.
2. DC element is repeated if multiple MARC fields are used.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MARC fields</th>
<th>DC Element</th>
<th>Implementation Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100, 110, 111, 700, 710, 711</td>
<td>Contributor</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>720</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>651, 662</td>
<td>Coverage</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>751, 752</td>
<td>Creator</td>
<td>Creator element not used.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>008/07-10</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>260$c$g</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500-599, except 506, 530, 540, 546</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>340</td>
<td>Format</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>856$q$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>020$a$, 022$a$, 024$a$</td>
<td>Identifier</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>856$s$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>008/35-37</td>
<td>Language</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>041$a$b$d$e$f$g$h$j</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>546</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>260$a$b</td>
<td>Publisher</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>530, 760-787$o$t</td>
<td>Relation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>506, 540</td>
<td>Rights</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>534$t$</td>
<td>Source</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>786$o$t</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>050, 060, 080, 082</td>
<td>Subject</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>600, 610, 611, 630, 650, 653</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>245, 246</td>
<td>Title</td>
<td>Repeat dc:title for each. Some applications may wish to include 210, 222, 240, 242, 243, and 247.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leader06, Leader07</td>
<td>Type</td>
<td>See Appendix 2 for Leader-Type rules.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>655</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### III. MARC to Dublin Core Crosswalk (Qualified)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MARC fields</th>
<th>DC Element</th>
<th>DC Qualifier(s)</th>
<th>Implementation Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>541$sc</td>
<td>Accrual Method</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>310$sa</td>
<td>Accrual Periodicity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>521</td>
<td>Audience</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100, 110, 111, 700, 710, 711$se</td>
<td>Contributor</td>
<td>Value in $e.</td>
<td>Roles may be used as refinements of Contributor if using qualified DC. See &quot;Roles&quot; note below.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>720$se</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>255, 034</td>
<td>Coverage</td>
<td>Spatial</td>
<td>Some 255 information equivalent to DC encoding scheme but different syntax.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>522</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>650$sz, 651, 662</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>751, 752</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>043$sc,044$sc</td>
<td>Coverage</td>
<td>Spatial ISO3166</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>651 if ind2=7 &amp; $2=tgn</td>
<td></td>
<td>Spatial TGN</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>033$sa</td>
<td>Coverage</td>
<td>Temporal</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>533$sb</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>260$sc if precedes date</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Copyrighted</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>542$g</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>This field was defined in MARC in January 2008.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>260$sc$g</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Created</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>533$d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>008/07-10</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Issued</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>260$sc</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>046$j</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Modified</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>046$m$n</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Valid</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>520 if ind1=## or 3</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Abstract</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>505</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>TableofContents</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MARC Field</td>
<td>Value</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300$a</td>
<td>Format</td>
<td>Extent</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>533$e</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>856$q</td>
<td>IMT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>340$a</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>856$u</td>
<td>Identifier</td>
<td>URI</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>008/35-37</td>
<td>Language</td>
<td>IS0369-2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>041 with no $2</td>
<td>ISO639-2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>041 with $2=iso639-3</td>
<td>ISO639-3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>041 with $2=rfc1766</td>
<td>RFC1766</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>041 with $2=rfc3066</td>
<td>RFC3066</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>041 with $2=rfc4646</td>
<td>RFC4646</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>561</td>
<td>Provenance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>530</td>
<td>Relation</td>
<td>HasFormat</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>776$n$t</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>530$u</td>
<td>Relation</td>
<td>HasFormat URI</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>776$o</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>774$n$t</td>
<td>Relation</td>
<td>HasPart</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>774$o</td>
<td>Relation</td>
<td>HasPart URI</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>775$n$t</td>
<td>Relation</td>
<td>HasVersion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>775$o</td>
<td>Relation</td>
<td>HasVersion URI</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>530</td>
<td>Relation</td>
<td>IsFormatOf</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>776$n$t</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>530$u</td>
<td>Relation</td>
<td>IsFormatOf URI</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>776$o</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>440, 490, 800, 810, 811, 830</td>
<td>Relation</td>
<td>IsPartOf</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>760, 773$n$t</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>760, 773$o</td>
<td>Relation</td>
<td>IsPartOf</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MARC</td>
<td>Dublin Core</td>
<td>URI</td>
<td>Notes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>510</td>
<td>Relation</td>
<td>IsReferencedBy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>785$s$t</td>
<td>Relation</td>
<td>IsReferencedBy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>785$s$o</td>
<td>Relation</td>
<td>IsReferencedBy URI</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>775, 786$s$t</td>
<td>Relation</td>
<td>IsVersionOf</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>775, 786$s$o</td>
<td>Relation</td>
<td>IsVersionOf URI</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>780$s$t</td>
<td>Relation</td>
<td>Replaces</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>780$s$o</td>
<td>Relation</td>
<td>Replaces URI</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>538</td>
<td>Relation</td>
<td>Requires</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>506$s$a$d</td>
<td>Rights</td>
<td>AccessRights</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>540$s$a$d</td>
<td>Rights</td>
<td>RightsHolder</td>
<td>This field was defined in MARC in January 2008.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>542$s$d</td>
<td>Rights</td>
<td>RightsHolder</td>
<td>This field was defined in MARC in January 2008.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>786$s$o</td>
<td>Source</td>
<td>URI</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>082</td>
<td>Subject</td>
<td>DDC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>050</td>
<td>Subject</td>
<td>LCC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>600, 610, 611, 630, 650 if ind2=0</td>
<td>Subject</td>
<td>LCSH</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>600, 610, 611, 630, 650 if ind2=2</td>
<td>Subject</td>
<td>MeSH</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>060</td>
<td>Subject</td>
<td>NLM</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>655 if ind2=7 &amp; $2=tgn</td>
<td>Subject</td>
<td>TGN</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>080</td>
<td>Subject</td>
<td>UDC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>245</td>
<td>Title</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>130, 210, 240, 242, 246, 730, 740</td>
<td>Title</td>
<td>Alternative</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leader06, Leader07</td>
<td>Type</td>
<td>DCMI Type Vocabulary</td>
<td>See Appendix 2 for Leader-Type rules.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>655 if $2=dct</td>
<td>Type</td>
<td>DCMI Type Vocabulary</td>
<td>See Appendix 2 for Leader-Type rules.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix 1--Notes

**DC Refinements and encoding schemes.** Some DC refinements or encoding schemes have not been included because they are not generally found or can not be specifically identified in MARC data. Examples include DCMI Point, DCMI Box and Mediator.

**Roles.** Those roles in the MARC Relators list that indicate that they refine "Contributor" may be used in qualified DC. See: Relator Terms and Dublin Core Elements.

Appendix 2 - Conversion rules for Leader06 - dc:Type mapping

Multiple Type fields may be used; conversions below may result in 2 or 3 Type fields. There are several additional sources of type information in the MARC record; only coded values in Leader/06 and Leader/07 are detailed in this chart. Field 655 may also be used for more specific type information.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Leader/06 value</th>
<th>Leader/07 value</th>
<th>Type value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a,c,d,t</td>
<td></td>
<td>text</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e,f,g,k</td>
<td></td>
<td>image</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i,j</td>
<td></td>
<td>sound</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m,o,p,r</td>
<td></td>
<td>no type provided</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p</td>
<td>c,s</td>
<td>collection</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Institutions may want to consider generating additional type values, such as "map" or "cartographic" for codes e or g; "musical notation" for codes c or d, etc.

Go to:

- Dublin Core to MARC Crosswalk
- MARC Home Page
- Library of Congress Home Page

Library of Congress

*Library of Congress Help Desk (05/02/2008)*
Terminology

**Metadata:** In general, data about data; functionally, structured data about data. Metadata includes data associated with either an information system or an information object for purposes of description, administration, legal requirements, technical functionality, use and usage, and preservation. Traditional library cataloging is a form of metadata. (Dublin Core Metadata Initiative glossary)

**Schema:** Defines the vocabulary of a particular set of metadata (i.e., element names and formatting rules). A schema is usually defined by some authority to describe data in a standard way so that it may be accessed by other users or applications. (Tom Sheldon’s Linktionary.com)

**Metadata Schema:** Sets of metadata elements designed for a specific purpose, such as describing a particular type of information resource. The definition or meaning of the elements themselves is known as the semantics of the scheme. The value given to the metadata elements are the content. (Understanding Metadata)

**Dublin Core Metadata Element Set:** Includes terms intended to facilitate the discovery of resources. The metadata is associated with the intellectual content, intellectual property, and/or instantiation characteristics of an information resource. (Dublin Core Metadata Initiative glossary)

**Standard Generalized Markup Language (SGML):** A non-proprietary language/enabling technology for describing information. Information in SGML is structured like a database, supporting rendering in and conversion between different formats. Both XML and later versions of HTML are instances of SGML. (Dublin Core Metadata Initiative glossary)

**Hypertext Markup Language (HTML):** The standard text-formatting language for documents on the World Wide Web. HTML text files contain content that is rendered on a computer screen and markup, or tags, that can be used to tell the computer how to format that content. HTML tags can also be used to encode metadata and to tell the computer how to respond to certain user actions, such as a mouse click. (Dublin Core Metadata Initiative glossary)

**eXtensible Markup Language (XML):** A subset of SGML. XML is designed to bring the power and flexibility of generic SGML to the World Wide Web, while maintaining interoperability with full SGML and HTML. (Dublin Core Metadata Initiative glossary)

**XML Schemas:** Express shared vocabularies and allow machines to carry out rules made by people. They provide a means for defining the structure, content and semantics of XML documents. (World Wide Web Consortium Web page)
Namespaces  An effort to allow markup from different XML applications to be used in the same document without conflict (even if element names used in each namespace are the same).

XSL  Extensible Stylesheet Language, an XML application for transforming XML documents into a form that could be viewed in a web browser. Eventually split to form: XSLT and XSL-FO.

XSL-FO An XML application for describing the layout of both printed pages and webpages, sometimes compared to PostScript.

CSS  Cascading Style Sheets, used originally for HTML, and, when XML was invented, it was used for that, too.

Xlink  Extensible Linking Language, used to define more powerful linking constructs to connect XML documents, going beyond the “a” tag used in HTML.

Xpointer  Addresses individual parts of an XML document.

XPath  Extracted from the addressing parts of XLink and XPointer.

Metadata Encoding and Transmission Standard (METS): XML schema for encoding descriptive, administrative, and structural metadata regarding objects within a digital library. (METS Web page)

Metadata Object Description Schema (MODS): XML schema for a bibliographic element set that may be used for a variety of purposes, and particularly for library applications. MODS is intended to be able to carry selected data from existing MARC 21 records as well as to enable the creation of original resource description records. It includes a subset of MARC fields and uses language-based tags rather than numeric ones, in some cases regrouping elements from the MARC 21 bibliographic format. (MODS Web page)

Metadata Authority Description Schema (MADS): XML schema for an authority element set that may be used to provide metadata about agents (people, organizations), events, and terms (topics, geographics, genres, etc.). MADS was created to serve as a companion to the Metadata Object Description Schema (MODS). As such, MADS has a relationship to the MARC 21 Authority format, as MODS has to MARC 21 Bibliographic. Both carry selected data from MARC 21. (MADS Web page)

Web Style Sheets: Describe how documents are presented on screens, in print, or perhaps how they are pronounced. By attaching style sheets to structured documents on the Web (e.g. HTML), authors and readers can influence the presentation of documents without sacrificing device-independence or adding new HTML tags. (World Wide Web Consortium Web page)

XSLT: Language for transforming XML documents into other XML documents. A transformation expressed in XSLT is called a style sheet. (World Wide Web Consortium Web page)
**MARC XML:** Framework for working with MARC data in an XML environment. This framework is intended to be flexible and extensible to allow users to work with MARC data in ways specific to their needs. The framework will contain many components such as schemas, style sheets, and software tools. (MARC XML Web page)

**Validation**  Checking a document against a schema or DTD.

**Well-formed** A document is considered ‘well-formed’ if it satisfies XML grammatical rules, including where tags are placed (especially beginning and ending), what the tags look like, what element names are legal, etc.

**Parser** A program that divides the XML document up into individual elements, attributes, etc., to determine if well-formed.

**Validating parser** Checks for both well-formed XML and conformance with a schema.

**DTD** Document Type Definition, used first with SGML. Also used with XML but gradually being phased out in favor of schemas.
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Metadata Standards and Applications

Handout: Monitoring and Participating in Metadata Developments

Recommended e-journals, current awareness resources and discussion lists

1. D-Lib Magazine (http://www.dlib.org/)
2. Ariadne (http://www.ariadne.ac.uk/)
3. Current Cites (http://lists.webjunction.org/currentcites/) Also available as mailing list or RSS feed
5. NISO Newsline (http://www.niso.org/news/newsline/)
6. MetadataLibrarians listserv (http://metadatalibrarians.monarchos.com/)

Blogs

Sign on with a blog aggregator (Bloglines is a good basic one) and start reading. Some recommendations:

1. Lorcan Dempsey’s weblog: (http://orweblog.oclc.org/)
2. Inquiring Librarian: (http://inquiringlibrarian.blogspot.com/)
3. Weibel Lines: (http://weibel-lines.typepad.com/weibelines/)
4. The Shifted Librarian (http://www.theshiftedlibrarian.com/)

Recommended Format Specific Discussion Lists

1. DC-General (consider a Working Group list as well) (http://dublincore.org/about/contact/#dcgeneral)
2. MODS list (http://listserv.loc.gov/listserv/mods.html)
3. VRA Core (http://vraweb.org/vra-l/index.html)

Recommended Conferences

1. ALA and the specialized library divisions (especially LITA and ALCTS)
2. Dublin Core (next is DC 2008: http://dc2008.de/)
3. Digital Library Federation forums (http://www.diglib.org/forums.htm)

Organize a local forum where you and your peers can have regular discussions about the work you’re doing (e.g., Cornell Metadata Working Group http://metadata-wg.mannlib.cornell.edu/)
Handout: XML Definitions and Genealogy

Definitions:

**Validation**
Checking a document against a schema or DTD.

**Well-formed**
A document is considered ‘well-formed’ if it satisfies XML grammatical rules, including where tags are placed (especially beginning and ending), what the tags look like, what element names are legal, etc.

**Parser**
A program that divides the XML document up into individual elements, attributes, etc., to determine if well-formed.

**Validating parser**
Checks for both well-formed XML and conformance with a schema.

**DTD**
Document Type Definition, used first with SGML. Also used with XML but gradually being phased out in favor of schemas.

XML Schema Genealogy:

**SGML**
Standard Generalized Markup Language, invented in the 1970’s, became ISO 8879 in 1986. Very powerful, used extensively in the military and government, as well as for markup for narrative structures (see Text Encoding Initiative for more detail).

**HTML**
Hypertext Markup Language, an SGML application. Restricted set of tags, designed to describe web pages for purposes of presentation. Current version is HTML 4.0.

**XML**
Extensible Markup Language, originally intended as a ‘lite’ version of SGML, first version unveiled in 1998.

**Namespaces**
An effort to allow markup from different XML applications to be used in the same document without conflict (even if element names used in each namespace are the same).

**XSL**
Extensible Stylesheet Language, an XML application for transforming XML documents into a form that could be viewed in a web browser. Eventually split to form: XSLT and XSL-FO.

**XSLT**
Extensible Stylesheet Language Transformations, a general purpose language for transforming one XML document into another, sometimes (not always) for web page display.
**XSL-FO**  
An XML application for describing the layout of both printed pages and webpages, sometimes compared to PostScript.

**CSS**  
Cascading Style Sheets, used originally for HTML, and, when XML was invented, it was used for that, too.

**XLink**  
Extensible Linking Language, used to define more powerful linking constructs to connect XML documents, going beyond the “a” tag used in HTML.

**XPointer**  
Addresses individual parts of an XML document.

**XPath**  
Extracted from the addressing parts of XLink and XPointer.
Exercise for Session 2: Descriptive Metadata
Instructors’ information and Answer sheet

- Each group will be given a printout of a digital object
- Create a brief metadata record based on the standard assigned to your group (MODS or DC)
- Take notes about the issues and decisions made
- Appoint a spokesperson to present the metadata record created & the issues involved (2-5 minutes)

Provide participants with printout of different digital objects (selected by trainer).

Two examples (provided):

**Example 1:**
America’s Pinch Hit March (sheet music from Baseball Sheet Music collection, LC)
http://lcweb2.loc.gov/diglib/ihas/loc.natlib.ihas.200033287/default.html

**Example 2:**
52nd Street, New York, N.Y.
http://lcweb2.loc.gov/diglib/ihas/loc.natlib.gottlieb.02771/default.html

Participants will provide descriptive metadata in the standard assigned with the following elements (element names may vary according to scheme):

Title/subtitle
Creator/name (with role defined if possible)
Type of resource
Publication/origin information with place, publisher, date
Physical description
Subject
URL

Answers are given in the Metadata Template below:

MODS metadata: example 1 (sheet music)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data Element (Field)</th>
<th>Data Value (Content)</th>
<th>Controlled Vocabulary (Yes / No) (please specify)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>titleInfo title</td>
<td>America’s pinch hit march</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>titleInfo subTitle</td>
<td>the hit that ended the worlds greatest war</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>name namePart</td>
<td>Dempsey, Bertha Stanfield Type=”personal” Authority=”lcnaf” (optional)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>name role</td>
<td>composer</td>
<td>Yes: MARC relators</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>typeOfResource</td>
<td>notated music</td>
<td>Yes (MODS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>originInfo place</td>
<td>Joplin, Missouri</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>originInfo publisher</td>
<td>The McMillan Music Co.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>originInfo dateIssued</td>
<td>1919</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Appendix E: Exercise Answers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data Element (Field)</th>
<th>Data Value (Content)</th>
<th>Controlled Vocabulary (Yes / No) (please specify)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PhysicalDescription</td>
<td>3 p.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>subject topic</td>
<td>Baseball—Songs and music</td>
<td>Yes: LCSH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>location/url</td>
<td><a href="http://lcweb2.loc.gov/diglib/ihas/loc.natlib.ihas.200033287/default.html">http://lcweb2.loc.gov/diglib/ihas/loc.natlib.ihas.200033287/default.html</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Also useful:</td>
<td>Baseball sheet music collection</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RelatedItem type=&quot;host&quot; Title</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Dublin Core metadata: example 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data Element (Field)</th>
<th>Data Value (Content)</th>
<th>Controlled Vocabulary (Yes / No) (please specify)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Title</td>
<td>America’s pinch hit march : the hit that ended the worlds greatest war</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creator</td>
<td>Bertha Stanfield Dempsey</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resource Type</td>
<td>Image</td>
<td>Yes: DCMI type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Publisher</td>
<td>The McMillan Music Co.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>1919</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Format</td>
<td>3 p.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subject</td>
<td>Baseball—Songs and music</td>
<td>Yes: LCSH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identifier</td>
<td><a href="http://lcweb2.loc.gov/diglib/ihas/loc.natlib.ihas.200033287/default.html">http://lcweb2.loc.gov/diglib/ihas/loc.natlib.ihas.200033287/default.html</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Also useful:</td>
<td>Baseball sheet music collection</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### MODS metadata: example 2 (image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data Element (Field)</th>
<th>Data Value (Content)</th>
<th>Controlled Vocabulary (Yes / No) (please specify)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>titleInfo title</td>
<td>52nd Street, New York, N.Y., ca. 1948</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>titleInfo subtitle</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name type=&quot;personal&quot; NamePart</td>
<td>Gottlieb, William P.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>name namePart type=&quot;date&quot;</td>
<td>1917-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>name role</td>
<td>photographer</td>
<td>Yes: MARC relator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>typeOfResource</td>
<td>still image</td>
<td>Yes (MODS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>originInfo place</td>
<td>New York</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Appendix E: Exercise Answers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data Element (Field)</th>
<th>Data Value (Content)</th>
<th>Controlled Vocabulary (Yes / No) (please specify)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>originInfo publisher</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>originInfo dateCreated</td>
<td>1948</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>physicalDescription form</td>
<td>graphic</td>
<td>Yes (gmd)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>physicalDescription extent</td>
<td>1 negative : color ; 4 x 5 in.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>subject geographic</td>
<td>New York (N.Y.)</td>
<td>Yes (lcsh)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>subject topic temporal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location/url</td>
<td><a href="http://lcweb2.loc.gov/digilib/ihas/loc.natlib.gottlieb.02771/default.html">http://lcweb2.loc.gov/digilib/ihas/loc.natlib.gottlieb.02771/default.html</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Also useful: accessCondition</td>
<td>Original negative not served.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Dublin Core metadata: example 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data Element (Field)</th>
<th>Data Value (Content)</th>
<th>Controlled Vocabulary (Yes / No) (please specify)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Title</td>
<td>52\textsuperscript{nd} Street, New York, N.Y., ca. 1948</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creator</td>
<td>Gottlieb, William P.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resource Type</td>
<td>image</td>
<td>Yes: DCMI type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Publisher</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>1948</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Format</td>
<td>image/jpeg</td>
<td>Yes: (MIME)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subject</td>
<td>New York (N.Y.)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identifier</td>
<td><a href="http://lcweb2.loc.gov/digilib/ihas/loc.natlib.gottlieb.02771/default.html">http://lcweb2.loc.gov/digilib/ihas/loc.natlib.gottlieb.02771/default.html</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Also useful: Rights</td>
<td>Original negative not served.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Session 3: Administrative metadata (PREMIS)

Example 1: America’s pinch hit march

We will only fill in a template for one of the files. A complete example would include a record for each file, showing the relationship with the relationship element. For this example we would have 3 records, one for each file (representing each page).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data Element (Field)</th>
<th>Data Value (Content)</th>
<th>Controlled Vocabulary (Yes / No) (please specify)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>objectIdentifier</td>
<td>URI</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>objectIdentifierType</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>objectIdentifierValue</td>
<td><a href="http://lcweb2.loc.gov/natlib/ihas/service/encyclopedia/200033287/0001v.jpg">http://lcweb2.loc.gov/natlib/ihas/service/encyclopedia/200033287/0001v.jpg</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>objectCharacteristics</td>
<td>size</td>
<td>629507</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>objectCharacteristics</td>
<td>format</td>
<td>image/jpeg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>formatDesignation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>formatName</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>objectCharacteristics</td>
<td>format</td>
<td>image/jpeg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>formatDesignation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>formatVersion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>creatingApplication</td>
<td>Adobe photoshop</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>creatingApplicationName</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>creatingApplication</td>
<td>version CS3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>creatingApplicationVersion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>creatingApplication</td>
<td>2008-01-02</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>datecreatedByApplication</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environment</td>
<td>Macromedia Fireworks MX</td>
<td>maybe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Software</td>
<td>SwName</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environment</td>
<td>software</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>swVersion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>relationship</td>
<td>structural</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RelationshipType</td>
<td>hasSibling</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>relationship</td>
<td>relatedObjectIdentifierType</td>
<td>URI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>relationshipSubType</td>
<td>relatedObjectIdentifierType</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>relatedObjectIdentifierValue</td>
<td><a href="http://lcweb2.loc.gov/natlib/ihas/service/encyclopedia/200033287/0002v.jpg">http://lcweb2.loc.gov/natlib/ihas/service/encyclopedia/200033287/0002v.jpg</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>relatedObjectIdentifierSequence</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Example 2:** 52nd Street, New York, N.Y., ca. 1948

We will only fill in a template for one of the files. A complete example would include a record for each file, showing the relationship with the relationship element. There is a relationship to the JPEG file, which is a derivative of this master file.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data Element (Field)</th>
<th>Data Value (Content)</th>
<th>Controlled Vocabulary (Yes / No) (please specify)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>objectIdentifier</td>
<td>URI</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>objectIdentifierType</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>objectIdentifierValue</td>
<td><a href="http://lcweb2.loc.gov/natlib/ihas/warehouse/gottlieb/02771/ver01/0001.tif">http://lcweb2.loc.gov/natlib/ihas/warehouse/gottlieb/02771/ver01/0001.tif</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>objectCharacteristics size</td>
<td>60158210</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>objectCharacteristics format</td>
<td>image/tiff</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>format</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>formatDesignation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>formatName</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>creatingApplication</td>
<td>Image Alchemy PS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>creatingApplicationName</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>creatingApplicationVersion</td>
<td>v1.11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>creatingApplicationName</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>creatingApplicationVersion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>datecreatedByApplication</td>
<td>20030215</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Software</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>swName</td>
<td>Firefox</td>
<td>maybe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Software</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>swVersion</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>relationship</td>
<td>derivation</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RelationshipType</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>relationshipSubType</td>
<td>source of</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>relationshipSubType</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>relatedObjectIdentifier</td>
<td>URI</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>relatedObjectIdentifierType</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>relatedObjectIdentifierValue</td>
<td><a href="http://lcweb2.loc.gov/natlib/ihas/service/gottlieb/02771/ver01/0001v.jpg">http://lcweb2.loc.gov/natlib/ihas/service/gottlieb/02771/ver01/0001v.jpg</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RelatedObjectIdentifierSequence</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Exercise for Session 4: XML syntax
Answer sheet

Example 1: America’s pinch hit march (MODS)

```xml
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<mods:mods version="3.2" ID="MODS1"
xsi:schemaLocation="http://www.loc.gov/mods/v3
http://www.loc.gov/standards/mods/mods.xsd">
  <mods:titleInfo>
    <mods:title>America's pinch hit march</mods:title>
    <mods:subTitle>the hit that ended the world’s greatest war</mods:subTitle>
  </mods:titleInfo>
  <mods:name type="personal">
    <mods:namePart>Dempsey, Bertha Stanfield</mods:namePart>
    <mods:role>
      <mods:roleTerm authority="marcrelator"
type="text">Composer</mods:roleTerm>
    </mods:role>
  </mods:name>
  <mods:typeOfResource>notated music</mods:typeOfResource>
  <mods:originInfo>
    <mods:place>
      <mods:placeTerm>Joplin, Missouri</mods:placeTerm>
    </mods:place>
    <mods:publisher>McMillan Music Co.</mods:publisher>
    <mods:dateIssued>1919</mods:dateIssued>
  </mods:originInfo>
  <mods:physicalDescription>
    <mods:form authority="ihas">sheet music</mods:form>
    <mods:extent>3 p. </mods:extent>
  </mods:physicalDescription>
  <mods:subject authority="lcsh">
    <mods:topic>Baseball</mods:topic>
    <mods:genre>Songs and music</mods:genre>
  </mods:subject>
  <mods:relatedItem type="host">
    <mods:titleInfo>
      <mods:title>Baseball sheet music collection</mods:title>
    </mods:titleInfo>
  </mods:relatedItem>
  <mods:location>
    <mods:physicalLocation authority="marcorg">DLC</mods:physicalLocation>
  </mods:location>
</mods:mods>
```
Example 1: America’s pinch hit march (DC)

```xml
<metadata
  xmlns:xsi="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema-instance"
  xsi:schemaLocation="http://purl.org/dc/dcmitype/"
  xmlns:dc="http://purl.org/dc/elements/1.1/"
  xmlns:dcterms="http://purl.org/dc/terms/"
  xmlns:dcmitype="http://purl.org/dc/dcmitype/">
  <dc:title xml:lang="en">America’s pinch hit march: the hit that ended the world’s greatest war</dc:title>
  <dc:creator>Bertha Stanfield Dempsey</dc:creator>
  <dc:publisher>The McMillan Music Co.</dc:publisher>
  <dc:date>1919</dc:date>
  <dc:format>3 p.</dc:format>
  <dc:subject xmlns:xsi="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema-instance"
              xsi:schemaLocation="http://purl.org/dc/dcmitype/"
              xmlns:dc="http://purl.org/dc/elements/1.1/"
              xmlns:dcterms="http://purl.org/dc/terms/"
              xmlns:dcmitype="http://purl.org/dc/dcmitype/">
    Baseball—Songs and music
  </dc:subject>
  <dc:relation>Baseball sheet music collection</dc:relation>
</metadata>
```

Example 2: 52nd Street, New York, N.Y., ca. 1948 (MODS)

```xml
<mods:mods version="3.2" ID="MODS1"
  xsi:schemaLocation="http://www.loc.gov/mods/v3
  http://www.loc.gov/standards/mods/mods.xsd">
  <mods:titleInfo>
    <mods:title>52nd Street, New York, N.Y., ca. 1948</mods:title>
    <mods:titleInfo></mods:titleInfo>
    <mods:name>
      <mods:namePart>Gottlieb, William P.</mods:namePart>
      <mods:namePart type="date">1917-</mods:namePart>
      <mods:role>
        <mods:roleTerm type="text" authority="marcrelator">photographer</mods:roleTerm>
      </mods:role>
    </mods:name>
    <mods:originInfo>
      <mods:place>
        <mods:placeTerm>New York</mods:placeTerm>
      </mods:place>
      <mods:dateCreated>1948</mods:dateCreated>
      <mods:physicalDescription>
        <mods:form authority="gmd">graphic</mods:form>
      </mods:physicalDescription>
      <mods:accessCondition>Original negative not served.</mods:accessCondition>
      <mods:subject authority="lcmsh">
```
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Example 2: 52nd Street, New York, N.Y., ca. 1948 (DC)

<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<metadata
xmlns:xsi="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema-instance"
xmlns:dc="http://purl.org/dc/elements/1.1/"
xmlns:dcterms="http://purl.org/dc/terms/"
xmlns:dcmitype="http://purl.org/dc/dcmitype/">
  <dc:title xml:lang="en">52nd Street, New York, N.Y., ca. 1948</dc:title>
  <dc:creator>Gottlieb, William P., 1917-</dc:creator>
  <dc:date>1948</dc:date>
  <dc:format>image/jpeg</dc:format>
  <dc:subject>New York (N.Y.)</dc:subject>
  <dc:rights>Original negative not served.</dc:rights>
</metadata>

Note that dc:format could use image/tif or image/jpeg (or nothing) depending upon whether the object of cataloging is considered the intellectual object or the file itself.
# Evaluation Form

## Metadata Standards and Applications

Your evaluation of this workshop is very important to the future development of this course and other similar courses. Your honest, candid answers to the following questions will assist us in providing quality programs.

Please rate the following aspects of today’s workshop by checking the box that best reflects your evaluation:

---

1. The overall content of the workshop:

   - a. was extremely valuable
   - b. provided enough detail
   - c. was current & relevant
   - d. was cohesive & logical
   - e. was appropriate to my needs
   - f. met its stated objectives

<p>| | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

   - was of little value
   - was too general
   - was outdated
   - was fragmented/difficult to follow
   - was not at all appropriate
   - did not meet objectives

2. Presenter 1:

   - a. was knowledgeable
   - b. had good presentation skills
   - c. encouraged participation
   - d. addressed my level of understanding
   - e. answered questions directly
   - f. was prepared
   - g. understood the audience dynamics

<p>| | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

   - was unsure of the material
   - had poor presentation skills
   - discouraged participation
   - did not consider my level
   - did not answer questions
   - was not prepared
   - ignored audience dynamics

3. Presenter 2:

   - a. was knowledgeable
   - b. had good presentation skills
   - c. encouraged participation
   - d. addressed my level of understanding
   - e. answered questions directly
   - f. was prepared
   - g. understood the audience dynamics

<p>| | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

   - was unsure of the material
   - had poor presentation skills
   - discouraged participation
   - did not consider my level
   - did not answer questions
   - was not prepared
   - ignored audience dynamics

4. The handouts:

   - a. are excellent
   - b. followed course content
   - c. are valuable for future reference

<p>| | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

   - are poor
   - are disjointed/out of sequence
   - are of no value
5. The PowerPoint slides:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>5</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a. were clear and easy to read</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. were well organized</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. illustrated concepts clearly</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. covered an appropriate amount of information</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e. were visually effective</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f. were enhanced by and supported the presenter’s remarks</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

were hard to read
were poorly organized
were confusing
contained too much or not enough information
were not effective
were poorly related to the presenter’s remarks

Please give the following information about yourself:

6. Your level of knowledge in the subject of this workshop before today: expert 5 4 3 2 1 novice

7. Your level of experience in the subject of this workshop before today: very experienced 5 4 3 2 1 beginner

8. Other comments:

Comments on specific sessions:

THANK YOU!

Note to workshop organizer: Please send copies of all completed evaluation forms to:

CLW Editorial Team Chair, The Library of Congress, Cooperative Cataloging Team, Washington, DC 20540-4373 USA